

Ankit vs State BA 716-2026

Present: APP for State.
Sh Surender Singh Nagar, counsel for applicant accused Ankit.

File put up before me being Duty Magistrate as Ms. Ravleen Kaur, CJ(JD)/JMIC, Rohtak is on maternity leave.

2. Reply to the bail application filed.

3. Learned counsel for accused submitted that earlier the accused was on bail in this case and he was declared proclaimed offender vide order dated 10.10.2025. He is in custody since 24.03.2026. It is further submitted that accused shall abide by the terms and conditions of bail order. The applicant-accused undertakes to appear before the Court on each and every date of hearing.

4. Learned APP has opposed the bail application as the accused was already granted bail, but he has not appeared before the court and declared Proclaimed Person.

5. Arguments heard.

6. Perusal of the file shows that earlier accused was on bail in this case. He has not appeared before the court and was declared proclaimed person vide order dated 10.10.2025. Now he is in custody since 24.03.2026. As bail is rule and jail is exception. Keeping in view that accused was already on bail, considering the nature and gravity of the offence and the punishment provided for the offence, I deem it proper to grant the concession of bail to the accused, as trial will take some time, on furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs.60,000/- with one surety in the like amount. Requisite **bonds not furnished**. Application stands disposed off accordingly. Now to come up on 20.04.2026, the date already fixed in this case. File be sent back to the concerned court forthwith.

(Karandeep)
Link/JMIC, Rohtak
(UID No. HR0604)
10.04.2026

sushma