

IN THE COURT OF SMT. POOJA PANDEY, JDUCIAL MAGISTRATE,
IST CLASS, WEST SINGHBHUM AT CHAIBASA.

Present-
Pooja Pandey,
J.M. 1st Class,
West Singhbhum at Chaibasa.

Chaibasa, dated 14th day of June, 2024.
District-West Singhbhum.

G.R. Case No. 620/2022

Manjhari P.S. Case No.73/2022
U/s 379/411of IPC
T.R. No 207 /2024

CNR:-JHCB03-002409-2022

Informant	State of Jharkhand..... P.S.I. Pawan Kumar Agarwal, S/o- Late Raghuvir Prasad Agarwal, R/o- Kanjikiro, P.S.- Pank Narayanpur, Distt- Bokaro, Jharkhand
Represented by	Sri Mukesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Addl. P.P.
Accused A1	Lalu Raut, aged about 28 years, S/o Jitendra Raut, Sex-male, R/o Village- Chhotamada, P.S.- Manjhari District- West Singhbhum.
Represented by	Sri S. K. Gupta, Ld. Advocate.

Annexure-II.

Date(s) of offence	29.10.2022
Date of FIR	29.10.2022
Date of Charge-sheet	21.12.2022
Date of Framing of Charge	25.01.2023
Date of Commencement of evidence	06.02.2023
Date on Judgment is reserved	10.06.2024
Date of the Judgment	14.06.2024
Date of Sentencing Order, if any	N/A

G.R. Case No. 620/2022

Accused details:

Rank of the Accused	Name of the accused	Date of Arrest	Date(s) of Released on Bail	Offences charged with	Whether Acquitted or convicted	Sentence imposed	Period of Detention Undergone during Trial for purpose of Section 428 Cr.P.C.
A1	Lalu Raut	30.10.2022	18.08.2023	U/s 379/414 of IPC	Acquitted		

Annexure-IIIList of Prosecution / Defence / Court WitnessesA. Prosecution

Rank	Name	Nature of Evidence
PW1	Dayanand Munda	General witness
PW2	Sanjay Kumar Munda	General witness
PW3	Mahto Kharia	General witness
PW4	Jitendra Raut	General witness
PW5	Sribant Barik	General witness
PW6	Sunit Surin	General witness
PW7	Pawan Kumar Agarwal	Informant
PW8	Asim Kumar Lakra	I.O./police witness

B. Defence Witness, if any:-

Rank	Name	Nature of Evidence
	NIL	

C. Court Witness, if any:-

Rank	Name	Nature of Evidence
	NIL	

List of Prosecution/ Defence / Court Exhibits.

A. Prosecution

Sr. No.	Exhibit Number	Description
1.	Ext. P-1/PW4	Signature of Jitendra Raut (PW4) on seizure list
2	Ext. P-1/1/PW4	Signature of Lalu Raut (A1) on seizure
3	Ext. P-1/2/PW5	Signature of Sribant Barik (PW5) on seizure list
4	Ext. P-1/3/PW8	Seizure list
5	Ext. P-2/PW7	Written report
6	Ext. P-2/1/PW8	Endorsement on written report
7	Ext. P-3/PW8	Letter No.738 of District Transport Office
8	Ext. P-4/PW8	Report of District Transport Office
9	Ext. P-5/PW8	Memo No.4596 dated 22.12.2022 of District Transport Officer, East Singhbhum in connection with vehicle (M-cycle /Scooter) bearing Registration No.JH05CC-7103
10	Ext. P-6/PW8	Memo No.4596 dated 22.12.2022 of District Transport Officer, East Singhbhum in connection with vehicle (M-cycle /Scooter) bearing Registration No.JH05AE-7410
11	Ext. P-7/PW8	Formal F.I.R.
12	Ext. P-8/PW8	Memo of arrest of accused Lalu Raut
13	Mark- 'X'	Photo copy of Sanah No.08/22 dated 03.02.2022
14	Mark- 'X1'	Photo copy of written report of Shafdar Khan
15	Mark- 'X2'	Photo copy of Sanah No.30/22 dated 14.07.2022
16	Mark- 'X3'	Photo copy of written report of Purna Chandra Pradhan

B. Defence:-

Sr. No.	Exhibit Number	Description
	No exhibit	

C. Court Exhibits:-

Sr. No.	Exhibit Number	Description
	No exhibit	

D. Material Objects:-

Sr. No.	Exhibit Number	Description
1	MO1/PW8	Hero Super Splender Motorcycle of red and black colour
2	MO2/PW8	Hero Passion-Pro motorcycle of black colour
3	MO3/PW8	Hero C.D. Deluxe motorcycle of red colour
4	MO4/PW8	Hero Super Splender Motorcycle of black colour
5	MO5/PW8	Hero C.D. Deluxe motorcycle of red and black colour

(i)Point/points for determination:-

Whether prosecution has proved the charge u/s 379/ 414 IPC against accused person, A1 leveled beyond all reasonable doubts ?

