

**In the Court of Sanjay Agnihotri,
Additional Sessions Judge-I,
Kapurthala
(UID No.PB0099)**

CNR No. PBKP010003642025



Case No. BA/160/2025

Presented on : 23-01-2025
Registered on : 24-01-2025
Decided on : 05-02-2024

FIR no.103 dated 30.05.2023
Under section 498-A/323 IPC
Police Station Sultanpur Lodhi
District Kapurthala

Jagir Singh, aged about 36 years son of Surjit Singh resident of Fatehgarh, Panjtoor Shyaraf Ali, Shahwala, Ferozepur Kot Isse Khan, District Ferozepur.

.....Applicant/accused

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent.

Application for bail under section 482 BNSS

Present: Sh.Arun Kumar, Advocate, counsel for the applicant
Ms. Reena Rani, Addl. Public Prosecutor for the State.

Order:-

1 The applicant-accused ***Jagir Singh***, has filed this anticipatory bail application on 23.01.2025 in case FIR aforementioned.

2 It has been averred in the anticipatory bail application that the applicant-accused is innocent, has not committed any alleged offence and has been falsely. The marriage between the complainant and her husband

Sanjay Agnihotri, Addl.Sessions Judge, Kapurthala UID No.PB00099

was a simple marriage. The applicant or member of his family has never harassed the complainant on account of dowry articles. The applicant has performed marriage with the complainant only after taking panchayati divorce from his first wife. He has never talked with his previous wife after his marriage with the complainant but the complainant is a suspicious woman thus used to doubt upon him. However, he is ready to appear before the learned trial court and will abide by terms and conditions, if any, of the bail.

3 Arguments heard and record perused with the able assistance of learned counsel for the applicant/accused and Addl. Public Prosecutor for State.

4. As per case of prosecution, complainant Karamjit Kaur, moved an application before the SSP, Kapurthala for taking action against Jagir Singh (husband), Surjit Singh(father in law), Joginder Kaur (mother in law), Pinki(previous wife of Jagir Singh), Preeto mother of Pinki, Balwant Singh(uncle father-in-law) on the allegations that after death of her first husband, she performed second marriage with Jagir Singh and as per demand of Jagir Singh and family of Jagir Singh. Jagir Singh had told to her and her parents that he has taken divorce from his first wife. Soon after the marriage the members of family of her in-laws started harassing and maltreating her for demand of more dowry and also refused to keep her son, born to her from her previous marriage. They also started taunting her by saying that her parents are unfortunate and defenseless people and are unable to gave dowry as per their status. On 31.05.2022

she went to the house of her parents to see her son from her previous marriage and in her absence, the members of her in-laws family brought Pinki previous wife of her husband to their house. When she returned, they refused to keep her in the house on the pretext that they want to rehabilitate Pinki as wife of Jagir Singh. On 01.06.2022 in the night time, they dragged her to one room of the house, her parents -in-law caught hold her from her legs, Jagir Singh tried to strangulate her with help of one dupatta and in the meantime Pinki sprinkled oil on her body and proclaimed that in order to get rid of her, they will burnt her alive. She raised alarm which attracted other people. They confined her one room and also did not gave any food to eat. Thereafter her sister and her brother-in-law took her to the house of her parents. Thus,after enquiry, the present FIR was registered against the applicant Jagir Singh.

5. As per allegations the applicant is husband of the complainant. As per police enquiry, it was second marriage of Jagir Singh and Jagir Singh has not dissolved his first marriage by way of decree of divorce. The learned Addl.PP for the State has argued that the applicant has caused maltreatment and harassment to the complainant and has also turned her out of her matrimonial house, so the custodial interrogation of the applicant is required for the proper investigation. Keeping in view above facts and circumstances, the custodial interrogation of the applicant/accused is required for proper investigation and recovery of articles. No extra ordinary ground for the grant of anticipatory bail is

made out. Hence, the application for bail is dismissed. File be consigned to the Record Room, Kapurthala.

Pronounced in open Court:

Dated:05.02.2025

Nirlep Kaur Steno Gr.I

**(Sanjay Agnihotri),
Additional Sessions Judge,
Kapurthala (UID PB00099).**

CNR No. PBKP010003642025



Case No. BA/160/2025

Presented on : 23-01-2025
Registered on : 24-01-2025
Decided on : 05-02-2024

Jagir Singh Versus State of Punjab

Present: Sh.Arun Kumar, Advocate, counsel for the applicant
Ms. Reena Rani, Addl. Public Prosecutor for the State.

Arguments heard. Vide separate detailed order of even date, the application for anticipatory bail filed by the applicant/accused has been **dismissed**, as detailed therein. The record of bail application be consigned to the record room, Kapurthala.

Pronounced in open Court:
Dated:05.02.2025

Nirlep Kaur Steno Gr.I

(Sanjay Agnihotri),
Additional Sessions Judge,
Kapurthala (UID PB00099).