

Sunil Kumar Shrivastava Vs M/S A2Z Infra Engineering Ltd.

Present: Sh. Lalit Anjana, Advocate for the plaintiff.
Sh. Vaibhav proxy counsel Sh. Arun Gaur, Advocate for the defendants No. 1 to 3.
Defendants No. 6 to 9 proceeded against ex parte vide order dated 05.04.2022 and 09.12.2024.
None for defendants No. 6 to 9 (Sh. Prashant Sharma, counsel for defendants No.6 to 9)
Defendants No. 4 and 5 given up by plaintiff on 13.03.2023.

Today the case was fixed for filing reply of the application for leave to defend filed by defendant No. 1. Learned counsel for the plaintiff stated that the reply of the application has already been filed. Perusal of the files reveals that the reply of the application of leave to defend preferred by defendant No. 1 has been already filed by the plaintiff and the same is placed on the case file. Copy of the same has already been supplied to learned counsel for defendants No. 1 to 3. Arguments not advanced. An adjournment is requested by Sh. Vaibhav proxy counsel for Sh. Arun Gaur counsel for defendants No. 1 to 3 on the ground that due to some unavoidable circumstances the main counsel could not be appear before the Court today. Heard. Keeping in view the submissions made, the request for adjournment is allowed. Now the case is adjourned to **02.08.2025** for arguments on the application filed by defendant No. 1 for grant of leave to defend along with condonation of delay in appearing before the Court.

Date of Order:04.04.2025

vikram

(Arun Dabla)
Civil Judge (Jr. Division),
Gurugram/UID No. HR-0475