

**NDPS Case No. 55 of 2025**  
**CNR NO WBUD01-003200-2025**  
**J.O CODE WB00993**

**Order No. 17**  
**09.03.2026**

**Regular P.O is on Spl. SIR duty.**

Today is fixed for physical production of the accused and for supply of copy.

Accused, namely, **Suman Das** is produced physically before this Court from J/C.

Ld. PP-in-charge is present and filed a petition u/s 37 of NDPS Act praying for rejection of bail.

Ld. Advocate for the accused person is present and filed one bail petition of the accused praying for bail to the accused.

Ld. Advocate also filed another petition praying for return of seized vehicle bearing No. HR-38W/6660 to the petitioner/owner.

Copy served.

The bail petition is moved for the accused **Suman Das**.

Heard both sides.

Perused the case record.

Ld. Advocate submitted that this accused person is in custody since **23.09.2025**. Accused was taken into PC for 8 days. The allegations brought against the accused person is fabricated. The accused person is not involved in the offence. Ld. Advocate accordingly, prayed for allowing bail to the accused person on any condition.

Ld. PP submitted that the seizure shows recovery of commercial quantity of ganja which is of huge quantity. The procedure of search and seizure has been properly performed. Ld. P.P also submitted that charge sheet has already been submitted against the accused and today is fixed for supply of copy. So, Ld. PP accordingly, prayed for rejection of bail petition.

After hearing of both sides and on perusal of the case record, it appears that the case involves recovery of commercial quantity of huge quantity of narcotic drugs and charge sheet has been submitted. Moreover, this is no prima facie material to hold that this accused person is in no way involved with the alleged offence. There is also no such material to hold that if the accused person is granted bail, he shall not indulge into similar kind of offence.

In the circumstances, keeping in mind the bar u/s. 37 of NDPS Act, I am not inclined to allow the prayer for bail of the accused person. Hence, the bail prayer of the accused person namely, **Suman Das** stands rejected at this stage.

Copy is ready and supplied to the accused.

The petition, praying for return of seized vehicle, is perused.

No report is available today. Hence, report should be called for at first.

Considered. Prayer is allowed.

Call for report from the I.O in respect of the seized vehicle bearing No. HR-38W/6660.

Accused is remanded to JC till **05.05.2026**.

**To date (05.05.2026)** for physical production of the accused person, consideration of charge and for report regarding the seized vehicle.

The Superintendent of Raiganj District Correctional Home is directed to physically produce the accused on date fixed ie on **05.05.2026**.

IO is also directed to submit report regarding the seized vehicle bearing No. **HR-38W/6660**.

D/C by me

Judge, Spl. Court, I/C  
Raiganj, UttarDinajpur

Judge, Spl. Court, I/C  
Raiganj, Uttar Dinajpur.