

Seema Versus State of Haryana

CNR No. HRPL0100-6096-2026

CIS No.BA-1641-2026

-1-

HRPL010060962026



Presented on : 15-07-2026
Registered on : 15-07-2026
Decided on : 22-07-2026
Duration : 0 years, 0 months, 7 days

**IN THE COURT OF
Additional District and Sessions Judge
AT ,Palwal
(Presided Over by Prateek Jain)**

BA/1641/2026

Seema daughter of Sh. Rajender & C/o Yograj son of Sh. Hardev, aged about 42 years, resident of Village Bhiduki, Tehsil Hodal, District Palwal-121007.

.....Applicant-accused

Versus.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

FIR No.: 180 dated 27.09.2025
u/ss: 406, 419, 420, 467, 468, 471,
120B IPC
PS: Hassanpur.

FIRST APPLICATION FOR BAIL UNDER SECTION 483 BNSS

Present: Shri Lachchhi Ram, Advocate for applicant/accused.
Shri Ankit Chaudhary, Public Prosecutor for the State
assisted by Sh. Bhim Singh and Ms. Sonia Sharma,
Advocates for complainant.

(Prateek Jain)
Addl. Sessions Judge,
Palwal, (UID No. HR0301)
22.07.2026

ORDER

This is to dispose of first bail application under Section 483 BNSS filed on behalf of applicant-accused Seema daughter of Sh. Rajender & C/o Yograj son of Sh. Hardev, in case arising out of FIR No. 180 dated 27.09.2025, under sections 406, 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120B of Indian Penal Code, 1860, Police Station, Hassanpur.

2. The brief facts of the prosecution case are that present case FIR has been registered on the complaint moved by complainant Laxmi Devi wife of Mange Ram. In the complaint, it has been mentioned that complainant entered into agreement to sell dated 21.09.2019 for sale of 1/3rd share out of 4 Kanal 9 Marla land comprised in Khewat/Khatoni No. 448/533, Rect. No. 139, Killa No.9/2/1 (2-2), 10/1(2-7), with its owner Yograj son of Hardev. He was owner of only 1 Kanal 9 marla land. While he entered into agreement to sell 2 Kanal 9 Marla land. The agreement was for consideration of ₹16,00,000/- out of which ₹7,00,000/- has been paid as earnest money on the same date and remaining amount was received by the accused in installment and he took ₹1,00,000/- more than consideration as she is illiterate lady. Now, she came to know that out of above land accused was owner of 1 Kanal 9 Marla land while he entered into agreement to sell for the sale measuring 2 Kanal 9 Marla land and he has sold this land to some other person and prayed for taking action. On above complaint, present case FIR has been

(Prateek Jain)
Addl. Sessions Judge,
Palwal, (UID No. HR0301)
22.07.2026

registered.

3. In the present bail application, it has been submitted that the applicant-accused has been falsely implicated in the above referred FIR as she has not committed any offence as alleged. The allegations against the applicant-accused in the above referred FIR absolutely false, vague and not supported by any cogent evidence. She is law abiding citizen but she has been falsely implicated in the above noted criminal case otherwise she has no concern with the alleged offence as she was neither present at the time of alleged occurrence nor involved in the commission of crime. There are no any specific allegations leveled by the complainant against her. The complainant filed a civil suit No. CS/479/2025 on 29.05.2025 against the other accused regarding the agreement to sale which is pending before the Ld. Court of Civil Judge, Hodal and fixed for 01.08.2026 and complainant falsely lodged the present FIR on 27.09.2025. She is in judicial custody since 07.07.2026. The trial of the case is likely to take a long time and no useful purpose would be served by keeping the applicant-accused in judicial custody further. No recovery has been effected from the applicant-accused. Nothing is to be recovered from her. She will not tamper with the prosecution witnesses and she will not misuse the concession of bail neither she will leave India without prior permission of this Court. She is law abiding citizen and she is permanent resident of above said address. She belongs to the respectable family, hence there is no apprehension of escaping from the jurisdiction

(Prateek Jain)
Addl. Sessions Judge,
Palwal, (UID No. HR0301)
22.07.2026

of the Court. The Bail application of applicant-accused has been dismissed by the Court of Sh. Abhishek JMIC, Hodal on dated 10.07.2026. She will not tamper with the prosecution witnesses, if released on bail and prayed for granting concession of bail.

4. In reply to above, prosecution has submitted that the present applicant-accused alongwith co-accused Yograj has prepared forged Live-in Relationship Deed, GPA and forged documents and identity documents. Specimen signatures are yet to be taken and arrest of other co-accused is also pending and prayed for dismissal of the application.

5. I have heard the arguments advanced by the learned counsel for applicant-accused and the Learned Public Prosecutor for the State assisted by learned counsel for the complainant.

6. The allegations against the present applicant-accused is that she in connivance with co-accused Yograj has prepared forged the aadhar card, live-in-Relationship Deed and by used of forged aadhar card prepared by use of false information, got transferred the land in her name under blood relation and spouse scheme of Haryana Government from co-accused Yograj

7. Learned PP for the State has argued that documents submitted by present applicant-accused for showing herself as wife of Yograj for preparing aadhar card before concerned authority are yet to be recovered as Yograj is already having a wife. This also contended by

learned PP for the State that the present applicant-accused alongwith co-accused got transferred the land by use of that aadhar card and took benefit of Haryana Blood Relation & Spouse Scheme of transfer of land.

In the present case, investigation is still pending and present applicant-accused is required for speciman signatures and handwriting as well as false documents which have been submitted by the present applicant-accused Seema for preparation of identity documents showing herself wife of Yogesh are yet to be recovered. The offence under Section 467 IPC entails life imprisonment.

8. Considering above discussion, the gravity and seriousness of the alleged offence, severity of the punishment as well as fact that investigation is still pending, this Court is not inclined to give concession of bail to applicant-accused. Accordingly, the bail application under section 483 BNSS filed on behalf of applicant Seema is hereby dismissed.

9. File be consigned to the record room, after due compliance.

**Announced in open Court
22.07.2026**

**(Prateek Jain)
Addl. Sessions Judge,
Palwal, (UID No.HR0301)**

Note:- Certified that all the pages of this order have been checked and signed by me.

**(Prateek Jain)
Addl. Sessions Judge
Palwal, 22.07.2026
(UID No.HR0301)**

Bhavna Rani, SG-I

**(Prateek Jain)
Addl. Sessions Judge,
Palwal, (UID No. HR0301)
22.07.2026**