Judgement

The aforesaid accused person **A-1** is acquitted for the offences punishable u/s 379/414 of IPC

The reasons for the decision.

1. The case of the prosecution, in brief is that, on 29.10.2022 at about 09:30 A.M., he along with Reserve Gurads namely Mahato Kharia (Hawaldar), Sunit Surin (Police No. 87), Dayanand Munda (Police No. 902)

G.R. Case No. 620/2022

and Sanjay Munda (Police No. 16), departed from thana for patrolling. During the course of patrolling, he received a secret information that Lalu Raut (A1), S/o- Jitendra Raut, had stolen 04-05 motorcycle and kept hidden in his house for the purpose of selling. After giving information to the Senior Officials, reached near the house of Lalu Raut(A1) and on being inspected, police party recovered five motorcycle from back side of his house which are 1. Super Splendor (Black colour), Engine No. JH06EHJ9K14729, Chassis No. MBLJAR163J9K13644, 2. Hero Honda CD Delux (Red- Black colour), Chassis No. MBLHALIENA9J14514, 3. Hero Passion Pro (Black, Chassis No.MBLHA10BJFHD48696, 4. Hero Super Splendor Balck- Red colour), vide No. MBLJAR160J9F00474 and 5. Hero Honda CD Deluxe (Red colour), vide No. MBLHA11ERB9012586. When asked about all these motorcycle, he said that he had kept all five motorcycle by stolen. Further, on asked about aforesaid five motorcycle, he does not produce any valid document and does not give any satisfactory information. On interrogation, he told that the aforesaid motorcycle was stolen and kept hidden for the purpose of selling. Hence, the case

After investigation I.O. has submitted charge sheet bearing C.S. No.85/2022, dated 21.12.2022 against the accused person Lalu Raut (A1) for the offence u/s 414 of IPC, keeping the investigation pending in some other points, accordingly cognizance has also been taken for the same by the Ld. S.D.J.M. Sadar I/c and office is directed to open a supplementary case record.

2. The accused above named appeared and charge has been framed

against accused A1 for the offences u/s 379/ 414 of IPC, the same read over and explained to him in 'Hindi', to which he pleaded not guilty and claimed to be tried.

3. After closure of the prosecution evidences, the statement of accused person, A-1 was recorded on 29.05.2024 in view of section 313 of Cr.P.C. in which he denied the allegation levelled against him and claimed to be innocent.

4. The prosecution has examined altogether eight witnesses. First of all I would like to examine the informant of this case namely, Pawan Kumar Agarwal, which has been examined as PW7. He deposed in his examination-in-chief that the occurrence is of dated 29.10.2022. At that time he was posted as P.S.I. at Manjhari police station. On that day, Hawaldar Mahato Khadiya, constable Sumit Surin, Dayanand Munda were on day patrolling along with Sanjay Munda. While on patrol, he got secret information that a stolen motorcycle was kept in the house of Lalu Raut (A1) in village Chhota Mauda. After informing the senior officials, he along with the police team reached near the house of Lalu Raut at Chhota Mauda to verify the information and take necessary action. During that time, Lalu Raut (A1) was found present in the house and five motorcycles were recovered from the back side of his house. He has further deposed that on demand of paper regarding the recovered motorcycle, Lalu Raut could not produce any documents. After this, he alongwith Lalu Raut(A1) and the recovered motorcycles came to the police station for questioning and

verification and asked his father to bring the documents of the motorcycle to the police station. On interrogating in the police station, Lalu Raut(A1) told that the motorcycle was of stolen. After this the aforesaid vehicle was seized after preparing the seizure list in presence of two independent witnesses by P.S.I. Ashim Kumar. He has further deposed that in connection with the occurrence, he has filed a written report in his writing and signature, which he identified, marked as Exhibit-P2. Out of the five motorcycles recovered from the possession of Lalu Raut, two were Hero Honda Super Splendor, two were Hero Honda CD Deluxe and one was Hero Passion Pro motorcycle. He has identified the accused, present in the court.

