

Krishan Singh and another vs State of Punjab

Present : Sh. B.K. Sharma, Advocate for applicants/petitioners.
Sh. Shiv Kumar Garg, Addl.PP for the the State.
Sh. H.S. Ranu, Advocate for complainant.

1. Heard on the application for grant of anticipatory bail to the applicants/petitioners under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 in FIR No. 83 dated 26.08.2025, under Sections 420, 120-B, 506 of IPC, PS Sehna.

2. Notice of the it was given to the respondent/State. Sh. Shiv Kumar Garg, Addl. PP assisted by Learned counsel for complainant, is present and contested the same.

3. **I have heard learned counsel for the applicants/petitioners, learned Addl. PP for the State assisted by ld. Counsel for the complainant and with their able assistance, perused the record.**

4. Learned counsel contends that the applicants/petitioners are innocent persons and have been falsely implicated in this case. No offence has been committed by the applicants nor is made out as per version of the FIR. The applicants/petitioners are ready to join the investigation and to abide by the terms and conditions of bail, to be imposed upon them.

5. Referring the above averments, learned counsel prayed for grant of anticipatory bail which contentions are vehemently opposed by learned counsel, representing the complainant.

6. Record perused which transpire that vide order dated 25.09.2025, the arrest of the applicants/petitioners was stayed by Worthy Sessions Judge, Barnala while passing the following order:-

“Police record produced. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of applicants contended that applicants have been falsely implicated in the present FIR. That allegations are to the extent that daughter of the applicant no.1 was got married with son of complainant and thereafter expenses for sending her abroad were incurred by family of the complainant and thereafter girl left for abroad on 15.03.2023 on study visa. That even as per contents of FIR, son of complainant had also gone abroad on 16.09.2023. That applicant no.2 is paternal uncle of the girl and has no concern with the alleged allegations. That allegations have been alleged that amount was spent for sending daughter of applicant no.1 abroad only with the condition that son will be settled abroad and that now daughter of applicant no.1 is not signing the documents and asking for more amount. That present FIR is off shoot of matrimonial discord. That applicants is ready to join investigation and to cooperate in the investigation. It has been further contended that bail application of

co-accused is pending wherein matter has been referred to Mediation Centre, Barnala and pending for today itself.

On the other hand, Ld. Public Prosecutor has not controverted the fact that son of complainant was married to daughter of the applicant no.1 and applicant no.2 is the paternal uncle of the girl and that both of girl and son of complainant had gone abroad on 15.03.2023 and 16.09.2023 and thereafter now dispute has arose between them in abroad. That present FIR is off shoot of matrimonial discord. It has further not been controverted that in the bail application of co-accused which is pending for 29.09.2025, matter has been referred to Mediation Centre, Barnala.

Considering the above, since, FIR is offshoot of matrimonial discord, hence in order to explore the possibility of settlement between the parties, the present matter is also referred to Mediation Centre, Barnala.

The parties are directed to appear before Mediation Centre, Barnala today itself. In the mean time, arrest of the applicant is stayed till then.

Now to come upon 29.09.2025 alongwith the connected matter.”

7. The matter was also referred to the Mediation Centre, Barnala but the parties have not been able to reach, at any settlement so far.

8. It is now pointed out by the IO that the investigation is complete and challan has been presented in the Area/Illaqa Magistrate. The file of that challan was also summoned from that Court which shows that same is pending on 15.01.2026 for consideration of charge.

9. Considering the above facts and circumstances, the applicants/petitioners are directed to appear before the Trial Court and apply for regular bail within 10 days (from the receipt of copy of this order). Any such application for regular bail, if filed them, be decided after giving notice to the complainant and hearing the parties, as per law. Till disposal of the said application, the interim protection granted to the applicants/petitioners by Worthy Sessions Judge, Barnala dated 25.09.2025 will continue.

10. With the above direction, the application filed by the applicants/petitioners, stands partly allowed.

File of this bail application be consigned to the record room.

Pronounced : 08.01.2026

Rajiv Kumar JW-I

(Rakesh Kumar Gupta),
Additional Sessions Judge,
Barnala. (UIDNo.PB-0124)