

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

Friday, the 19th day of June, 2026

Crl.M.P.No: 2251/2026

Vinith, S/o Anandhan,

....Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Periyapalayam Police Station
(Crime No: 66/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 15.06.2026 u/s 483 of B.N.S.S., praying to enlarge the Petitioner on bail

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioners Counsel M/s V. Nirmalraj, J. Prasanth, B. Shakthivel, G. Ezhilbalaji, the respondent police through the Public Prosecutor and hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 483 of BNSS seeking to release the petitioner who was remanded on 03.04.2026 in Crime No.66/2026 on the file of Periyapalayam police station registered for the offence u/s 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 103(2) of BNS.

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, petitioner is innocent, he has been falsely implicated in this case, the deceased is his wife and the petitioner had no intention to kill the deceased, petitioner and the deceased are known to each other, and only due to previous enmity, that the deceased involved in a murder case, the defacto complainant who is the father of the defacto complainant, lodged a false complaint and only on suspicion, he was arrested only through PT Warrant and he is already in custody for 78 , detention order as against the petitioner has been revoked in GO Rt.No. 3221 dated 08.06.2026, charge sheet has already been filed, he is ready to cooperate for investigation and abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that the deceased and the petitioner had previous enmity, the 1st son of the defacto complainant Gokul and the petitioner having a murder case at Sholavaam Police station, regarding that on 24.02.2026 at about 9 PM, near the land of one Rajendran, when the defacto complainant's son was consuming alcohol, at that time, the petitioner along with other accused surrounded him and brutally attacked him with knife, the defacto complainant's son sustained multiple and grievous injuries and died on the spot, based on the complaint, FIR was registered, the petitioner was arrested on 02.04.2026, the petitioner is having one previous case and charge sheet has been filed.

The petitioner states in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, charge sheet has already been filed. The detention order has already been revoked. Therefore, further incarceration of the petitioner would serve no purpose

Therefore, on considering

- (i) Long duration of custody of the petitioner for the 78 days
 - (ii) That the charge sheet has already been filed,
 - (iii) Detention order has already been revoked,
 - (iv) that as per the representation of the learned Public Prosecutor, it appears that the petitioner is a local resident
 - (v) the representation of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner being local resident will cooperate for committal and trial proceedings and will abide by any condition,
 - (vi) considering other facts and circumstances,
- this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner on imposing conditions.

In the result, bail is granted to the Petitioners on execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for the like-sum each to the satisfaction of District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate Uthukkottai and on condition that the petitioner to sign before the District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate Uthukkottai daily at 10.30 AM for 30 days. The sureties shall affix their photographs and shall produce Voter Card or Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book in proof of their identification. Any violation of the conditions, the Judicial Magistrate is entitled to take action as per the Judgment of the Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala (*AIR 2005 SCW 5560*). If the petitioners abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 19th day June , 2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur**

Copy to

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s V. Nirmalraj
Thiru. S.Murthy , Public Prosecutor for the State
The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate Uthukkottai
The Inspector of police, Periyapalayam police Station
The Superintendent, Central Prison-II, Puzhal, Chennai