

**NDPS Case No. 23 of 2018**  
**CNR NO WBUD01002059-2018**  
**J.O CODE WB00993**

**Order No. 04**  
**09.03.2026**

**Regular P.O is on Spl. SIR Duty.**

Today is fixed for appearance, physical production of accused **Dhola @ Basir** and consideration of charge.

Out of three accused persons, two accused persons namely, **Jahid Alam and Parwed Alam** are present by filing hazira and another accused **Dhola @ Basir** is produced physically from J/C.

Ld. Advocate for the accused person is present.

Ld. P.P is present.

Today was fixed for framing of charge against this accused **Dhola @ Basir and charge against other two accused persons namely, Jahid Alam and Parwej Alam, who are on bail**, has already been framed on 18.09.2025.

Now record is taken up for consideration of charge.

Perused the materials on record and CD.

Heard the learned P.P in-Charge and Learned Defence Counsel on the point of framing of charge.

Ld. P.P in Charge proposes to frame charge under section 21(c)/29 of NDPS Act.

Upon going through the materials on record, it appears that there is sufficient reason to believe prima facie that the accused person may have been involved in the commission of offences punishable under section 21(c)/29 of NDPS Act.

Accordingly charge is framed against the accused Dhola @ Basir under section 21(c)/29 of NDPS Act. Charge is read over and explained to the accused person to which he pleads 'not guilty' and claims to be tried.

One bail petition is filed on behalf of the accused person Dhola @ Basir. Copy served.

Ld. PP-in-charge is present and filed a petition u/s 37 of NDPS Act praying for rejection of bail.

Ld. Advocate for the accused person is present.

Bail petition is moved.

Heard both sides.

Perused the case record.

Ld. Advocate for the accused person submitted that the accused person was in custody since 18.02.2026. Ld. Advocate submitted that the allegations brought against the accused person is fabricated. The accused person is not involved in the offence. Moreover the necessity of the accused in the interest of investigation is over. As the accused had surrendered earlier occasion, the accused may be released on bail on any condition.

Ld. PP submitted that the seizure shows commercial quantity of contraband articles. The procedure of search and seizure has been properly performed. Ld. PP further submitted that vide order Order No. 03 dated 06.03.2026, bail prayer of this accused was already rejected and charge sheet has been submitted in this case. So considering the nature of the allegations, at this stage, it shall not be proper to release the accused on bail.

After hearing of both sides and on perusal of the case record, it appears that commercial quantity of contraband substance is shown to have been seized from the possession of the accused person. The charge sheet has already been submitted. Moreover, there is no prima facie material to hold that this accused person is in no way involved with the alleged offence. There is also no such material to hold that if the accused is granted bail, he shall not indulge into similar kind of offence in future.

In the circumstances I am not inclined to allow the prayer for bail in respect of the accused person **Dhola @ Basir**. Hence, the bail prayer of the accused person stands rejected at this stage.

The accused Dhola @ Basir is remanded to JC till to date.

**To date (10.03.2026)** for production of the accused and consideration of charge of accused **Dhola @ Basir** and evidence of CSW No. 2.

The accused persons who are on bail are directed to appear before this Court on the date fixed.

D/C by me

Judge, Spl. Court, I/C  
Raiganj, UttarDinajpur

Judge, Spl. Court, I/C  
Raiganj, Uttar Dinajpur