In his cross-examination, this witness has deposed that he had received a secret information, but in this connection he has not recorded any sanha. He was unable to say the name of informer. He has further deposed that it is true that despite receiving secret information, he has neither registered any complaint nor made entry in the FIR. He has not seen any document of in the house of Lalu Raut. He does not know whose house is adjacent to the house of Lalu Raut, again says it belongs to Jayalal Raut. But he has not mentioned in the FIR that Jayalal Raut lives there. He has further submitted that there was no specific identification mark in all five vehicles and also does not remember the registration number, engine number, chassis number and model number of all five motorcycles. He has not attached any proof of all five motorcycles being stolen with the FIR. He cannot reveal the names of the owners of the five motorcycles. He has further deposed that he neither saw at the time of stealing or bringing a motorcycle by Lalu

Raut (A1), nor saw at the time of selling a motorcycle. He has further deposed that he has not put his signature on the seizure list. He has further deposed that neither he had put his signature on the seized motorcycle nor obtained by the accused. This witness has denied the suggestion of defence that no motorcycle was recovered from house of Lalu Raut (A1) and he has given false testimony against Lalu Raut in the court to implicate him in this case. He has further deposed that he identified the accused from earlier, as because he frequently used to go in in the village of accused.

5. **PW1 Dayanand Munda, PW2 Sanjay Kumar Munda and PW6 Sunit Surin** have deposed in their examination-in-chief that the occurrence is of 29.10.2022, at that time they were posted as Constable at Manjhari P.S. When they were out on patrol, at around 4 pm in the evening, they recovered five stolen motorcycles from the house of Lalu Raut situated in Chhota Mauda village. Out of the said five recovered motorcycles, two were Super Splendor, two were CD Deluxe and one was Passion Pro motorcycle. They have further deposed that during patrolling and recovery, they were accompanied by P.S.I Pawan Agarwal, Surit Surin and Hawaldar Mahato Khedia. At the time of recovery Lalu Raut(A1) was present in the house. The accused was presented through VC. and the witnesses have identified the accused.

In their cross-examination by defence, the witnesses have deposed that they went to the house of accused Lalu Raut, but they have neither demanded the paper regarding the ownership of the house from him, nor could submit any document regarding ownership. They could not say whose

house is situated to adjacent the house of Lalu Raut. They could not say the details of the recovered motorcycle nor say about the registration number and chassis number of the said vehicle. They could not say in front of whom the accused Lalu Raut (A1) was arrested. In connection with this case they was never interrogated by the investigation officer and denied the suggestion of defence that they have deposed falsely in this case and nothing was recovered from the house of accused Lalu Raut (A1).

6. **PW3 Mahto Kharia** has deposed in his examination-in-chief that the occurrence is of 29.10.2022, on that day he was posted as Constable at Manjhari P.S. On that day, he along with P.S.I. Pawan Kumar Agarwal, constable Dayanand Munda, Sunit Soren had gone out for patrolling. At the same time, P.S.I. Pawan Agarwal got a secret information that a stolen motor cycle was kept in the house of Lalu Raut (A1) at village Chhota Mauda. At about 4.00 P.M., they reached Chhota Mauda in the house of Lalu Raut(A1) and recovered five motorcycles from his house. On demand, Lalu Raut could not produce any document regarding the aforesaid motorcycle. After this, they brought the accused along with the recovered motorcycle to the police station and during interrogation, Lalu Raut told that all the motorcycles were of stolen and to sell these motorcycles, kept in alongwith him. Thereafter five motorcycles were seized by the police after making a seizure list, out of which there were Super Splendor two, CD Deluxe two and Passion Pro one. The accused was presented through VC. and this witness has identified the accused.

In his cross-examination, this witness has deposed that he has not seen

the documents of the house of Lalu Raut (A1) and also could not say whose house is next to the house of Lalu Raut (A1). He has further deposed that he cannot tell the specific identification marks of all five motorcycles. He cannot even tell the registration number, chassis number and engine number of the five motorcycles. He had never made any statement anywhere regarding this case. This witness has denied the suggestion of defence that neither any occurrence was occurred nor motorcycle was recovered from the possession of accused and also denied the suggestion of defence that he has deposed falsely.

