

Spl. TR 07 of 2024
JO CODE No.WB01014
CNR No.WBUD01-003457-2024

Order No.14

11.03.2025

Today is fixed for production, appearance, report and call for CD.

Accused **Panchu Basak, Ashok Basak, Abdur Rashid, Md. Faijul Rahaman** and **Abdus Salam @ Saha Alam** are produced from J.C through VC and remanded to J.C.

Accused Rajibul Islam is also produced from J.C through VC on the strength of production warrant issued against him though there was a direction for his physical production.

Accused Rajibul Islam is informed about his production in this regard and in view of the materials on case record is shown arrested in view of the prayer of the I.O made earlier in the record.

Accordingly, he is taken into custody through VC and remanded to J.C.

Accused Sk Zakaria on bail in this case is produced from J.C through VC being shown arrest in connection with another TR case No.02 of 2024.

Accused persons **Mahatabuddin and Hayat Ali** are present by filing haziras along with their Ld. Advocates.

Accused Zane Alam is present by filing hazira along with his Ld. Advocate and fresh vakalatnama is filed on his behalf.

Two bail petitions are filed on behalf of the accused persons one for Rajibul Islam, Abdul Rashid, Ashok Basak and Md. Faijul Rahaman. Copies served.

Ld. Spl. PP is present.

Ld. Advocates for the accused persons are also present.

I.O is present and raised objection by filing a written memo of objection.

The bail petitions are taken up for hearing.

Ld. Spl. PP vehemently opposes the bail prayers.

Heard all sides.

Perused the case record.

After hearing both sides and on perusal of the case record and materials in CD it appears that initially CS was submitted on 21.07.2022 u/s 419/420/468/471/120B of IPC with adding Section 413/467 of IPC r/w Section 66D of IT Act and recently on 16.12.2024 supplementary charge-sheet has been submitted u/s 409/419/420/468/471/413/467/218/120B of IPC r/w Section 66D of IT Act.

On scrutiny of the materials on case record, this Court finds that it may not be disregarded that there is no material in view of Section 409 of IPC against these accused persons whereas after comparing the materials on record with memo of objection submitted by the I.O together with the materials in the part 13 of the CD as to the subsequent development in the case against the present accused persons this Court finds that prima facie there are developments and materials in this case against the accused persons. In this regard on minutely perusal of the order dated 25.02.2025 passed in CRM(DB) 2860 of 2024, CRM(DB) 3206 of 2024 and CRM(DB) 3413 of 2024 by the Hon'ble Court, it appears that Hon'ble Court has been pleased to reject bail prayer of the accused persons Faijul @ Md. Faijul Rahaman and Saha Alam. It further appears that previously pre-arrest bail prayer of the other co-accused persons named in the supplementary CS have been rejected by the Hon'ble Court as found from the downloaded server copies of the relevant orders of the Hon'ble Court.

At this stage one prayer is made on behalf of the I.O forwarded by the Ld. Spl PP with a prayer for considerable time to submit a complete compliance report in view of the order dated 01.03.2025.

In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances considering all aspects prayer of the I.O is considered and allowed for the ends of justice with a last chance to submit such complete compliance report, id necessary order.

In such circumstances, I am not inclined to allow the bail prayers of the accused persons at this stage.

Accordingly, bail prayers of the accused persons are considered and rejected at this stage.

I.O is directed to submit a complete progress report in the case by the date fixed positively.

To **22.04.2025** for production, appearance, report and call for CD.

D/C by me

Special Judge,
Raiganj, Uttar Dinajpur

Special Judge,
Raiganj, Uttar Dinajpur

