

KULDEEP SINGH VERSUS STATE OF PUNJAB
IN THE COURT OF SH. SHATIN GOYAL, ADDITIONAL
SESSIONS JUDGE, JALANDHAR (UID No. PB0157)
(NRI Court)

CIS Case No : BA-148 of 2020
CNR No : PBJL01-000521-2020
Date of Institution : 09.01.2020
Date of Order : 30.01.2020

Kuldeep Singh aged about 28 years son of Vaid Singh, resident of Jagatjit Nagar, Hamira, District Kapurthala.

...Applicant-accused

Versus

State of Punjab

FIR No.96 of 2016
U/s 379-B IPC
P.S.Kartarpur, Jalandhar.

First Bail Application U/s 438 Cr.P.C.

Present: Ms.Jatinder Kaur, Advocate for the applicant-accused.
Sh.Maneet Duggal, Additional PP for the State.

ORDER:

1. Heard on the anticipatory bail application of applicant-accused Kuldeep Singh.

2. Applicant has filed instant anticipatory bail application, claiming that on 17.10.2019, he was in judicial lock-up at District Jail and his relatives could not inform the court about his non-appearance before the court. Hence, non-bailable warrants were issued against him.

3. This court called for report from Jail Superintendent as to whether this applicant-accused was in custody on 17.10.2019. It was important to note this fact as if it would have been correct, then non-

KULDEEP SINGH VERSUS STATE OF PUNJAB

appearance of applicant-accused may be considered for the reasons beyond his control and lenient view might be desirable. However, in this case, a report was received from Superintendent Central Jail, Kapurthala, as per which this accused had already been released on bail on 18.07.2019 and on 17.10.2019 he was not in custody. In view of this written report of Jail Superintendent, the averment made by applicant-accused that he was in custody on 17.10.2019 is proved to be incorrect. An applicant submitting wrong version and wrong facts does not deserve any concession of bail. There does not seem to be any justified reason for absence of applicant-accused on 17.10.2019. No indulgence of the court to grant anticipation bail does not arise in the present case. Instant bail application is accordingly held to be without any merits and the same is hereby dismissed.

4. Nothing observed herein shall have any bearing upon the merits of the case and shall confine its effect only for the purpose of disposal of the present bail application. Record, if any be returned and bail application papers be consigned to Record Room, Jalandhar.

Pronounced in the open Court:
Dated:30.01.2020
*Vivek**

Shatin Goyal,
Additional Sessions Judge,
Jalandhar (UID No.PB0157)
(NRI COURT)