

**IN THE COURT OF AMIT THIND,
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE,
BATHINDA. (UID No. PB-0189)**

CNR No.PBBT01-008015-2019

CIS No.BA/1580/2019

Order dated : 15.06.2019

State	Versus	Vikramjit Singh, aged about 28 years son of Charanjit Singh, son of Gurdial Singh, resident of vilalge Burj Kahan Singh wala, Tehsil and District Bathinda, now in judicial custody in Central Jail, Bathinda. Applicant/Accused. FIR No.52 of 08.03.2016. U/s 379-B,382,411 of IPC P.S. Nathana, District Bathinda.
-------	--------	---

Bail Application U/s 439 Cr.P.C.

Present : Sh. Daljit Sharma, counsel for the applicant/accused.
Sh. Harvinder Singh, Addl. PP for the respondent/State.

ORDER :

1. This instant bail application for grant of regular bail under section 439 of Cr.P.C., has been filed by the above said applicant, who has been named as accused in above referred FIR.
2. Notice of the bail application was issued to the Addl.PP for the State. Though formal reply was filed, but, grant of bail was opposed by Learned Addl. Public Prosecutor for the State.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant has submitted that the applicant/ accused was already on bail in this case, but on 4.4.2019, he could not appear before the court due to unavoidable circumstances. The absence of applicant/ accused was neither international nor willful rather due to above circumstances, which were beyond his control. He further submitted that the accused/ applicant had surrendered in the court on 21.5.2019 and since then, he is in judicial custody. Conclusion of trial will

take sufficient long time and as such, no purpose would be served by detaining applicant/accused behind bars.

4. On the other hand, learned Addl.PP for the State has vehemently opposed the bail application and prayed for dismissal of the bail application.

5. From the perusal of record, it transpires that the accused/ applicant was already on bail in this case, but he did not appear in the court on 4.4.2019, as a result of which, his bail bonds and surety bonds were cancelled and forfeited to the State and he was ordered to be served through non-bailable warrants. On 21.5.2019, accused/ applicant had surrendered in the court and since then, he is cooling his heels behind the bars. He must have learnt a lesson by now. Conclusion of trial is likely to take time. As such, taking into consideration all these aspects, this court is of the considered view that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the accused/ applicant Vikramjit Singh behind the bars for indefinite period. Therefore, accused/ applicant Vikramjit Singh is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the tune of Rs.50,000/- with one surety in the like amount subject to the condition that he shall not leave India without prior permission of the court. Bail appropriation stands disposed of accordingly. Record be attached with the main file.

Pronounced in open Court.
Dated: 15.06.2019.

(Amit Thind),
Additional Sessions Judge,
Bathinda.
(UID PB-0189)

Kulwinder Singh,
Stenographer

CNR No.PBBT01-008015-2019

BA/1580/2019

State Vs. Vikramjit Singh.

Present : Sh. Daljit Sharma, counsel for the applicant/accused.
Sh. Harvinder Singh, Addl. PP for the respondent/State.

Record received. Arguments heard. Vide my separate detailed order of even date, the present bail application stands allowed. File of this bail application be attached with the main case.

Pronounced in open Court.

Dated: 15.06.2019.

(Amit Thind),
Additional Sessions Judge,
Bathinda.
(UID PB-0189)

Kulwinder Singh,
Stenographer