

**POCSO case no. 06 / 2026**  
**CNR No.WBUD05-000166-2026**  
**Present : Sri Plaban Mukherjee, J.O Code- (WB-01427)**

Later  
Date 27-01-2026.

Received the two PC accused persons, namely, Rohit @ Md Rohit and Alim @ Md Helim along with the forwarding report, medical documents and accused challan.

The two accused persons, namely, Rohit @ Md Rohit and Alim @ Md Helim are produced before this Court, they are taken into custody and remanded to J/C till next date.

Other two accused persons, namely, Md Tushar and Sarul are produced before this Court from the Correctional Home through video conference and they are remanded to J/C till next date.

At this stage, Ld advocate Sri Pankaj Chakraborty enters his appearance representing the accused person namely Sarul and also files a bail petition for Sarul and Md Tushar.

Ld advocate Firoj Ahmed enters his appearance representing the accused person namely Alim @ Md Helim and also files a bail petition.

Another bail petition also filed on behalf of accused Rohit @ Md Rohit.

Ld Special P P is present with the CD.

Ld advocates for the accused petitioners are present.

Now, all the bail petitions are taken up together for hearing.

Ld advocate for the accused persons submitted that they are falsely implicated in the case and they have been in custody since 24-01-2026. It is further submitted that two of the accused persons, namely, Rohit @ Md Rohit and Alim @ Md Helim were taken into PC and investigation by this time has considerably been progressed. It is further submitted that there is no such application for bail either pending or rejected by the Hon'ble High Court at Calcutta.

Ld Special P P raised objection against the bail prayer and submitted that there is no note in the CD indicating pendency or rejection of any bail prayer of the accused petitioner before the Hon'ble Court.

Heard. Considered.

Perused the bail petitions, the case record and the Case Diary and comparing the same with the statement of witnesses including the victim girl, it appears that the accused petitioner is in custody since 24-01-2026 and there is allegation against the present accused petitioners. It further appears that there seems to be no caviling with regard to the grounds of arrest or other procedural aspect.

Considering the above facts and circumstances and early state of investigation, I am not inclined to enlarge the accused petitioners on bail at this stage.

Hence, all the bail prayers are rejected.

Fix 09-2-2026 production of the accused persons and police report.

Return CD.

Dictated & corrected by:

Addl Sessions Judge,  
Islampur, Uttar Dinajpur

Addl Sessions Judge,  
Islampur, Uttar Dinajpur