

POCSO-96/2025
CNR WBUD01-003340-2025
J.O.CODE WB00993

24.03.2026

The PO in-charge of this Court is engaged in performing SIR duty.

Today the case is fixed for production of the accused namely Bipul Barman and for submission of service report of notice issued upon the other accused persons.

Accused Bipul Barman is produced from J.C through V.C. and remanded back to J.C.

Notices upon the two other accused persons namely Pradip Barman and Narayan Barman have been duly served.

Both the accused persons Pradip Barman and Narayan Barman have appeared today and filed fresh Vokatnama.

Bail petition is filed on behalf of these two accused persons.

Copy is served.

Heard Ld. Advocate for the accused persons and also Ld. PP.

Ld. Advocate submitted that the only allegation against these two accused persons is u/S 11 of the Child Marriage Restraint Act. In the charge-sheet, there is no other charge brought against these two accused persons.

Ld. Advocate further submitted that the offence is bailable in nature.

Ld. Advocate prayed for allowing bail to these accused persons on any condition.

Ld. PP raised formal objection and left the matter to the discretion of the Court.

I have perused the FIR, charge-sheet and other documents available in the case record.

Considering the fact that the Child Marriage Restraint Act. is already repealed and the Prohibition of Child Marriage Act. came into force in 2006 and considering the alleged facts, at this stage, I am inclined to allow the prayer for bail in respect of these two accused persons namely Pradip Barman and Narayan Barman. Both may find bail of Rs.5,000/- each with one registered surety of like amount.

If on bail, to 27.04.2026 for appearance. I.D. to J/C.

Heard Ld. Advocate in respect of the bail petition filed on behalf of accused person namely Bipul Barman.

Ld. Advocate for the accused person submitted that the accused person is innocent. He has been falsely implicated in this case. He was arrested on 26.09.2025 and since then he is in custody. The investigation is already complete and charge sheet has been filed. Further detention of the accused in custody is not required in the interest of investigation as it is over. Ld. Advocate further submitted that the accused does not have any chance of absconding. He has no nexus with the alleged crime. The accused shall face trial. Ld. Advocate prayed that the accused person may be enlarged on bail on any condition.

Ld.Spl.PP raised objection and submitted that this is a case u/Section 06 of POCSO Act. This accused Bipul Barman is the principal accused. There is allegation in the FIR of sexual assault upon the victim who is a minor. Ld. Spl. PP prayed for rejection of bail application.

I have perused the FIR and other relevant documents available in the case record. I have perused the medical examination report of the victim. I have perused the previous order passed on 19.02.2026.

At this stage, having considered the present situation, facts and circumstances and considering the fact that the trial is yet to commence, I am not inclined to allow the prayer for bail of the accused Bipul Barman.

Accordingly, the bail petition stands rejected.

The Superintendent, Raiganj District Correctional Home is directed to physically produce accused Bipul Barman on the next date.

To date 27.04.2026 for production, appearance, filing appointment letter and supply of copy.

Dictated by me.

Judge Spl Court
Raiganj, Uttar Dinajpur.

Judge, Spl. Court (POCSO) in ch.,
Raiganj, Uttar Dinajpur.