

**IN THE COURT OF SH. SANDEEP S. JOSSAN (PB0150)
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, FAZILKA.**

**BA No. 159 of 25.01.2018
Filing ID No. 363 of 2018
CNR No.PBFZC0-000491-2018
Decided on :- 01.02.2018**

1. Neelam Rani, aged about 25 years, wife of Sandeep Singh (residence has been wrongly mentioned as Sukhera Bodla in the FIR),
2. Sandeep Singh, aged about 28 years son of Hansa Singh (mentioned as unknown in the FIR), both residents of village Chak Maujdin Wala @ Surghuri, Tehsil Jalalabad, District Fazilka.
3. Inder Singh, aged about 58 years, son of Lahna Singh, resident of Sukhera Bodla, Tehsil Jalalabad, District Fazilka.

. . . Accused/applicants.

Versus

State of Punjab.

. . . Respondent.

FIR No.199 dated 24.10.2017 U/s 61/1/14 of Excise Act. P.S. Sadar, Jalalabad.

Bail application U/s 438 Cr.P.C.

Present: Sh. Saket Bajaj, Cl. for the applicants.
Addl. PP for the State.

ORDER

1. This order disposes off bail application of accused described in detail herein above.

2. Accusations leading to the registration of this FIR is that IO had received secret information that accused- applicant is in the habit of dealing in illicit liquor and working still. If raid be conducted then accused applicant can be apprehended. On the basis of said information raid was conducted which lead to the recovery of 60 bottles of illicit liquor and a working still, where as accused-applicant fled away from the spot. When the raid was conducted the outer gate of the house was locked and when police party tried to look over the wall, at that time one male and one female were trying to fill illicit liquor into the tube, accused Inder Singh was feeding the fire under the working still, accused was supplying Lahan with the help of buckets.

3. It is urged on behalf of the accused/ applicant that accused is altogether innocent and has been falsely implicated. The FIR is lodged due to political rivalry. Whole of the family of applicant No.3 including his daughters, son-in-laws have been falsely implicated. Accused Neelam Rani is married and is residing with her husband.

4. The bail application has been opposed.

5. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and going through the records of the case, it came out that accused is involved in criminal act of dealing with illicit liquor. So keeping in view the material facts of the case, nature of crime, gravity of offence and the manner in which it is alleged to have been committed, I find that extraordinary relief of anticipatory bail is not to be extended in such like cases and it would not only hamper the investigations but would also send a wrong signal to the society. Resultantly, application is found to be without merit and is dismissed.

Before parting with this order. Let it be clear that all said and observed herein before is only for the purpose of deciding the application in hand shall not have any effect upon the merits or ultimate out come of the case.

File to be consigned to the record room.

-Sd/-

Pronounced. Dated: 01.02.2018	Sandeep S. Jossan PB0150 Additional Sessions Judge, Fazilka.
--	---

typed by Sunil Kumar,
Stenographer Gr-1