



Sessions Case No. 80/2025  
Karandighi PS Case No 606/2024  
State of West Bengal Vs. Rejaul Hossain

5/23.06.2025

Today is fixed for production of the accused and hearing of Charge.

Ld. PP in-charge is present.

Sole accused person is produced from J/C.

Let the case is taken for consideration of charge.

Heard both sides.

Perused the materials on record including the written complaint, Charge Sheet and CD. Considered.

Having heard to the both sides and the available materials record that there exist sufficient materials to frame charge against the accused punishable under section 140(3)/103/238 of the BNS and it will suffice the goal of fair trail

Accordingly, the charge punishable under section 140(3)/103/238 of the BNS is framed against the accused as per section 251 of BNSS.

The substances of the charges so framed punishable under section 140(3)/103/238 of the BNS are read over and explained to the accused person to which he pleaded not guilty of committing offence by saying "Not Guilty" in Bengali language and claimed to be tried.

Formal charge is accordingly prepared and kept in the record.

Let the Session case is registered in Trial Register as Session Trail being no. 4 of 2025. DA to make necessary entry in the CIS and relevant registers.

At this Ld. Advocate for the accused person by filling a petition for bail, submitted that the accused person is in custody for a considerable period and that investigation has already completed and charge had been framed and thereby the case is ready for trial. It is further submitted that further detention of the accused will not serve any purpose for the prosecution. He further submitted that the written complaint had been made against specific accused but the prosecution implicated the instant accused although his name had not been mentioned in the written complaint. He further submitted that the instant accused is booked in this case as his first name had been matched with the first name of the person against whom the written complaint was lodged. It is further submitted

that the instant accused was taken in the case on the strength of shown warrant. He further submitted that accused is innocent and falsely implicated in the case.

Ld. PP-in-charge raised objection but submitted that the instant accused is a habitual offender for kidnapping girls for ulterior motives. He further submitted that in the instant case the victim had been kidnapped and murdered by the accused.

Heard. Perused and considered.

Perused the material available in the CD and the record.

Considering the fact circumstances of the case, the gravity of the offence and the material in CD and record, the prayer for bail is rejected at the stage.

Fix 24.07.2025 for production of the accused and evidence of C.S.W.1.

Issue summons accordingly.

Accused as before.

(Manik Lal Jana) (WB01023)  
ADJ Islampur,  
Uttar Dinajpur

[Visit ecourts.gov.in](http://ecourts.gov.in) for updates or download mobile app "eCourts Services" from Android or iOS