

S.C. No. 87 of 2025  
CIS No. 87 of 2025  
CNR NO. WBSP07-002604-2025

**Order No. 07 dated 15.01.20265**

Received the case record on transfer from Ld. Additional District & Sessions Judge , 1<sup>st</sup> Court, Sealdah for disposal in accordance with law. Let the same be entered in the Register.

All the eleven (11) accused persons are produced from J/C.

Ld. P.P.-in-charge and Ld. Advocate for the accused persons are present before the court today.

Bail petitions have been filed on behalf of the accused persons Viz: **Riju Hazra** and **Sarukh Sekh** copies of which have been served upon the Ld P.P. in-charge.

The same are taken up for hearing.

In the separate bail petitions filed by Sarukh Sekh and Riju Hazra, it has been stated inter alia that they are in custody since long, being innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. Their respective families are suffering due to such detention. They have permanent residence and they may be enlarged on bail on any condition as this Court deems fit and proper.

Ld P.P. in-charge raised objection to such prayer and submitted that enlarging them at this stage would frustrate the trial which is otherwise ready.

Perused materials on record.

Considering the role played by the instant accused persons, the case being one of committing dacoity of more than Rs.2.66 Crores, I am not inclined to enlarged the accused persons on bail at this stage and accordingly, their prayer for bail stands rejected.

At this stage, two separate petitions are filed, one on behalf of the accused persons Viz: 1) Mintu Sarkar and 2) Sahanaj Sk. and another on behalf of Mustakin Alam Mullick @ Kajal stating inter alia that they have not received copies of documents, reports etc and the list of documents which the prosecution intends to relied upon before the Ld. Court during trial. Copy of the petitions have been served upon the Ld. P.P.-in-charge.

Heard Ld Lawyers for the accused and the Ld P.P. in-charge.

Perused materials on record including Form A, containing List-A List of documents to be relied upon and List-B List of documents not relied upon. List-A clearly mentions a total of 186 documents which the prosecution intends to rely upon during trial and the same has already been served upon all the accused persons as would be evident from their respective LTIs.

List-B is however the list of documents not to be relied upon and it states that no such documents were seized. It also bears the LTIs of all the accused persons.

Traversing Form-A clearly goes on to show that there has been a compliance of supply of copies to the accused as per BNSS. Moreover, the petitions under adjudication have not mentioned which of the documents have not yet been served to the accused. Such being the scenario, this Court comes to the inference that the documents were well served upon the accused and the petition so filed is accordingly, be met with an order of rejection having no legs to stand.

Fix 11-03-2026 for production of the accused persons and consideration of charge.

D/C.

2<sup>nd</sup> Addl. Sessions Judge,  
Sealdah, South 24 Parganas.

Subhajit Basu.  
2<sup>nd</sup> Addl. Sessions Judge, Sealdah  
JO Code: WB 00896