

**IN THE COURT OF SH. SANDEEP S. JOSSAN (PB0150)
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, FAZILKA.**

**BA No. 1603 of 07.09.2019
CNR No.PBFZC0-004383-2019
Decided on :- 23.09.2019**

Surinder Kumar Baghel, aged 55 years, Platier S.SE./P.Y. Fazilka son
of Ghure Lal, resident of Paheshu, District Bullandsahar (U.P.), now
residing at Quarter No. E-1, Railway Station, Fazilka.

. . . Applicant/accused.

Versus

State of Punjab.

. . . Respondent.

FIR No. 22 of 20.08.2019
Under Section: - 7 of P.C. Act.
PS: V.B. Ferozepur.

Bail application U/s 439 Cr.P.C.

Present: Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. S.S.Maan, cl. for the accused/applicant.

ORDER

1. This order disposes off bail application of accused described in
detail herein above.

Accusation leading to the registration of this FIR is that the
complainant is a Gate man in Indian Railways and now posted at Fazilka-

Ferozepur Section Gate No.C-12, F.F. village Khai PHEME Ke, District Ferozepur. As per G.F.M. scheme, 05 acres cultivable land lying on the both sides of Railway track of Railway Department, has been given on lease to each Class-IV employs of Railways for the period of five years. Complainant applied for taking the land of Railways on lease on Ferozepur Fazilka Section on the left and right side of Kilometer No. 38/0 to 38/7 and submitted his application before accused for getting the land allotted in his favour, then the accused demanded Rs.15,000/- as bribe for the allotment of said land in favour of complainant. The complainant requested the accused that he is a very poor employee having small salary and then accused agreed to receive the amount of Rs.9000/- for allotment of land in favour of complainant as per said scheme of department. The complainant contracted the Vigilance Beaurea Unit Fazilka and then accused was apprehended red handed by the Vigilance department while taking bribe of Rs.9000/-.

2. It is alleged on behalf of accused that prima facie no case is made out against the accused. Accused has been falsely implicated in the present case, no useful purpose will be served by detaining the accused for indefinite period as the prosecution will take its own time to conclude the trial.

3. Application has been opposed by the State.
4. I have heard Ld. Counsel for the parties and have gone through the police file with their able assistance. The accused applicant is in custody.
5. For all that has been discussed above, without meaning to express any opinion on the merits of the case, it is found to be a fit case to extend benefits of bail to accused /applicant as no useful purpose will be served by detaining the accused for indefinite period as prosecution will take its own time to conclude the trial, as such applicant/accused ordered to be admitted to bail on furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 50,000/- with one surety in the like amount with Trial/Ilaqa/duty Judge. Subject to the condition that accused will not try to tamper with the prosecution evidence in any manner and will not leave the country without prior permission of court. Copy of this order be placed on the main trial file. Papers be consigned to records after due compilation.

-Sd/-

Pronounced.
Dated: - 23.09.2019

Sandeep S. Jossan
PB0150
Additional Sessions Judge,
Fazilka.

typed by Sunil Kumar,
Stenographer Gr-1.