

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, DENKANIKOTTAI

Present: Thiru. J. DHINESH KUMARAN B.A., B.L., (Hons.)
District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Denkanikottai

On Wednesday, the 12th day of August, 2026

Crl.M.P. No.379 of 2026

in

Crime No.250 of 2026
(On the file of Kelamangalam Police Station)

Leelavathi [F/40 years]

W/o. Veerappan

... Petitioner/Accused

Vs.

State represented by its
Inspector of Police,
Kelamangalam Police Station
Crime No.250 of 2026

... Respondent / Complainant

This application came up for final hearing before me on 12.08.2026 in the presence of Mr.M.Gnanasekaran, Advocate, the learned counsel for the petitioner and Learned APP appearing for the State. Having perused the records, heard both parties and having stood over the same for consideration, this Court on this day delivers the following,

ORDER

1. The petitioner has filed the present bail petition invoking section 480 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 ("BNSS") seeking grant of bail for the alleged offence u/s.318 (4) r/w 3 (5) and 112 (2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.
2. The allegation of the prosecution against the petitioner is that, the petitioner is alleged to have knowingly allowed her bank account to be used for routing proceeds of cyber fraud. In relation to the incident, based on the complaint lodged by the first informant First Information Report in Crime No.250 of 2026 was registered u/s.112 (2), 3(5), 318 (4). The petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 06.08.2026.
3. The petitioner avers that she has no involvement in the occurrence and she is innocent. The petitioner further claims that she is respectable inhabitant of her locality and has deep root in the society. The petitioner also undertakes to abide by any condition this Court may impose in the event of grant of bail. Hence, the petitioner prays for grant of bail.

4. The prosecution vehemently opposed for the grant of bail to the accused on the ground that investigation is pending. It is averred that if the petitioner is let out on bail, she may abscond and is also likely to tamper the witnesses and evidence. The possibility of the petitioner committing similar offences cannot be ruled out.
5. Perused the records and heard both parties. Offences alleged against the accused u/s.318 (4) and 112 (2) of BNS, 2023 are non-bailable in nature. The bank amount of the petitioner / Accused has been frozen. The accused has already undergone incarceration of 7 days. There are no immediate prospects of commencement of trial in the case; hence, further detention of the accused in judicial custody is not absolutely necessary. Thus, these facts weigh in favour of the accused.
6. At the bail stage, it is not needed for this Court to dwell deep into the merits of the case. The paramount consideration that this Court must weigh at this stage, is the likelihood of the petitioner appearing for the investigation and trial if let out on bail. This is also one of the important apprehensions of the prosecution. As per the case records furnished by the respondent police, the petitioner has her permanent residence in Krishnagiri District. Hence, this Court is of the view that the petitioner appearance for trial can be ensured by imposing suitable bail conditions.
7. Thus, considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the fundamental principle that *“Bail is the Rule and Jail an Exception”*, **this Court considers it just and proper TO GRANT BAIL to the petitioner** u/s.480, BNSS, for the offence u/s.318 (4) r/w 3 (5) and 112 (2), subject to following conditions:
 - 1.The petitioner shall execute a bond for **Rs.10,000/-** [Rupees Ten Thousand Only] with **2 [two] sureties** each for a like sum. Out of which one surety must be a relative of the petitioner. The petitioner shall attend in accordance with conditions of the bond. All proof submitted by the petitioner and sureties should be self-attested.
 - 2.The petitioner shall appear and sign before the **Respondent Police** twice daily at **10:00AM and 05.30 PM** for a period of **20 [Twenty] days** from the date next to the date of her release on bail.
 - 3.The petitioner shall produce a photocopy of her Aadhar Card/Bank Passbook.
 - 4.The petitioner shall not commit the same or similar offences as that of the alleged offence during the period which he is on bail.

5.The petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

6.The petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence and shall not make any inducement threat or promise to any person to dissuade them from disclosing the facts to the Court or police officer.

Directly dictated by me to the Steno-Typist, typed by him on computer, corrected and pronounced by me in Open Court on this 12th day of August, 2026.

J. DHINESH KUMARAN
District Munsif cum Judicial
Magistrate, Denkanikottai