

Jagdev Singh @ Jagga Vs. State of Punjab
BA No.1587/2026

FIR No.95 dated 11.03.2026,
Under Section :- 109,351,190,191(3) BNS and 25,27 Arms Act.
Police Station City South, Moga

**Application under Section 483 of BNSS for grant
of anticipatory bail.**

Present :- Shri Gagandeep Singh Brar, Advocate for applicant-accused,
namely Jagdev Singh @ Jagga.
Shri Sukhdev Singh, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the
State with ASI Rashpal Singh.

Order :

1. This order of mine shall dispose of bail application filed by the accused/applicant under Section 483 BNSS, 2023.
2. ASI Rashpal Singh, No.712/Moga, Police Station City South, Moga appeared and stated that no bail application of the accused/applicant has been decided by this court. No such bail application has been decided by Hon'ble High Court or is pending before Hon'ble High Court, in this case. However, twelve other criminal cases have been registered against the accused/applicant, except the present case.
3. Arguments heard. Learned counsel for accused/applicant has argued that the accused/applicant is an innocent person and the police has falsely implicated him in this case. It is a case of version and cross-version. The complainant of present FIR namely Kuljeet Singh is himself hardened criminal having multiple criminal cases registered against him. From the version of the complainant, it is not clear whether any alleged fire shot allegedly given by accused/applicant hit the complainant or not. He is in custody since 17.03.2026. Presentation of challan and conclusion of trial will take long time and no useful purpose would be served by detaining the applicant/accused any more in custody. The accused/applicant undertakes to abide by the conditions, if imposed by this Court and he may kindly be granted concession of bail.
4. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State hotly contested the bail application and has argued that the accused/

applicant has committed offence punishable under Sections 109,351,190, 191(3) BNS and 25,27 Arms Act. Thus the accused/applicant is not entitled to get concession of regular bail.

5. This Court has heard learned counsel for accused/applicant, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State assisted by learned counsel for complainant and perused the record. FIR No.95 dated 11.03.2026 was registered on the statement of Kuljeet Singh son of Ranjit Singh on the allegations that on 10.03.2026 at about 10.30 p.m., he along with his friend Manpreet Singh son of Karnail Singh on his black colored Scorpio vehicle bearing No.PB-02/EN-9477 were going towards their house. When they reached near the outer gate of Kali Mata Mandir, there one black colored Scorpio vehicle without registration number was found standing, in which, Varinder Singh son of Vijay Singh, Jagdev Singh @ Jagga, Jota, Rubal, Ramgarhia and Bhura were travelling. Due to earlier grudge, he was afraid and he changed direction of his vehicle. They followed him in their vehicle. At about 10.45 p.m., while taking their vehicle parallel to his vehicle, they opened the window pane of conductor side of the vehicle. Varinder Singh was sitting on the conductor seat whereas Jagdev Singh was sitting on the rear seat. They fired towards him, with intention to kill him, hitting on the door of driver side of his vehicle. One fire shot after crossing the door of the vehicle hit his right thigh. His friend Manpreet Singh telephonically called their friends, who were coming towards them. Then all the assailants after firing and threatening them ran away from the spot. Since one bullet shot was hit on his thigh, his friend Amit Puri took him to Medicity, from there, he was referred to Mediways Hospital, Ludhiana, where he was undergoing treatment. Resultantly, present FIR was lodged.

6. DDR No.30 dated 15.03.2026 has been registered at the instance of complainant Vicky son of Rajinder Kumar, wherein he has claimed that since he has got fracture in his leg, thus he used to remain in the house. On 10.03.2026 at about 10.45 p.m., he along with his friend Amritpal Singh @ Amrit son of Ajaib Singh were gossiping while standing near the outer gate of Kali Mata Mandir. There Varinder Singh son of Vijay Singh, Jagdev Singh @ Jagga son of Amarjit Singh, Gurmanpreet Singh @ Rubal son of Gurpreet

Singh, Gurpreet Singh @ Bhura son of Resham Singh, Harmandeep Singh @ Ramgarhia son of Gurjit Singh and Harjot Singh @ Jota son of Darshan Singh were also standing there on black colored Scorpio vehicle bearing No.PB-65/BK-9675. As he was having friendly relations with them, so he was known to them. At that time, Kuljit Singh son of Ranjit Singh, Manpreet Singh son of Karnail Singh, Amit Puri son of Om Parkash Puri, Sukhwinder Singh @ Toti son of Dev Raj, Karan Kumar @ Mangu son of Som Nath and Avinash son of Surinder Kumar also came there on their black colored Scorpio vehicle bearing No.PB-02/EN-9477. On seeing Varinder Singh etc. there, Kuljit Singh stopped their vehicle. After opening the window pane of his vehicle, Kuljit Singh while abusing them fired straight shots from his pistol, with intention to kill them and thereafter sped away their vehicle towards Baghapurana side. Varinder Singh etc. tried to follow them. His friend Amritpal Singh @ Amrit is the eye-witness to the incident, who later on had gone to see his relatives. On the basis of abovesaid statement, DDR was lodged.

7. This Court has heard arguments advanced by learned counsel for accused/applicant, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State and have gone through the record carefully. It is case of version and cross-version. The accused/applicant is in custody since 17.03.2026. IO has stated that the accused/applicant has been involved in 12 cases. However, learned counsel for the accused/applicant has produced list of eleven cases. Out of these eleven cases, in three cases the accused/applicant has been acquitted. Whereas remaining cases are still pending. The presentation of challan and completion of trial is likely to take sufficient time. The criminal liability of the accused/applicant is a matter of trial. No useful purpose would be served by detaining applicant/accused behind bars for an indefinite period. Co-accused has already been granted concession of regular bail. As such, bail application is allowed and the applicant/accused is ordered to be released on bail subject to furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of learned Illaqa Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, subject to the following conditions:-

1. that he shall attend the court on each and every date of hearing;
2. that he/she shall not leave India without prior permission of the

trial Court;

3. that he/she shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;
4. The furnishing of above bonds shall be deemed acceptance that once the trial begins, the applicant shall not, in any manner, try to delay the proceedings, and undertakes to appear before the concerned Court and to attend the trial on each date, unless exempted. In case of an appeal, on these very bonds, the applicant also promises to appear before the higher Court in terms of Section 437-A Cr.PC.
5. The accused as well as surety shall submit atleast two of the following documents at the time of furnishing the bonds:-
 1. Passport,
 2. Pan Card Copy,
 3. Bank Passbook,
 4. Credit Card with photograph,
 5. Ration card,
 6. Electricity bill,
 7. Landline telephone bill,
 8. Voter ID Card issued by the Election
 9. Commission of India,
 10. Property Tax Register,
 11. Aadhar Card.
6. The accused as well as surety shall inform the police authorities as well as court about the change of his/her residential address, if any.

Nothing stated here-in-above shall have any bearing on the merits of this case. Copy of this order be sent to learned Area Magistrate/Duty Magistrate. Bail bond/surety bond furnished before learned Area Magistrate be sent to this court. File of this bail application be attached with the main file/remand papers or consigned to record room, as the case may be.

**Pronounced in open Court,
On 2.06.2026.**

Jagjit Singh Panesar, Stenographer-I

**(Muneesh Arora)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Moga (UID No.PB00135)**