

State Vs Ashok Kumar etc.

Present: APP for the state.
Sh.M.S. Mann Advocate counsel for accused-applicant.

Order:-

1. Heard on the bail application moved by applicant-accused Ashok Kumar and Jagjit Singh. Applicant averred that they have been falsely implicated in the present case and they never committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. The applicants further undertook not to misuse the concession of bail, if granted. They averred that as their presence is no more required for the purposes of investigation, thus, they be released on bail.

2. Notice of the bail application was given to the prosecution. Learned APP orally opposed the bail application but did not file any written reply.

3. Accused-applicant has been arrested for commission of offence under section 61(1)(c) of Excise Act, 1914, as he was found in possession of working still. Recovery has been already affected. Trial of the case is likely to take sufficient long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the accused-applicant in custody rather it will be a burden on the state exchequer. As such, accused-applicants are ordered to be released on bail on their furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs.40,000/- with one surety each in the like amount. Further, their bail is subject to the following conditions:-

1. That they shall not jump over the bail or tamper with the prosecution evidence nor shall try to win over the witnesses.
2. That they shall join investigation as and when required by the police.
3. That they shall not leave the country without prior permission of the court.

Bail bond and Surety bond not furnished.

(Aman Sharma)
ACJ(SD)-Cum-SDJM
Malout.UID No.PB0292.

Date of Order:24.10.2019
Gurvinder kaur (Steno)