

**In the Court of the Ld. Sessions Judge, Uttar Dinajpur at Raiganj**  
**Crl Misc Case No.440/2026**  
**CNR WBUD01-000830/2026**

**Present:- Sri Surajit Mandal (WB00993)**  
**Sessions Judge-in ch, Uttar Dinajpur at Raiganj**  
**02/30.03.2026**

This application for bail u/s 483 of B.N.S.S filed on behalf of present accused/petitioner **Raja Kumar** in connection with Raiganj P.S. Case No.198/2026 dated 25.02.2026 vide GR 523/2026 u/s 304 B.N.S is taken up for hearing.

Learned Advocate for the accused/petitioner by filing an affidavit submits that no such application u/s 483 of B.N.S.S is pending in this Court or before the Hon'ble Higher Courts prior to this application.

On query, Ld. Public Prosecutor submits that there is no reflection in the CD that there is any bail application either pending or rejected by Hon'ble Higher Courts and IO also did not intimate him that any bail application is pending or rejected by Hon'ble Higher Courts.

Ld Advocate for the accused/petitioner submits that this is a case where FIR was lodged against the unknown miscreants alleging that the golden chain of the victim was snatched from the neck of the victim/complainant. Ld Advocate emphasized that on the basis of such unknown FIR, police had arrested this accused on 09.03.2026. Since then, he is in custody. During such custody, police had obtained PC of the accused and during the PC period, allegedly on the basis of information collected from this accused, another accused namely Nipun Sarkar was arrested on 12.03.2026. Recovery of one golden chain has been shown from the custody of that accused Nipun Sarkar. Ld Advocate emphasized that after completion of PC, this accused is presently lodged in J/C. During this period no prayer was made from the side of the investigating agency to conduct any kind of TI parade in respect of the material seized and also in respect of the accused persons who are in custody. Ld Advocate emphasized that as the investigation has proceeded to a good extent, further detention of the accused in custody is not required. Ld Advocate, accordingly, prayed for bail on any condition.

Ld Public Prosecutor raised objection and submitted that on the basis of leading discovery, the stolen/snatched golden chain was recovered from the custody of the other accused. The investigation in this case is proceeding and at this stage, if the accused is granted bail, he will interfere in the process of investigation. Ld PP, accordingly, prayed for rejection of bail application.

I have considered the FIR, seizure list and other relevant documents available in the case record. I have also perused the CD including statement of witnesses recorded u/s 180 B.N.S.S.

(Contd....)

It appears that the accused is presently in custody for some time. The investigation of this case is proceeding. I considered that in the interest of further investigation, detention of this accused in custody is required at this stage. Accordingly, prayer for bail u/s 483 B.N.S.S of accused **Raja Kumar** stands **rejected**.

Thus, the Criminal Misc Case is disposed of accordingly. Return LCR & CD.

Let a copy of this order be sent to Ld C.J.M, Uttar Dinajpur for information and necessary action.

Dictd. & cortd.

S.J-in ch.

Sessions Judge-in ch, U/Dinajpur.