

MINIONS VENTURES PVT LTD THROUGH AUTHORISED REPRESENTATIVE Vs.
M/S TDT COPPERS LIMITED THROUGH ITS PRINCIPAL OFFICER

07.08.2026

Matter is taken up on VC through Cisco Webex.

Present: Sh. A.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the Complainant through VC.
Sh. Pankaj Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the complainant.
Sh. Siddharth Jain, Ld. Counsel for the accused no. 2.
Sh. Suryodaya Prakash Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for accused no. 6 through VC.
None for accused no. 1 company.
Accused No. 2 & 6 through VC.
None for accused no. 3, 4 & 5.

NBWs issued against accused no. 2 on the LDOH received back unexecuted with report that flat is locked since the last 2-3 years.

An application for cancellation of NBWs has been filed on behalf of accused no. 2. It is stated in the application that the accused could not appear on the LDOH as he had to go from Delhi to Kolkata on account of pressing and unavoidable circumstances. Flight tickets have been annexed alongwith the application.

Application perused. Submissions heard.

Perusal of record shows that the conduct of accused no. 2 has been extremely poor and his conduct has been recorded in details in previous order. Cost has been imposed upon accused no. 2 on several occasions and even today a cost of Rs.2000/- is pending to be paid by accused no. 2. Process u/s 82 CrPC was issued against accused no. 2 previously and was canceled vide order dated 29.04.2024. The address mentioned by the accused in his bail bonds is incorrect as the report on NBWs clearly states that the flat has been locked since the last 2-3 years and is in the name of Archana Bhargav, however, once again the accused has filed the same address in his application seeking cancellation of NBWs. The reasons given for the non-appearance of the accused are not satisfactory and since the matter is at the stage of framing of notice against accused no. 2, the accused ought to have appeared through VC. It appears that accused no. 2 is playing with the judicial process and is deliberately not appearing before this court.

At this stage, accused no. 2 insists that he is residing at the same address mentioned in the arrest warrants and the address furnished by him today. He further submits that he is residing at the same address since the last 20 years. He further submits that the address has not been locked since the last 2-3 years and he stays infrequently at the said flat as he travels for work. He further submits that he has no intention of evading the process and he had informed the process server telephonically about his whereabouts.

This court is surprised at the submissions, as it is apparent that either the accused is making false submissions regarding his address or the process server has filed an incorrect report and has not made genuine efforts to arrest accused no. 2.

In the interest of justice and in view of the regret expressed by accused no. 2, NBWs pending against him are stayed till the NDOH subject to payment of cost of Rs.10,000/- during the course of the day to the complainant in addition to pending cost of Rs.2000/-.

Further, in view of the submissions, the bail bonds furnished by accused no. 2 are required to be verified.

Accordingly, let the address filed by accused no. 2 in his bail bonds be verified, through the Joint CP concerned, returnable on NDOH. It is made clear that if the said address comes back as unverified then the bail granted to accused no. 2 shall stand canceled and further coercive steps shall be taken against him for making false submissions before this court.

Accused No. 2 submits that he is extremely unwell and is barely able to speak and has requested to be provided another date for framing of notice.

List for framing of notice on **31.08.2026**.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

(Sidhant Krishan Singh)
JMFC (NI Act)-09, South
Saket Courts, New Delhi/07.08.2026