

State Vs. Satpal

FIR No.24 dated 28-01-2018
U/S 406, 420I PC & 24
Immigration Act
PS : Garhshankar

Application for Regular Bail.

Present Sh Pankaj Kirpal Adv counsel for accused/applicant Satpal
Sh Charanjit Singh APP for the State.

ORDER

Reply to bail application not filed. However, APP for the State contested the application orally.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant argued that applicant is innocent man who has been falsely implicated in this case and he deserves to be released on bail as he is in custody since long.

3 Per contra, learned APP for the state argued that applicant/accused Satpal Singh had cheated the complainant to the tune of Rs. 5.74 lac on the false pretext to send his son abroad. So, he does not get the concession of bail.

4. I have heard counsel for accused/applicants and ld APP for the State and have also perused the record carefully.

5. The allegations against the accused are grave as he is alleged to have duped the complainant of his hard earning money to the tune of Rs.5.74 lacs on the false pretext of sending his son abroad. It is also not the case that accused/applicant himself surrendered in the Court after dismissal of his anticipatory bail by the Hon'ble High Court, rather he was arrested by the police and produced in the Court. Keeping in view of nature of allegations and the offence committed by accused/applicant, I am of the considered opinion that if enlarge on bail, the applicant Satpal Singh is likely to interfere in investigation and

influence the witnesses. The likelihood of applicant-accused absconding is also there. Thus, I do not find any merits in the application which is hereby dismissed. Papers be attached with record under rules.

Announced.
29-01-2019
(Balbir Steno)

(Amandeep Kamboj)
Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate,
Garhshankar.
UID No.PB0351