

Gurinder Singh @ Billa vs. State

**IN THE COURT OF
Additional Sessions Judge
AT ,S.A.S Nagar
(Presided Over by Sh. Hardip Singh)**

B.A.1967-2026

Gurinder Singh @ Billa, aged about 30 years, son of Sant Singh, r/o village Jhungian, Tehsil Kharar, District Mohali.

----- Applicant.

Versus

State of Punjab .

... Respondent.

FIR No.145 Dated 15.04.2026
Under Sections: 109,115(2),132,221,140(3),62,
191(3), 190 BNS.
Police Station Sadar Kharar.

Bail Application under Section 483 BNSS

-0-

Present : Sh. Ranjit Singh Rai Advocate, counsel for applicant
/accused.
Sh. Ravinder Singh, Addl. PP for State.

ORDER

This order of mine shall dispose of regular bail application preferred by applicant .

2. Learned counsel for the applicant/accused has argued that applicant/accused is innocent. He has been falsely implicated in this case by the police. The applicant has not committed any offence. Nothing has been recovered from the possession of the applicant. Accused is in custody since long. No useful purpose would be served by keeping the applicant behind the bars. . Hence, prayer has been (Hardip Singh), ASJ, SAS Nagar

Gurinder Singh @ Billa vs. State

made for grant of concession of regular bail.

3. Notice of the bail application was given to the State. Learned Addl. PP for State appeared on behalf of state and opposed the bail application. He argued that there are specific allegations against the accused. Applicant/accused has committed heinous offence. As such, applicant/ accused is not entitled for bail.

4 The brief facts of the prosecution case are that on 15.04.2026 SI Gurwinder Singh alongwith police officials by forming two parties was on patrolling and then he received secret information that some criminals indulged in drugs trafficking and snatching were gathered near Bhukhri river and they were waiting to commit the crime and if raid is conducted at the disclosed place, then they can be apprehended. Beliving the said information, police officials split into two parties. In first party, SI Gurwinder Singh alongwith SC Davinder Singh reached at the disclosed place then 3-4 young boys were standing near two motorcycles . The second police party was at some distance. When he started interrogating them then one young boy made a phone call to his companions who were standing by Scorpio Car and they reached at the spot in their car. They were armed with weapons, stick and they attacked upon them with intention to kill them due to which he received injury on the left side of his forehead. He also received internal injuries on his body. They also torn his uniform. They threw them into the Car and tried to kidnap them. However, he saved himself. In the mean

(Hardip Singh), ASJ, SAS Nagar

Gurinder Singh @ Billa vs. State

time, the second party reached at the spot and the police party apprehended the six assailants and some assailants ran away from the spot. On inquiry, they disclosed their names as Gurkirant Singh @ Guri, Davinder Singh @ Binda, Dilpreet Singh sonof Gurdip Singh, Gurinder Singh sonof Sant Singh, Harjinder Singh son of late Dalbir Singh, Gurinder Singh son of Late Sadhu Singh and one of the attacker who ran away from the spot is Baljit Singh @ Beet . Due to the injuries, he was admitted to hospital.

5. After hearing learned counsel for applicant, learned Addl. PP for the State and going through the record, this court finds that allegations against the applicant/accused are that on the day of occurrence when SI Gurwinder Singh alongwith other police officials were on patrolling then he received secret information against the accused and when he tried to apprehend the accused, they attacked on the police party and caused injuries on the person of complainant and even tried to kidnap him. The allegations against the accused are serious of attacking on duty officer and caused injuries on his person. The allegations are serious in nature. Applicant/accused has played active role in the entire crime. . In case, the applicant is released on bail, he may abscond to avoid the trial or may tamper with prosecution evidence or may influence the prosecution witnesses.

6. In view of the nature of allegations , at this stage, no ground is made out to grant the concession of regular bail.

(Hardip Singh), ASJ, SAS Nagar

Gurinder Singh @ Billa vs. State

Accordingly, the bail application stands dismissed.

7 Anything stated in this order shall have no affect
on merits of the case. File be consigned to the Record Room.

Pronounced in open Court.
04.07.2026

Jyotsna/Stenographer

(Hardip Singh)
Additional Sessions Judge
SAS Nagar
(UID No. PB-0638)

(Hardip Singh), ASJ, SAS Nagar

Gurinder Singh @ Billa vs. State

Present : Sh. Ranjit Singh Rai Advocate, counsel for applicant
/accused.
Sh. Ravinder Singh, Addl. PP for State.

Bail application received by entrustment. It be registered. Record
perused. Arguments Heard. Vide my separate detailed order of even date,
the bail application stands dismissed.

Police record be remitted. File be consigned to the Record
Room.

Pronounced in open Court.
04.07.2026

Jyotsna/Stenographer

(Hardip Singh)
Additional Sessions Judge
SAS Nagar
(UID No. PB-0638)