

PBTT010032002026



**IN THE COURT OF AMANDEEP KAUR CHAHAL (UID No. PB0248)
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, TARN TARAN**

**CIS No. BA 1562-26
Decided on: 8.5.2026**

Surjit Singh son of Manjinder Singh, r/o village Kakka Kandiala, Tehsil & District Tarn Taran.

..... Applicant

Versus

State of Punjab

FIR No.14 dated 16.1.2026

Under section: 305, 331(4) BNS

Police Station: City Tarn Taran.

(Bail application under section 483 of BNSS)

Present: - Sh.MS Thukral, Adv. Counsel for accused/applicant
Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Addl. PP for the State

ORDER

1 This order shall dispose of regular bail application filed by the applicant/accused in case FIR no.14 dated 16.1.2026, under Sections 305, 331(4) BNS registered at P.S.City Tarn Taran.

2. Notice of the bail application was issued to the State and police record was requisitioned.

3. Report of investigating officer alongwith the Additional Public Prosecutor about pendency of or of any former adjudication of bail application before or by any Court under the provisions of BNSS and the result thereof was called upon. It was reported that except the present FIR, five more FIRs have

been registered against the applicant/accused and no any application relating to this case has been decided or pending in any other court of competent jurisdiction. Statement of ASI Narinder Singh in this regard also recorded separately. Such a declaration is also contained in the bail application.

4. Ahlmad had also reported that according to the information available in the CIS system, no other bail application relating to this case of accused is pending or decided by any Court.

ABSTRACT OF PROSECUTION STORY:

5. Brief facts of the case are that the present FIR has been lodged on the statement of Manshad Kureshi, to the effect that he is having one shop at Adda Bazar and he is running the same for the last 14 years. He alongwith his younger brother both do the business of shop in the name of Muskan Colection. On 14.1.2026 as usual at around 8 they went to their house after closing the shop. On the next morning i.e. 15.1.2026 when they came to their shop they saw that the shutter was broken by some unknown persons and some ladies suits having cost of Rs.2,80,000/- and Rs.50,000/- in the locker were missing. Some unknown persons have committed theft in their shop. On the basis of said statement FIR was lodged. During investigation accused was nominated in the present case.

6. Learned counsel for applicant-accused has prayed that applicant-accused has been falsely implicated despite there being not an iota of evidence to suggest any overt act on the part of accused with respect to theft committed in the shop. It is further prayed that applicant-accused is in custody since 17.3.2026. The conclusion of trial will take a long time and no useful purpose

will be served by detaining him behind the bars. The applicant/accused has further contended that he would not tamper with the prosecution evidence and will not abscond from the trial court and would appear on each and every date of hearing and shall not misuse the concession of bail and will abide by all the terms and conditions as laid down under Section 483 BNSS or as imposed by the court and finally, it has been prayed that the applicant/accused may be granted bail.

7. On the other hand, learned APP for the State has strongly opposed the regular bail application. The allegations are serious. As such, the regular bail application may kindly be dismissed.

8. I have heard learned counsel for the accused/applicant and learned APP for the State and perused the record. Applicant-accused is in custody since 17.3.2026. the FIR was lodged against unknown persons. The challan is yet to be presented. The conclusion of trial will take sufficient time. Nothing is to be recovered from accused. As such, in the light of the peculiar circumstances, the court is of the opinion that no ground is made out to warrant further detention of the accused in custody. Hence, the applicant-accused is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of Illaqa/Duty Magistrate/Trial Court subject to the following conditions:

1. That he shall attend the Court on each and every date of hearing;
2. That he shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, of the commission of which he is suspected;

3. That he shall not directly or indirectly make an inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence; and
4. That he shall not leave the country without prior permission of Court.

Bail application be attached with the remand papers/challan pending, if any, or be consigned to the record room.

**Pronounced in open court
on:8.5.2026**

Poonam JW

**Amandeep Kaur Chahal
Additional Sessions Judge
Tarn Taran/UID-PB0248**

Present: - Sh.MS Thukral, Adv. Counsel for accused/applicant
Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Addl. PP for the State.

Arguments heard. Vide my separate detailed order of even date, the present bail application stands **ALLOWED**. Bail application be attached with the remand papers/challan pending, if any, or be consigned to the record room.

**Pronounced in open court
on:8.5.2026**

Poonam JW

**Amandeep Kaur Chahal
Additional Sessions Judge
Tarn Taran/UID-PB0248**