

NDPS Case No.55/2025
CNR NO WBUD0100.....-2025
J.O CODE WB00993

Order No. 06

04.11.2025

Regular P.O has been transferred.

Today is fixed for production and I.O's report.

Accused person namely, Suman Das is produced from J.C through VC and remanded to J.C.

One bail petition is filed on behalf of the accused person. Copy served.

Ld. PP-in-charge is present by filing hazira and files a separate petition praying for rejection the bail application.

Ld. Advocate for the accused person is present.

Bail petition is moved.

Heard both sides.

Perused the case record.

Ld. Advocate submitted that this accused is in custody since 24.09.2025. The allegations brought against the accused is fabricated. The accused is not involved in the offence. Ld. Advocate accordingly, prayed for allowing bail to the accused on any condition.

Ld. PP submitted that the seizure shows commercial quantity. The procedure of search and seizure has been properly performed. Ld. PP accordingly, prayed for rejection of bail petition.

After hearing of both sides and on perusal of the case record, it appears that the case involves commercial quantity of narcotic drugs. Moreover, this is no prima facie material to hold that this accused is in no way involved with the alleged offence. There is also no such material to hold that if the accused is granted bail, he shall not indulge into similar kind of offence.

In the circumstances I am not inclined to allow the prayer for bail of the accused person. Hence, the bail prayer of the accused person stands rejected at this stage.

Accused person be remanded to J.C till **18.11.2025**.

Today was also fixed for submission of report by the I.O in respect of the petition filed earlier for return of the seized vehicle bearing registration No.HR38W/6660.

A report is submitted by the I.O. It has been reported that the I.O has collected the ownership details of seized vehicle and he sent notice u/s 94 BNSS to the owner of the vehicle but till date the owner/claimant did not appear before him and produce the original documents. For this reason, the I.O could not complete the necessary enquiry and submit report.

In this respect Ld. Advocate submitted that the ownership details in respect of vehicles is readily available in the website and any verification in that respect is now a days quite easy. In spite of that, the I.O has deliberately not done the enquiry and has submitted this kind of a report.

Ld. Advocate prayed for passing necessary order in this respect.

I have taken into consideration the entire circumstances. As the IO has reported that the ownership details has been verified by him but the owner/claimant has not appeared with his documents even after issuing notice u/s 94 BNSS, I am of the view that another opportunity should be given to the I.O to complete the necessary enquiry.

The owner/claimant is hereby directed to meet the I.O with all original papers/documents in respect of the ownership of the vehicle.

The I.O is directed to complete the enquiry positively by the next date and to submit further report.

Let a copy of this order be sent to the I.O for strict compliance.

To **18.11.2025** for production, I.O's report and for report in respect of the vehicle.

D/C by me

Judge, Spl. Court, I/C
Raiganj, UttarDinajpur

Judge, Spl. Court, I/C
Raiganj, Uttar Dinajpur

