

**Sessions Case No.209 of 2025  
CNR No. WBUD01-002942-2025  
JO Code No. WB00700**

**(Ref: GR No. 2784/2024, in connection with Kaliyaganj P.S Case No.512 of 2024 dated 13.12.2024)**

**Order no.3**

**Dated: 18.09.2025**

The record is put up by the put-up application of the accused Pradip Sarkar, Prakash Chandra Sarkar, Rakesh Sarkar, Nitai Sarkar, Nitai @ Nityananda Hazra and Dipankar Sarkar.

An application for bail is filed for them. But the application does not show whether earlier bail application was filed for them in any Forum or rejected or not.

Heard the submission over the bail application.

Prosecution raised objection against the prayer for bail on the ground that accused persons are involved directly in a case of murder.

On perusal of the record, it appears to me that charge sheet No.83 of 2025 dated 12.03.2025 u/s 126 (2)/115(2)/324(4)/303(2)/103(1)/3(5) of BNS against seven accused persons including these accused persons has been submitted against all those accused persons.

It appears to me from FIR that on 12.12.2014 at about 20:00 hrs. Prasan Mondal along with his friend were going to the house of de facto complainant Rupa Sarkar. On the way when they reached at Sahebghata, Prasan accidentally and slightly hit one person and due to that effect, suddenly, the accused persons started assaulting Prasan and his friend with lathi, battam, iron rod and bamboo stick with a view to kill them and ultimately Prasan died at hospital.

From record, it appears that there are direct allegations against all these accused persons. The incident appears to be a glaring instance of mob lynching which is rapidly increasing in our country in present day. Moreover, it appears to me that on different occasions, bail applications were moved in this Court and this Court was pleased to reject the bail applications on different occasions considering the gravity of offence and involvement of the accused persons. It also appears to me that this is a fit case for custodial trial.

Considering the gravity of the offence and involvement of the accused persons, I think, if they are enlarged on bail, substantial justice may be defeated and trial proceeding may be delayed. Accordingly, prayer for bail is rejected.

To date.

**Typed and corrected by me.**

**Sessions Judge,  
Uttar Dinajpur**

**Sessions Judge,  
Uttar Dinajpur**