

Bail Application No. 74/2026
CBI v. Hukam Singh @ Hukum Singh Meena
FIR No. RC0032026A0015
PS CBI, ACB, New Delhi
U/s 7 of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988
(As amended in 2018)

06.04.2026

Present: Sh. Mandeep Singh Mann, PP for CBI.
Sh. Anil Kumar, counsel for applicant/accused.

An application under Section 483 BNSS for grant of regular bail preferred on behalf of the applicant/accused Hukam Singh @ Hukum Singh Meena is pending. Reply to the application has been filed by CBI today. Copy thereof supplied to the counsel for the applicant/accused.

Arguments on the application heard. Record perused.

The counsel for the applicant has submitted that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the case on the basis of a false complaint dated 17.03.2026 of the complainant. He has submitted that the alleged bribe amount has already been recovered and after his arrest on 19.03.2026, the applicant has fully co-operated with the investigation. He has submitted that search of the residential premises of the applicant has been conducted and nothing incriminating was recovered therefrom. He has submitted that the applicant has a school going daughter and old aged parents, who require his constant care and attention, and keeping him behind bars would be detrimental to them. He has further submitted that the applicant has clean antecedents and there is no apprehension of the applicant absconding the process of law or tampering with evidence. He has urged that since the investigation qua the applicant is complete and no further recovery is to be effected from him, no purpose would be served by keeping him behind the bars

as his further detention would amount to punishing him even before the conclusion of trial. In support of his submissions, the counsel for the applicant has placed reliance on **Satender Kumar Antil v. CBI & Anr.** (2022) 10 Supreme Court Cases 51 and Order dated 08.10.2024 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in Bail Application No.3015/2024 titled **Yudhveer Singh Yadav v. CBI.**

The application has been opposed by PP for CBI. He has contended that the allegations against the applicant are serious in nature and the investigation is still underway. He has submitted that some witnesses relevant to the case are yet to be examined and collection of documents is at a crucial stage. He has argued that by virtue of his official position, the applicant is an influential person and there is reasonable apprehension that he may tamper with evidence or influence the witnesses if enlarged on bail. He has argued that keeping in view the gravity of offence, the bail application deserves to be dismissed.

Instant FIR had been registered on 18.03.2026 on the basis of the written complaint dated 17.03.2026 lodged by the complainant Sh. Daya Ram Tyagi, who is stated to be a contractor in MCD. Applicant/accused was posted as Assistant Engineer, MCD office, Shahdara, South Zone, QUC office, Ghazipur, Delhi. Allegation against the applicant is that he demanded illegal gratification of Rs.20,000/- for verification of the bill raised by the complainant in respect of the work completed by him at Swati Park, Vinod Nagar, Mandawali, Delhi and that on 19.03.2026, the applicant was caught red handed while demanding and accepting the illegal gratification of Rs.18,000/- from the complainant in the presence of the independent witnesses. The applicant is in

custody since 19.03.2026. Recovery of money has already been effected. Investigation qua the applicant is almost complete. No other involvement of the applicant is reported. Considering the overall facts and circumstances, the applicant is admitted to bail on furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs.25,000/- with one surety in like amount subject to the following conditions:

- a) That he shall make himself available in the course of further investigation as and when required by CBI;
- b) That he shall not try to influence the prosecution witnesses or tamper with evidence in any manner;
- c) That he shall not leave the country without prior permission of the Court; and
- d) That he shall furnish his contact number to CBI and in the event of change of his contact number or residential address, he shall intimate the IO promptly.

The application for grant of regular bail moved on behalf of the applicant/accused stands disposed of accordingly.

Surety Smt. Anjali Nanglot, who is the wife of the applicant, has furnished the bail bond, which has been accepted.

Applicant/accused be thus released from JC, if not required in any other case.

Copy of the order be provided to the CBI as well as the counsel for applicant. Copy of the order be also forwarded to the Jail Superintendent for information and compliance.

(Smita Garg)
Special Judge (PC ACT) (CBI)-13,
Rouse Avenue District Court,
New Delhi:06.04.2026