

S.C. 149 of 2022
CNR WBUDO1-00-3448-2022

Present : Ms Varsha Bansal Agarwal
Addl. Sessions Judge,
FTC 2nd Court-I/C, Raiganj

Order No. 48 .
Dated – 07.04.2026.

Today is fixed for production of accused, appearance and recording of evidence.

Three accused persons on CB are present by filing their haziras.

Accused Sahajahan Ali is produced through whatsapp video calling due to server problem inside the jail.

Ld. PP in charge and Ld. Advocate for the accused are present before the Court.

One bail petition is filed on behalf of accused Sahajahan Ali after supplying the copy to the other side.

Ld. Advocate for the accused moves the bail petition and submitted that the accused is in custody for more than 4 years. All the eye witnesses of the alleged incident have been examined. The official witnesses have also been examined though the videographer has not come before the Court as he was bedridden but his evidence is not required as he merely a official witness. Presently, only examination of I.O is required. In these circumstances, there is no chance of tempering with the evidence. As such, there is no chance of absconding of this accused. Therefore, Ld. Advocate prayed for bail on any terms and conditions.

Ld. P.P in charge opposed the bail prayer and has drawn the attention of the Court regarding the evidence of the witnesses. He further submitted that the evidence of doctor and other vital official witnesses are required. Moreover, the allegation against the accused is very grave. As such, he prays for rejection of the bail prayer.

Perused the bail petition, case record and CD.

Heard, considered and after perusing the materials on record, I found that on the self-same ground, on earlier occasion the bail prayer of the accused is refused after considering the gravity of the offence and role played by him. Furthermore, no new ground arises which invites a different view. Record reveals that the bail prayer of this petitioner was rejected on several occasions considering all the aspects. It is found from the record that the ingredients against the accused as appeared from the evidence are very grave. Considering the nature and gravity of offence, I am not inclined to allow prayer for bail. Hence, prayer for bail is rejected.

Fix **05.05.2026** for production of accused, appearance and recording of evidence.

Dictated by me.

Judge

ADJ, FTC-II, in ch,
Raiganj, Uttar Dinajpur.