

**BEFORE THE COURT OF POONAM BANSAL
ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE, GURDASPUR**

BA-1603-2026

CNR No.PBGD01-003877-2026

DECIDED ON :02.04.2026

Jaswinder Singh Versus State of Punjab

FIR Number: 51 dated 10.04.2025
Under Section:115(2), 118(1), 3(5) BNS
& 118(2) BNS added lateron
Police Station : Ghuman

*Application for regular bail under Section 483 of
B.N.S.S*

Present: Shri S.S.Basra Advocate, counsel for applicant-accused
Shri Vikram Kashyap, Addl. PP for the State.

ORDER:

1. This order of mine shall dispose of an application having been filed by applicant-accused, **Jaswinder Singh** for grant of regular bail in above noted FIR.
2. Notice of the application was issued to the State and police record produced.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant has argued that the applicant/accused has been falsely implicated in the present case. No alleged offence under Section 118(2) is made out against the applicant/accused. All other offences are bailable. Injured has been discharged from the hospital since long and he has been performing his daily pursuits regularly. The applicant/accused is in custody since 02.03.2026. Challan has already been presented in the Court. Conclusion of trial shall take sufficient long time and no purpose would be

served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars for indefinite period, so, he may be admitted to regular bail.

4. On the other hand learned Additional PP for the State has opposed the bail application and prayed for its dismissal.

5. Rival contentions of both the sides have been heard and the record has been perused with due care and circumspection.

6. Perusal of record reveals that present FIR has been registered on the basis of statement suffered by the complainant Joginder Singh alleging therein that he is agriculturist by profession. He has two sons and one daughter and they all are married. His house is situated in fields and there is house of **Jaswinder Singh** who is son of his deceased brother Inder Singh. There has been going on dispute with Jaswinder Singh regarding throwing of garbage in the passage. On 08.04.2025, they had been gathered by panchayats regarding compromise. When his son Satnam Singh reached near the passage of Jaswinder Singh where **Jaswinder Singh** and his friend Harmanpreet Singh were already standing and when they were just to put his matter before the panchayats, in the meantime, Jaswinder Singh took out datar from the backside of wall and gave the blows with datar on his head. He fell down on the ground. Then he gave blows from reverse side of datar on his right shoulder. Accused Jaswinder Singh raised Lalkara to teach him a lesson for daily routine and then Harmanpreet Singh who is Nihang gave kirpan blow on his right wrist and then Harmanpreet Singh gave another blow of kirpan from reverse side on his right wrist and when Satnam Singh came

forward to rescue him then accused Harmanpreet Singh even ran towards him who even rescued himself after running from the spot. He even rescued by respectables members of panchayats.

7. Applicant/accused is facing trial for the offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 3(5) BNS & 118(2) BNS added lateron. As per allegations, applicant/accused Jaswinder Singh took out datar from the backside of wall and gave the blows with datar on the head of complainant. He fell down on the ground. Then he gave another blows from reverse side of datar which landed on the right shoulder of complainant. Injuries falling under Sections 323 and 324 IPC are attributed to the applicant/accused. Applicant/accused is not named in any other FIR. Investigation has already been completed. Challan in this case has already been presented. The applicant/accused is in custody since 02.03.2026. Allegations levelled against the applicant/accused are matter of trial. Taking into consideration the above said facts, no useful purpose will be served by detaining the applicant behind the bars for an indefinite period as conclusion of trial will take long time. As such, present bail application of applicant/ accused is allowed and applicant is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial court/Duty Magistrate subject to the following conditions:-

1. That applicant/accused shall appear in the court for each and every date of hearing.
2. Applicant/accused will not tamper with the prosecution evidence in any manner.

3. Accused/applicant will not leave the country without prior permission of the court.

It is made clear that the learned trial Court is at liberty to cancel the bail of applicant/accused in case he absents himself during trial before the said Court. Police record be returned forthwith. Copy of this order be sent to the learned trial court. The record of present bail application be consigned to the record room.

Pronounced in open court.

Date of order: 02.04.2026

(Poonam Bansal)
Addl. Sessions Judge,
Gurdaspur (PB0190)

Prem Kumar- Stenographer-I