7. **PW4 Jitendra Raut** in his examination-in-chief, has identified the accused (A1) who was presented through VC and told that he is his son. This witness has put his signature on the seizure list as a witness, which he identified, marked as Exhibit-P1. He has also identified the signature of his son Lalu Raut (A1) upon the seizure list, marked as Exhibit-P-1/1. He has further deposed that according to the seizure list on which he has put his signature, the police did not recovered anything from his house and turned hostile to the prosecution case.

In his cross-examination, this witness has deposed that police did not go to his house. The police called him and his son in the police station and asked whether the motorcycle was stolen, then his son replied that no and told to this witness to put signature on that paper and thereafter left your son. His son did not put his signature in his presence. He has further deposed that on which paper, this witness and Shribant Barik put their signatures, there was nothing. He has further deposed no any motorcycle

was recovered from the courtyard of the house of this witness. Police has not recorded the statement of this witness and Shribant.

8. **PW5 Sribant Barik** has deposed in his examination-in-chief, he has put his signature on the seizure list as a witness, which he identified, marked as Exhibit-P-1/2. He had signed this before the police at Manjhari police station last year in the month of October at that time Jitendra Raut was also present there. He does not know what was recovered. His elder brother Jitendra Raut told him outside of the police station that his son Lalu Raut (A1) has been caught by the police. After this he went with him to the police station, where police personal Pawan Agarwal told him to put his signature upon the seizure list. The accused was presented through VC. and this witness has identified the accused. On the request of prosecution this witness has turned hostile.

In his cross-examination, this witness has deposed that Jitendra Raut is his brother. He has further deposed no any motorcycle was recovered in front of him. He has further deposed that police took his signature on a paper, but he does not know about the contents of the said paper. He has put his signature as told by police.

9. **PW8 P.S.I. Asim Kumar Lakra** is the I.O. of this case. He deposed in his examination-in-chief that on 29.10.2022 he was posted as Officer-in-Charge at Manjhari Police Station. He himself took the charge of investigation of this case. After taking charge of investigation of police station case number-73/2022 dated 29.10.2022, he had perused the FIR. After that he recorded the re-statement of informant Pawan Kumar Agarwal,

in which he fully supported the FIR and the recovery. He has further deposed that according to the informant, inspection of the incident site revealed that the house of Lalu Raut is situated at a distance of 500 meters from Mauda Chowk, situated at a distance of about six kilometers to the east of the police station, where he had kept to sell the stolen motorcycle. This witness has also disclosed the boundary of the place of occurrence. He has further deposed that during the course of investigation he has recorded the statement of witnesses, namely, Mahato Kharia, Constable Sunit Surin, Constable Dayanand Munda, Constable Sanjay Munda, Purnachandra Pradhan, Shribant Barik and Jitendra Raut, where they have fully supported the occurrence and recovery. He has further deposed that all the motorcycles recovered in this case were brought to the police station for questioning along with the accused Lalu Raut (A1), who was caught in this case, gave a confession statement in front of him and accepted his involvement in the incident and did not produce any valid documents in relation to the recovered motorcycles. When it was not presented, in such a situation, all the recovered motorcycles was seized after preparing the seizure list in which the father of the accused and relative of the accused and accused Lalu Raut (A1) had put their signatures as witnesses. This is the same seizure list, which is in his handwriting and signature, which he identified, marked as Exhibit-P1/3. He has further deposed that except one of the recovered motorcycle, none had registration numbers marked on them. In such a situation, regarding verification of ownership of the recovered motorcycles on the basis of their engine and chassis numbers, correspondence was sent to District Transport Office, West Singhbhum and District Transport Office, East Singhbhum, in response to which District Transport Office, West

