

NDPS Case No. 56 of 2025
CNR NO WBUD01-003021-2025
J.O CODE WB00993

Order No. 14
06.03.2026

Regular P.O is on Spl. SIR duty.

Today is fixed for physical production of the accused person namely, **Md. Alam Skeh** and for supply of copy.

Accused is produced in virtual mode before this Court. So copy could not be served today.

Ld. PP-in-charge is present.

Ld. Advocate for the accused person is present.

One bail petition is moved for the custody accused person namely, **Md. Alam Skeh**.

Heard both sides.

Perused the case record.

Ld. Advocate submitted that this accused person is in custody since **24.09.2025**. The allegations brought against the accused person is fabricated. The accused person is not involved in the offence. The investigation is already over. The accused does not have chance of absconding. He will face the trial. Ld. Advocate accordingly, prayed for allowing bail to the accused person on any condition.

Ld. PP submitted that the seizure shows recovery of commercial quantity of brown sugar weighing 351.56 grams, which is of huge quantity. The procedure of search and seizure has been properly performed. Ld. P.P also submitted that charge sheet has already been submitted against the accused person and today is fixed for supply of copy. The trial shall commence very soon. Moreover, there is a statutory bar u/s 37 of NDPS Act wherein the seizure is of commercial quantity. So, Ld. PP accordingly, prayed for rejection of bail petition.

After hearing of both sides and on perusal of the case record, it appears that the case involves recovery of commercial quantity of brown sugar and charge sheet has already been submitted. Moreover, this is no prima facie material to hold that this accused person is in no way involved with the alleged offence. There is also no such material to hold that if the accused person is granted bail, he shall not indulge into similar kind of offence. Accordingly, this is a case where the statutory bar is very well applicable.

In the circumstances, I am not inclined to allow the prayer for bail of the accused person. Hence, the bail prayer of the accused person namely, **Md. Alam Skeh** stands rejected at this stage.

To (20.03.2026) physical production of the accused person and supply of copy.

The Superintendent of Raiganj District Correctional Home is directed to produce the accused physically on date fixed ie on **20.03.2026**.

Let a copy of this order be sent to the Superintendent of Raiganj District Correctional Home for compliance.

D/C by me

Judge, Spl. Court, I/C
Raiganj, UttarDinajpur

Judge, Spl. Court, I/C
Raiganj, Uttar Dinajpur.