

14/05.05.2026

The record is put up today by petition.

A bail petition is filed on behalf of accused Sehena Khatun.

Copy of bail petition is served upon the prosecution.

Heard Ld advocate for the accused Sehena Khatun in respect of the bail application filed on this date.

It is submitted by Ld advocate that on two earlier occasions that is on 24.10.2025 and 29.01.2026, the application for bail in respect of this accused alongwith the other two accused persons who are in custody was rejected by this court considering the merits of the prosecution case. Ld advocate today submitted that this bail application is being moved only on the ground of detention of the accused who is a female. The accused is having two minor children. She is lodged in custody since she was arrested on 18.08.2024. Ld Advocate today emphasised that this accused shall appear regularly to face trial if she is granted bail.

Ld advocate accordingly prayed for allowing bail to the accused on any condition.

Ld P.P opposed the prayer for bail of the accused and submitted that considering the merits of this case, this court on two earlier occasions rejected the bail application on behalf of this accused along with the other two accused persons. Ld P.P further submitted that there is no special reason to consider the bail application on behalf of the accused at this stage. Ld P.P. accordingly prayed for rejection of the bail petition.

I have perused the entire materials on record from which it reveals that this accused alongwith two other accused persons have been detained in custody since their arrest in the year 2024. It appears that the allegations against all the three accused persons is of a very serious nature. The accused persons are allegedly involved in committing economic offence. Moreover, it seems that the trial is in progress and evidence of witnesses is being recorded.

I have perused the evidence of the witness which has already been recorded. There seems to be proper and cogent evidence forthcoming in trial against this accused and the other two accused persons facing trial.

Considering the entire factors and specially considering the fact that the allegations are of a severe nature and considering the nature of evidence already recorded, I **am of the view that this is a fit case to conduct custody trial**. If the accused is granted bail at this stage, the trial may get vitiated as there is every possibility that the accused may abscond.

In view of the above discussion, I am not inclined to allow the prayer for bail in respect of the accused Sehena Khatun.

Accordingly, the bail prayer stands rejected.

To date that is 05.06.2026.

Dictated by me

Judge

ADJ,FTC-I,Raiganj, U/D.

