

WBUD010013342022



Sessions Case/66/2022 KALIYAGANJ  
**JAMU SARKAR Vs. Sahajamal Hussain**

**57/18.06.2025:**

Today is fixed for production of the accused person and further cross-examination of PW-27.

Ld. PP-in-charge is present with one witness namely S.I Mritunjoy Biswas (PW-27).

The sole accused person namely Shajamal Hussain @ Maochan is produced through VC.

Ld. Advocate for the accused is also present.

Received the letter vide no. **1852/AB** dated **18.06.2025** from Superintendent Raiganj District Correctional Home, Raiganj Uttar Dinajpur for production of UTPs through Vidio Conferencing on **18.06.2025**.

The witness S.I Mritunjoy Biswas (**PW-27**) is cross-examined in part.

At this stage, Ld. Advocate of the accused files a petition and prays to defer the cross-examination of PW-27 on the ground stated therein.

Heard. Considered.

The prayer is allowed and further cross-examination of **PW-27** is deferred till next day and witness is discharged.

At this stage Ld. Advocate for the accused files a petition for bail of the accused on the ground stated therein.

Copy served with objection.

Ld. Advocate for the accused person submitted that the accused person has been detained in custody since the date of arrest, as many as 26 witnesses on behalf of the prosecution have been examined in full and Investigating Officer as PW-27 is examined in chief and partly cross examined. There is no incriminating materials transpires from the evidence of prosecution witnesses against the accused person. Considering the period of detention he prayed to enlarge the accused person on bail on any condition. He further submits that the accused never moved before any higher forum for his bail.

Ld. PP in charge raised strong objection.

He prays for rejection of the petition for bail filed on behalf of the accused person.

Heard. Considered.

On perusal of the case record it reveals that charge has been framed against the accused person under section 302/201 of IPC. The alleged offence against the accused person is heinous in nature. Considering the gravity of the offence and on perusal of evidence of prosecution witnesses I am not inclined to enlarge the accused person on bail.

Hence, the prayer for bail of the accused stands rejected.

To **17.07.2025** for production of the accused person and further cross-examination of PW-27.

On consideration of submissions of both sides, alamat of the case be produced before the court on the date fixed positively.

Prosecution to take steps upon witness.

Accused be remanded to J/C till that date.

D/C

(Madhumita Roy)( WB00699)  
ADJ FTC-II, in-charge,Raiganj  
Uttar Dinajpur

[Visit ecourts.gov.in](http://ecourts.gov.in) for updates or download mobile app "eCourts Services" from Android or iOS