

State Vs Sneh Lata
CNR no. PBSA-01000-875-2020
Date of order 31.01.2020

IN THE COURT OF DR. HARPREET KAUR
JUDGE, SPECIAL COURT, SAS NAGAR (MOHALI)

CNR no. PBSA-01000-875-2020
Date of order 31.01.2020

Sneh Lata, wife of Chander Parkash, aged about 54 years, resident of
Mundi Kharar, PS City Kharar, District SAS Nagar (Mohali)

.....Applicant/accused.

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent.

First Anticipatory bail application u/s 439 Cr.P.C.

..-.-.-.-.-

FIR No. 36 dated 19.2.2018
Under Sections 304-A IPC
(Section 304 IPC,201,120-B later on added)
P.S. City Kharar, SAS Nagar (Mohali)

Present: Sh. Naveen Behl, Adv counsel for applicant/accused
Sh.Manjit Singh, Additional Pubic Prosecutor for the
state

ORDER

The applicant Sneh Lata has filed this bail application under
Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail in case
FIR No. 36 dated 09.02.2018, under Sections 304-A, registered at Police
station City Kharar, District SAS Nagar, later on Sections 304
IPC,201,120-B were added in the list of offences . Record of the case

Dr. Harpreet Kaur
JSC-SAS Nagar
UID No. PB-0443

pending in the court of undersigned is also called and perused.

2. The brief facts of the case, which require consideration for the disposal of the present bail application are that on 19.02.2019, complainant Anil Kumar got recorded his statement to the effect that his wife was three months pregnant. On 18.2.2018 at about 8PM his wife complained of pain in her womb and therefore, he went to Snehlata (applicant), who is residing in his neighborhood and was proclaiming herself to be doctor at Civil Hospital, phase 6, Mohali. She was running clinic from her house. He requested her to accompany his wife to see some doctor in Civil Hospital, Mohali, but Snehlata stated that she would give her medicine and his wife will be relieved from pain and if anything happened to her next time, she will again treat her. During night at about 11PM his wife again complained of pain and he along with his mother and wife went to Snehlata and she admitted his wife in her clinic. At about 2AM during night, accused Snehlata came out of the clinic and disclosed that condition of his wife was serious and when he entered into the room, he found that his wife was lying unconscious in a pool of blood. When he asked from Snehlata about the same, she disclosed that she had given injection of anesthesia to her. Then on direction of Snehlata, he along with Chander Parkash (husband of Snehlata) brought his wife to Civil Hospital Phase 6, Mohali, where doctor declared her and her child in the womb, as dead. His wife along with his child had died only due to negligence and carelessness of Snehlata as she is not a qualified doctor and is running the clinic claiming herself to be so. On the basis of the

Dr. Harpreet Kaur
ASJ-SAS Nagar
UID No. PB-0443

statement of the complainant present case was registered against Sneh Under Section 304-A IPC. Later on section 304,201 and 120-B were added in the list of offences.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant-accused has contended that the applicant-accused has not committed any offence but has been falsely implicated in this case. She is in custody since 30.10.2019. Challan has already been presented and conclusion of the same will take considerable time. Lastly, prayer to allow the bail application has been made.

4. Per contra learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has opposed the bail application on the ground that the allegations against the accused-applicant are serious in nature and as such she is not entitled to concession of regular bail.

5. A perusal of the record reveals that there are allegations against the applicant-accused that she had given medicine to the pregnant wife of complainant due to which his wife and the her child in womb have died. Veracity of the allegations can only be ascertained at the conclusion of the trial after appreciating the evidence led by both the parties. Challan in this case has already been presented. The applicant/accused is in custody since 30.10.2019.

6. In view of the above facts but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and keeping in view the fact that conclusion of trial will take considerable time, the present bail application is allowed and accordingly, applicant-accused is ordered to be released on regular bail, on his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of **Rs.1 lakh** with one surety of the

Dr. Harpreet Kaur
ASJ-SAS Nagar
UID No. PB-0443

same amount, to the satisfaction of this court, subject to the conditions that he would not leave the country without prior permission of the court; he would not influence the witnesses of the prosecution and would appear before the court on each and every date of hearing. Accordingly, bail application is disposed off and be attached with the main trial.

PRONOUNCED
31.1.2020

(DR. HARPREET KAUR)
JUDGE, SPECIAL COURT
SAS NAGAR, MOHALI
UID NO. PB-0443

(Directly Dictated)

Jyoti stenographer (II)

Dr. Harpreet Kaur
ASJ-SAS Nagar
UID No. PB-0443

Dr. Harpreet Kaur
ASJ-SAS Nagar
UID No. PB-0443