

**IN THE COURT OF SH. SANDEEP S. JOSSAN (PB0150)
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, FAZILKA.**

**BA No. 1566 of 15.12.2017
Filing ID No. 3884 of 2017
CNR No.PBFZC0-005292-2017
Decided on :- 12.01.2018**

Sukhdev Singh, aged 19 years, son of Late Sh. Gurmail Singh, resident of
Dhani Prem Singh, village Chak Balochan, Tehsil Jalalabad, District
Fazilka.

. . . Applicant/accused.

Versus

State of Punjab.

. . . Respondent.

FIR No. 205 dated 01.11.2017
U/s :- 363/366-A/34 IPC.
P.S.Sadar, Jalalabad.

Bail application U/s 438 Cr.P.C.

Present: Sh. B.K.Sachdeva, cl for the applicant.
Addl. PP for the State.

ORDER

1. This order disposes off bail application of accused described in detail herein above.
2. Accusation leading to the registration of this FIR is that complainant is having two children i.e. one daughter and one son. His

daughter is minor and her date of birth is 10.11.2000. On 16.10.2017, when complainant woke up in the morning he noticed that his minor daughter was not in the house. He tried to search her daughter but in vain. From the relatives and other sources complainant came to know that accused Sukhdev Singh alias Debu took away her daughter on the pretext of marriage. Accused Asha Rani, accused Lakhwinder Singh alias Lakhi and accused Sona Singh and Chhindo also helped accused Sukhdev Singh for taking away her minor daughter.

3. It is urged on behalf of the accused/ applicant that accused is altogether innocent and has been falsely implicated. daughter of complainant solemnized marriage with Sukhdev Singh present accused and thereafter, they have moved a petition before Hon'ble High Court for getting protection of right in which the Hon'ble High court vide order dated 01.12.2017 in CRM-M No. 45785 of 2017 titled as “ Sukhdev Singh and other Vs. State of Punjab and others”, the same is allowed by the Hon'ble High Court. Co-accused has already been granted anticipatory bail.

4. The bail application has been opposed.

5. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and going through the records of the case, it came out that accused committed a serious offence. He is the main accused who kidnapped a minor girl. So

keeping in view the material facts of the case, nature of crime, gravity of offence and the manner in which it is alleged to have been committed, I find that extra ordinary relief of anticipatory bail is not to be extended in such like cases and it would not only hamper the investigations but would also send a wrong signal to the society. Resultantly, application is found to be without merit and is dismissed.

Before parting with this order, let it be clear that all said and observed herein before is only for the purpose of deciding the application in hand shall not have any effect upon the merits or ultimate out come of the case.

File to be consigned to the record room.

-Sd/-

Pronounced. Dated: 12.01.2018	Sandeep S. Jossan PB0150 Additional Sessions Judge, Fazilka.
--	---

typed by Sunil Kumar,
Stenographer Gr-1