

**IN THE COURT OF SESSION (VACATION COURT),
ERNAKULAM DIVISION**

Present:
**Sri. V P M Suresh Babu, Additional District & Sessions Judge-VII,
Ernakulam
(Judge, Vacation Court)**

Tuesday, the 5th day of May, 2026/15th Vysakha, 1948

BA No.888/2026
(Crime No.2442/2025 of Angamaly Police Station)

Petitioner/ Noushad.P.K., aged 61, S/o.Kochumuhammed,
Accused: Pattarumadam House, Kandanthara, Vengola.P.O.,
Allapra, Ernakulam District, Pin-683556.

By Adv. Shahanas Salma Abbas

Respondent/ State of Kerala, represented by Station House Officer,
Complainant: Angamaly Police Station through Public Prosecutor,
District & Sessions Court, Ernakulam-682011.

By Additional Public Prosecutor Sri. Jolly George

This petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023 to grant regular bail to the petitioner.

This petition coming on for hearing on 04.05.2026 and the Court on 05.05.2026 passed the following:

ORDER

This application is filed u/s.483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita for bail by the accused in Crime No.2442/2025 of Angamaly Police Station registered for the offence punishable u/S. 316(2) & 318(4) of Bharatiya

Nyaya Sanhita.

2. The prosecution case is that on 01.12.2025, the accused pledged gold anglets before Shelter Finance Ltd., Angamaly, where the defacto complainant is the manager, and obtained a sum of ₹1,52,500/-. Subsequently, it is found that it is a spurious gold. Thus, the accused has caused wrongful loss to the defacto complainant by dishonestly pledging the ornaments and thereby committed the above mentioned offences.

3. The petitioner was arrested on 29.03.2026 and remanded to judicial custody.

4. The Public Prosecutor opposed the application and filed a detailed objection.

5. Heard both sides.

6. Only point for consideration is:

Whether the petitioner is entitled to bail?

7. **The Point:-** The learned Advocate for the petitioner submitted that the crime was registered against one Sajeewan. V. K., on 05.12.2025. Subsequently, on 29.03.2026, the petitioner was arrested. He is not the actual accused in this case. He is the breadwinner of the family and responsible for the care of his children. He is aged 61 years and suffering from illness. Therefore, bail may be granted.

8. I have gone through the objection filed by the prosecution and

the case diary. The crime is registered on 05.12.2025 based on the first information given by the defacto complainant. The crime is registered against one Sajeevan. According to the prosecution, by verifying the CCTV footages, the petitioner is identified as the accused, though the gold ornament is pledged in the fake name as Sajeevan. The objection filed by the prosecution shows that the petitioner is involved in 16 similar crimes registered in different police stations in Ernakulam and other district.

9. On going through the prosecution records, I find that there are prima facie materials to show the involvement of the petitioner in the crime. Though, the gold is pledged with a name as Sajeevan, he is involved in several similar offences registered across the state. Therefore, I am of the view that this is not a fit case to exercise the discretion to grant the relief of bail to the petitioner.

In the result, bail application is dismissed.

Dictated to the confidential Asst. transcribed and typed by her, corrected and pronounced by me in open court on this the 5th day of May, 2026.

Sd/-
V P M Suresh Babu
Judge, Vacation Court

Typed by: Sindhu S.T
Comp.by:

//Truecopy//
(By Order)

Sd/-
Vacation Officer

BA No.888/2026
Order dated:

05.05.2026