

IN THE COURT OF SH. K.K.SINGLA,
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, GURDASPUR.

Bail application CIS BA No. 1572 of 2019
CNR.No. PBGD01-003247-2020

Date of decision:- 9.6.2020

Ranjodh Singh alias Rana son of Balbir Singh, resident of
Village Bhullar P.S. Sadar, Tarn Taran District Tarn Tarn
at present Arjan Manga P.S. Mehta District Tarn Taran. .

...Accused/applicant

Versus

State of Punjab

..Respondent

FIR No. 17 dated 11.2.2018
Under Sections 379-B/148/149 IPC
P.S. Shri Hargobindpur

Present : Sh Surtej Goraya, Advocate, counsel for
applicant/accused heard through VC
Sh Vikram Kashyap Addl. P.P for the State heard through
VC

ORDER.

1. File put up before me in view of order dated
30.3.2020 bearing NO.2/SPL/RG/Misc. of Hon'ble Punjab
and Haryana High Court and order of ld. District and

Sessions Judge, Gurdaspur, bearing Endst. No.7142-7150/P2C dated 30.3.2020 and order Id. District and Session Judge Gurdaspur issued through Endst. NO. 7227-7132/P 2 C dated 13.4.2020 and in view of the order dated **17.5.2020** bearing Endst. No.7912-79-20/P2C and order bearing Endst. No.8474-8479/P2C dated 7.6.2020.

2. Vide this order, I shall dispose of bail application filed by accused/applicant Ranjodh Singh under Section 439 Cr.P.C for grant of regular bail in FIR No. 17 dated 11.2.2018 Under Sections 379-B/148/149 IPC P.S. Shri Hargobindpur
3. Notice of the bail application was given to State. Sh. Vikram Kashyap, Ld. Addl. PP for the State put in appearance.
4. Record is already with the Court.
5. I have heard Sh. Surtej Goraya Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused and Sh. Vikram Kashyap, Ld. Addl. PP for the State through VC.
6. Contention of Sh. Surtej Singh Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused is that applicant is in judicial custody since

4.3.2020. His argument is that absence of accused from the court on 14.10.2019 was unintentional and beyond his control. His contention is that no useful purpose will be served by keeping the applicant/accused in judicial custody for further for more time. Hence, prayer has been made for allowing the application and that applicant/accused be enlarged on bail.

7. This submission has been refuted by Sh. Vikram Kashyap Ld. Addl. PP for the State, who argued that accused is involved in a serious offence. Applicant/accused absented from the process of court without any intimation. In these circumstances, in case he is released on bail, there is every apprehension that he would again abscond from the justice. Thereby, Ld. Addl. PP for the State prayed for dismissal of bail application.

8. Submissions have been considered and record has been perused by me.

9. In the present case, accused is in custody since 4.3.2020. Applicant/accused absented from the process of court on 14.10.2019. He arrested in this case on 4.3.2020 and since then,

he is in judicial custody. Accused might have learn a lesson for remaining absent from the process of the court. This Court considers that decision of the trial will take sufficient long time. In these circumstances, accused can not be kept in judicial custody for any indefinite period. In view of these circumstances, without commenting upon further merits of the case, I hereby allow this bail application and accused/applicant is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds in the sum of Rs. 50,000/- with two sureties in the like amount, subject to the conditions that he would not leave the country without prior permission of the court; he would not influence the witnesses of the prosecution and would appear before court on each and every date of hearing.

10. However, in view of order dated 21.4.2020 bearing Endst. NO. 7307-7308/P2 C passed by ld. District and Sessions Judge, Gurdaspur and in view of order dated 30.3.2020 of Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court passed in CRM M 11952-2020 (O & M), it is ordered that for the time being,

applicant/accused is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing personal bonds in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with a condition that accused/applicant would be bound to furnish surety bonds/bail bonds up till 30.6.2020.

11. However, observations made above are only for disposal of this bail application and would have no bearing on merits of the case.

12. File of bail application be attached with the main trial which is pending for today itself.

Pronounced
Dated:-9.6.2020

(K.K.Singla)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Gurdaspur.
UID No. PB 0197

Present : Sh Surtej Goraya, Advocate, counsel for
applicant/accused heard through VC

Sh Vikram Kashyap Addl. P.P for the State heard through
VC

Arguments heard. Record perused. Vide my separate
detailed order announced today, the present bail application has been
allowed. Bail application has been ordered to be attached with the
main file which is already pending in this Court.

Pronounced
Dated:-9.6.2020

(K.K.Singla)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Gurdaspur.
UID No. PB 0197