G.R. Case No. 620/2022

Singhbhum issued letter number 738/Pari. Dated 01.12.2022, according to which registration of engine number HA10ETFHD49457 and chassis number MBLHA10BJFHD48696. The Registration number is JH06H-9399, whose owner is Surdan Karpusa, the letter number and report of District Transport Office, West Singhbhum attached with the record are marked Exhibit-P3 & Exhibit-P4 respectively. He has further deposed that regarding the theft of the said motorcycle, an application was given by Surdan Karpusa in Tonto police station, the photocopy of which he obtained from Tonto police station, in relation to which the registered letter is Sanha 8/2022 dated 03.02.2022. The photocopy of Sanha obtained was attached with the case diary, which is marked Mark-X for identification. During the course of investigation, he has recorded the statement Surdan Karpusa, who has fully supported the case regarding theft of the above mentioned motorcycle. Thereafter, letter number 4596/Pari. dated 22.12.2022 was received from District Transport Officer, East Singhbhum, according to which the Engine number is JA06EHJ9F00439 and Chasis number MBLJAR160J9F00474 and registration number of the vehicle is JH05CC-7103 and owner of the vehicle is Md. Shafdar Khan. The record, the letter and report of District Transport Office East Singhbhum is marked as Exhibit-P-5. He has further deposed that an application was given by Shafdar Khan in Bishtupur police station regarding the theft of motorcycle, which he received from Bishtupur police station, whose Case number is 115/2022 dated 26.05.2022. A photocopy of the written report received was attached with the case diary, which is marked as Exhibit-X1 for identification. During the course of investigation, he has recorded the statement of Shakdar Khan, in which he has fully supported the theft of the

G.R. Case No. 620/2022

above mentioned motorcycle. Thereafter, letter number 4596/Pari. dated 22.12.2022 was received from the District Transport Officer, East Singhbhum, according to which the Engine number of the vehicle is HA11ECA9J33363, Chasis number MBLHA11ENA9J14514 and Registration Number is JHO5AE-7410, whose owner is Lakhan Mahato. The letter and report of District Transport Office, East Singhbhum is marked as Exhibit-P-6. He has further submitted that an application was given by Lakhan Mahato in Seraikela police station regarding the theft of his motorcycle, information received from Seraikela police station regarding which is Sanha No. 30/2022 dated 12.07.2022 and copy of the same is attached with the case diary, marked as Exhibit-X/2 for identification. He has further submitted that during the course of investigation, he has recorded the statement of Lakhan Mahat, who has fully supported the case of prosecution regarding theft of theft of the motorcycle. During investigation, he verified the recovered motorcycle bearing No. JH05CH in this case and it was found that this motorcycle was belongs to Purnachandra Pradhan, resident of Adityapur, which was stolen on 03.07.2022, in this connection a written report was given in R.I.T. police station being Case No. 47/2022 dated 17.07.2022. He received the written report filed in the occurrence from the police station which was attached with the case, marked as Exhibit-X3 for identification. During the course of investigation he has recorded the statement of Purnachandra Pradhan, in which he has fully supported the case of prosecution regarding the theft of the above mentioned motorcycle. After finding the occurrence true, he has submitted charge sheet bearing C.S. No.85/2022 dated 21.12.2022 for the offence u/s 414 of I.P.C. against the accused Lalu Raut (A1). He has further submitted that the five

G.R. Case No. 620/2022

motorcycles recovered by him were photographed together and separately, which is attached with the case diary, in which the red-black colored Hero Super Splendor is marked as Exhibit- MO1. Hero Passion Pro black colored recovered motorcycle is marked as Exhibit- MO2. Hero Honda CD Delux red colored motorcycle marked as Exhibit- MO3. The black colored Hero Super Splendor recovered motorcycle marked as Exhibit-MO4. Hero Honda CD Deluxe red-black colored recovered motorcycle marked as Exhibit - MO5. He has further deposed that endorsement on written report in his written report and signature, which he identified marked as Exhibit-P-2/1. The formal FIR was typed by Anil Mahato of CCTNS, which bears his signature, which he identified marked as Exhibit-P7. The arrest memo of accused was written and signed by him, which he identified marked as Exhibit-P-8. He claimed to identify the accused, who was on representation.

In his cross-examination, this witness has deposed that he has not submitted any evidence in the court that the house is belongs to accused Lalu Raut (A1). He has recorded the statement of any persons adjacent to the house of accused. He has not found any eye witness who has seen Lalu Raut at the time of stealing. During investigation, he could not find any such witness to Lalu Raut keeping the seized motorcycle. He has further deposed that the confession statement has been typed by him, he has not given proof of this in the confession statement. He has further deposed that photography of the incident site was not done. Five motorcycles were recovered near the house of Lalu Raut (A1), but he had not taken any such photograph with Lalu Raut. He neither put his signature on any of the seized motorcycle, neither the signature of the accused nor the signature of the witness was

obtained. He has denied the suggestion of defence that his investigation is defective and deposed falsely.

