

**NDPS Case No. 10 of 2026**  
**CNR NO WBUD01000461-2026**  
**J.O CODE WB00993**

**Later/Order No. 05**  
**24.02.2026**

**Regular P.O is on Spl. SIR duty.**

Today is fixed for production and I.O's report.

Accused person namely Deepak Sahani is produced from P.C and remanded to J.C till 10.03.2026.

The bail petition is filed on behalf of the accused.

Copy is served.

Ld. PP-in-charge is present by filing hazira.

Ld. Advocate for the accused person is present.

Bail petition is moved.

Heard both sides.

Perused the case record and CD.

Ld. Advocate submitted that this accused person is in custody since **13.02.2026**. He was taken in PC for seven days. Today the accused has been returned from PC. Report has been submitted that no fruitful result of the PC could be achieved. Ld. Advocate further submitted that the allegations brought against the accused person is fabricated. The accused person is not involved in the offence. Ld. Advocate further submitted that possession have been shown from the accused person of suspected brown sugar weighing 0.047 kg. The total quantity also falls below commercial quantity. It is further submitted that the purpose of detention for sake of investigation is already over and considering the fact that further detention in the interest of investigation is not necessary, bail be granted to the accused person on any condition.

Ld. PP submitted that the seizure shows recovery of suspected brown sugar which is below commercial quantity. The procedure of search and seizure has been properly performed. Ld. PP accordingly, prayed for rejection of bail petition.

I have considered entire circumstances. I take into considerations of the fact that the statutory bar of section 37 of NDPS Act does not apply to this case as the total quantity of seizure is below commercial quantity.

I also take into consideration that the investigation has proceeded to a good extent. The requirement of PC is already over.

This is a case where recovery of suspected brown sugar is shown from the custody of the accused as appears from seizures list dated 13.02.2026. But as the quantity falls below commercial quantity, the statutory bar under Section 37 of NDPS Act does not apply to this case.

At this stage, considering the entire aspects, I am of the view that further detention in custody of this person Deepak Sahani is no more required in the interest of investigation.

Accordingly, prayer for bail in respect of the accused person stands allowed.

Accused **Deepak Sahani** may find bail of Rs. 5000/- with one surety of like amount, subject to the Satisfaction of Ld. C.J.M, Uttar Dinajpur at Raiganj and on further condition that the accused person shall co-operate with the investigation.

If on bail to **10.03.2026** for appearance and I.O's report in final form.

Return CD.

D/C by me

Judge, Spl. Court, I/C  
Raiganj, UttarDinajpur

Judge, Spl. Court, I/C  
Raiganj, Uttar Dinajpur