

113 CC NI ACT 35660/2022 SHRIRAM TRANSPORT FINANCE  
COMPANY LTD. Vs. AZAD SINGH YADAV

09.07.2026

Present: Mr. pawan, Ld. counsel for complainant through VC  
Mr. Chanchal Gupta, Ld. counsel for accused along with accused.

Ld. counsel for complainant submits that he has already supplied the copy of the complaint.

Ld. counsel for accused submits that the copy is incomplete as the evidence affidavit and authorisation letter of new AR ms. Arti yadav is not supplied.

Accordingly, Complainant is directed to do the needful on or before NDOH.

**Notice u/s 251 Cr.PC framed against accused** to which he pleaded not guilty and claimed trial.

Separate statement of accused u/s 294 Cr.PC recorded wherein he has admitted the genuineness of documents without prejudice to his rights. Accordingly, formal proof of these documents are dispensed with and **witnesses at Sr. No. 2 & 3 and 4 are dropped** from list of witnesses.

At this stage, Counsel for the accused has orally prayed for cross-examination of the complainant witnesses u/s 145 (2) NI Act.

Keeping in mind the legislative intent as incorporated in Section 145 (2) NI Act and the defence disclosed by the accused, this court is of the view that accused is having sufficient ground to cross examine the complainant. Accordingly, in the interest of justice and in order to give fair opportunity of trial to the accused cross examination of complainant witnesses by the accused is hereby allowed.

Parties heard on the aspect of conversion of this case from summary trial to summons case. Looking at the nature of the case and the defence disclosed by the accused in his notice the present matter is converted to summons case.

List the matter for CE on 19.08.2026.

(Bhawna Rattan)  
JMFC (NI Act)-10  
SW:Dwarka Courts/09.07.2026