

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: Dr. J. JULIET PUSHPA, Ph.D. (Law)

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

Saturday, the 4th day of July, 2026

Crl.M.P.No:2249/2026

(CNR No. TNTR010048722026)

Deepakraj, S/o Angaiyan,

...Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
All Women Police station , Redhills
(Crime No: 30/2026)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 15.06.2026 u/s 483 of BNSS praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioners Counsel M/s R. Loganathan, S. Poovaraan, A. Inbatamizhan, M. Manikandan, V. Dinesh Kumar, and the State/ respondent police represented by Thiru.P.N. Udhayakumar, the Public Prosecutor, and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 483 of BNSS seeking to release the petitioner who was remanded on 30.05.2026 in Crime No. 30/2026 on the file of All Women Police station , Redhills registered for the offence u/s 296(2), 351(2), of BNS r/w 4 of TNPWH Act altered to 296(2) 351(2), 79, 75(2) BNS r/w 4 of TNPWH Act.

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, petitioner is innocent, he has been falsely implicated only due to wordy quarrel between the accused and the defacto complainant. The petitioner had only verbally scolded her, for which she lodged a false complaint, petitioner has no bad antecedents, , investigation completed, he has been arrested he is in custody for the 31 days in this case, he is ready to cooperate for investigation and will abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that the defacto complainant is having an insane daughter that on 30.05.2026 at about 2.30 PM, when the defacto complainant along with her daughter were in front of the house of her sister, the petitioner came near to her daughter and molested her by touching her breast, which was noticed by the public, when they tried to catch, they threatened them and escaped, based on the complaint, FIR was registered u/s 296(b), 351(2) r/w 4 of TNPWH Act and altered to section 75(2), 79, 296(b), 351(2) r/w 4 of TNPWH Act, and the investigation is pending.

The petitioner states in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, the investigation is pending in preliminary stage

Therefore, on considering

- (i) Grave nature of offence, which is against a woman,
 - (ii) Investigation is still pending,
 - (iii) Premature stage,
 - (iv) Serious accusation of offence u/s 75 (2) of BNS,
 - (v) And also considering other facts and circumstances
- this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 4th day of July, 2026

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur**

Copy to

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s R. Loganathan
Thiru.P.N. Udhayakumar, Public Prosecutor for the State
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ponneri
The Inspector of police, All Women Police station Redhills
The Superintendent, Central Prison-II, Puzhal, Chennai