

**NDPS Case No. 82 of 2025**  
**CNR NO WBUD01-004005-2025**  
**J.O CODE WB00993**

**Order No. 07**  
**06.03.2026**

**Regular P.O is on Spl. SIR duty.**

Today is fixed for physical production of the accused persons.

All the four accused persons namely, Ayub Ali, Dilip Mahato, Iliyas Ali and Sabir Ali are produced in virtual mode before this Court.

Ld. PP-in-charge is present.

Ld. Advocate for the accused person is present.

Two separate bail petitions are filed – one for three accused persons namely, Sabir Ali, Iliyas Ali and Ayub Ali and another for accused Dilip Mahato.

Bail petitions are moved.

Heard both sides.

Perused the case record.

Ld. Advocates submitted that these accused persons are in custody since 17.12.2025. The allegations brought against the accused persons is fabricated. The accused persons are not involved in the offence. The investigation is already over. The accused do not have chance of absconding. They will face the trial. Ld. Advocate accordingly, prayed for allowing bail to the accused persons on any condition.

Ld. PP submitted that the seizure shows recovery of commercial quantity of cough syrup being number 31 bottles of chlorpheniramine Maleate and codeine phosphate cough linctus styles as PHENSEDYL and 20 bottles of codeine phosphate and triprolidine hydrochloride syrup styled as KUF RELIEF cough syrup, which are of huge quantity. The procedure of search and seizure has been properly performed. Ld. P.P also submitted that charge sheet has already been submitted against the accused persons and today is fixed for supply of copy. The trial shall commence. Moreover, there is a statutory bar u/s 37 of NDPS Act wherein the seizure is of commercial quantity. So, Ld. PP accordingly, prayed for rejection of bail petition.

After hearing of both sides and on perusal of the case record, it appears that the case involves recovery of commercial quantity of syrups and charge sheet has already been submitted. Moreover, this is no prima facie material to hold that these accused persons are in no way involved with the alleged offence. There is also no such material to hold that if the accused persons are granted bail, they shall not indulge into similar kind of offence. Accordingly, this is a case where the statutory bar is very well applicable.

In the circumstances, I am not inclined to allow the prayer for bail of the accused persons. Hence, the bail prayer of the accused persons namely, **Ayub Ali, Dilip Mahato, Iliyas Ali and Sabir Ali** stands rejected at this stage.

**To (20.03.2026)** physical production of the accused person and supply of copy.

The Superintendent of Raiganj District Correctional Home is directed to produce the accused persons physically on date fixed ie on **20.03.2026**.

Let a copy of this order be sent to the Superintendent of Raiganj District Correctional Home for compliance.

D/C by me

Judge, Spl. Court, I/C  
Raiganj, UttarDinajpur

Judge, Spl. Court, I/C  
Raiganj, Uttar Dinajpur.