

**In the Court of Rajesh Kumar,
Additional Sessions Judge, Amritsar.**

CNR No. PBAS010042322024



Case No. BA/1612/2024

Presented on : 07-03-2024
Registered on : 11-03-2024
Decided on : 14-03-2024

S T A T E

Versus.

**Hardeep Singh s/o Gian Singh, r/o Kesar Singh, r/o village Bhindi
Saidan, Amritsar.**

.....Accused.

**FIR No.19 dated 27.03.2021.
U/ss. 302, 34 of IPC.
Police Station: Bhindi Saidan, Amritsar.**

1st bail application u/s 439 Cr.P.C.

**Present :- Sh. Nikhil Kad, Adv. Counsel for the applicant/
accused
Ms. Rittu Kumar, learned Additional P.P. for the State.**

O R D E R

1. This order shall dispose off bail application moved on behalf of above said applicant/accused under Section 439 Cr.P.C.

2. Concise facts necessary for the disposal of the instant bail application are that present FIR was registered on the statement of complainant Satnam Singh alleging therein that on 26.03.2021 at about 6/7:00 p.m. his maternal uncle Gian Singh had come to his house and had taken his father along with him for counselling his son Hardeep Singh, who allegedly used to pick rampant quarrels with the family members. When his father did not turn up till night, then he went towards the house of his maternal uncle and in the meanwhile he saw that Hardeep Singh was beating his father in a street adjoining to his house. He also saw Gian Singh standing right beside them. He raised raulla and then both the accused ran away from the spot leaving his father dead at the spot.

3. Notice of the bail application was given to state and record perused.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant argued with the lines of bail application and submitted that conclusion of trial will take a long time and no useful purpose will be served by detaining the accused/applicant behind the bars for indefinite time. The applicant would abide by the terms and conditions as imposed by the court. Thereafter, prayer was made to allow the bail application.

5. Per contra, learned Addl. P.P. for the state argued that there are serious allegations against the applicant/accused and there is every likelihood that if the concession of bail is granted to the applicant/accused, he would flee away. Thereafter, he prayed for dismissal of bail application.

6. I have heard the rival submissions besides perusing the record.

7. As per the prosecution story, applicant/accused along with co-accused in-connivance with each other caused injuries to the father of the complainant due to which father of the complainant died at the spot. Prima-facie it appears that applicant/accused was present at the spot and equally responsible for the commission of the offence in the present case. The allegations against the applicant/accused are serious in nature and there is every likelihood that if the applicant/accused is granted the concession of bail then there are chances to flee away of the applicant/accused. Keeping in view the nature and gravity of the offence alleged to have been committed by the applicant/accused in connivance with other co-accused, this court finds that there is no justification to grant the concession of bail to the applicant/accused. Accordingly,

without commenting on merits, the instant bail application deserves dismissal and is hereby dismissed. Record be returned along with copy of this order. Bail application papers be attached with main file.

Pronounced in open court.

Dated:- 14.03.2024.

**(Rajesh Kumar),
Additional Sessions Judge,
Amritsar. UID No.PB0441**

Narinder Sharma,
Stenographer Grade-II.