Finding

10. **Before analyzing the witnesses available on record it is important to discuss firstly the charges alleged against the accused person.**

Section 379 IPC at a Glance

The punishment for theft under Section 379 IPC includes imprisonment for up to three years, or a fine, or both.

Essential Elements of Section 379 IPC

There are various components or prerequisites for the crime of theft. All of these conditions must be completed for the theft offence to be considered complete. If any of them are missing, the theft is not considered to have occurred. The following are the essential elements of theft that must be established in any specific case in order to subject a person to punishment under Section 379 IPC, which specifies the penalty for stealing:

- **Willful intent to steal property-** The main element that makes the crime of theft punishable under Section 379 IPC is that the criminal must have malicious intent in order to commit theft. With dishonest purpose, the criminal typically causes the victim to sustain unjust losses while making an erroneous profit.

One key element in the crime of stealing is the dishonest intent to take

property. The purpose is one of the most important criteria for judging whether or not an act qualifies as theft. The intent to steal must exist at the time the property is moved.

The onus is entirely on the prosecution to prove that the defendant intended to act dishonestly. If the accused did not plan to steal, they are not guilty of doing so.

- **Movable Property-** The second important element of Section 379 IPC is Movable Property. Rather than being stationary, the stolen goods must always be mobile.

Therefore, it is illegal to steal real estate, structures, or other goods. Things affixed to the earth that cannot be moved are not subject to theft. If they are cut loose from the ground, Section 378 may apply to them as mobile property. For instance, trees are immovable property, but cutting them down and taking them away constitutes theft.

- **Taking control of the property without permission—**The prosecutor needs only to be in charge of the relevant property; he is not required to be its owner. In addition, the offender must purposefully take the property out of the prosecutor's control.

This means that taking possession of a piece of property is necessary in addition to simply claiming ownership of it.

Second, he must seize the property without the prosecutor's permission. This agreement may be explicitly stated or implicit. Without this element, the offender cannot be punished under Section 379 IPC.

- **The item has to be moved**—The property must be moved for the thief to complete the crime and take ownership of it. To put it another way, he needs to move it under his true control; just having physical possession is insufficient.

Moving is the removal of an impediment that prevents an object from moving. For instance, driving a car out of a garage after opening its doors is theft.

Summarizing Essential Elements of Section 379 IPC

Essential Element	Description
Dishonest Intent	Intention to permanently deprive the owner of the property
Movable Property	Property must be physically movable
Taking Control Without Permission	Taking possession without the owner's consent
Moving the Item	The physical movement of the property from its original position

Therefore, these are the essential elements that, in combination, make the offender liable for punishment under Section 379 IPC.

414. Dishonestly receiving stolen property.—

Whoever voluntarily assists in concealing or disposing of or making away with property which he knows or has reason to believe to be stolen property, shall be punished with imprisonment of either description for a term which may extend to three years, or with fine, or with both.

After perusal of all of the witnesses produced this court finds that both the seizure witness available on the record are hostile witness, they

only admitted the signature of the seizure list but also stated that they put their signature on the order of police, police persons said to them to put the signature on the seizure list and if they do that, police will relieve Lalu Raut they also stated that they put their signature on blank paper. They also stated that before them nothing was recovered, PW1, PW2, PW3, all stated in their cross examination that their statement were not recorded by the investigating officer, they stated that they dont know any thing about the KHATA NUMBER, PLOT NUMBER OF Lalu's Raut home, they also stated that they did not know anything about the neighbour's of Lalu Raut. The I.O. of the case did not make the real owner of the vehicle as prosecution's witness and exhibits X, XI, X/2, X/3 were only photo copies.

From the perusal of the above this court finds that prosecution is failed to prove the the charges against the accused beyond reasonable doubt.

Order

11. Thus, due to lack of witnesses of offence U/S 379 / 414 of IPC against the accused person facing the trial namely, **Lalu Raut (A1)** is acquitted of the charges leveled against them u/s 379, 414 of I.P.C.. The accused person is already on bail as such he is discharged of the liability of bail and bail bond.

Pronounced in open court:-

(Dictated and corrected by me)

(Pooja Pandey)
J.M. 1st Class,
West Singhbhum at Chaibasa
14.06.2024
J.O.Code JH 02055

(Pooja Pandey)
J.M. 1st Class,
West Singhbhum at Chaibasa
14.06.2024
J.O.Code JH 02055