

Special Trial No. 07 (03)2023
Special Case No. 36 of 2022
Beniapukur PS Case No. 267 dt. 12.06.2022
(CIS-37/2022)

Order no. 18 dated 16.04.2025

The accused persons namely **Sk. Sahid @ Sahil and Shahin** are absent and represented through their Ld. Advocate U/s 317 Cr.P.C.

The IO is present with the victim and the mother of the victim.

It appears from the case record that father of the victim was already examined and today was fixed for evidence of victim and her mother.

The Ld. Advocate for the accused submits that since long the accused did not keep any touch with him and as such, without getting proper instruction from his client, he is not in a position to cross examine the witnesses.

I think that it is the absence of the accused persons for which the case could not be proceeded.

The accused persons deliberately misused the liberty.

So, I am not at all inclined to show any soft attitude towards the said accused.

Issue WA against the accused persons **Sk. Sahid @ Sahil and Shahin**.

Ld. ACJM, Sealdah is hereby directed to start proceeding under section 446 Cr.P.C against the sureties of the said accused persons.

Let a copy of this order along with bail bonds of the said accused persons be sent to the Ld. ACJM, Sealdah with direction to place the compliance report by 04.06.2025

To **04.06.2025** for ER of WA.

The witnesses turned up today, are discharged without examination.

D/C by me

ASJ, 1st Court, Sealdah

(Anirban Das)/WB0691
Addl. Sessions Judge, 1st Court,
Sealdah, 24-Pgs.(S).