

Order no.10.

Dt.30.06.2021.

Both the accused persons are not produced from J/C physically.

The Ld. PP in-charge Sri Tapan Roy is present.

The bail petition of the accused Priyanka Rajak is filed by the defence side. The Ld. Lawyer for the accused has submitted in detail in the context of his prayer of the petition which confined to the step starting from filing or lodging FIR to carry out the investigation by the IO of this case. He has further stated that there is no eye witness of the crime and the confessional statement is not in accordance with law and the accused is lady one and has been in J/C for more than 8 months and if the bail is allowed she will follow the condition to be imposed on her even she will not reside in the premises as the other witness could be influenced by her as it is shown apprehension of being influenced by the Ld. PP in-charge. On the contrary Ld. PP in-charge has raised objection to the bail petition of the accused.

Heard both sides. Considered.

On being heard both sides and on being seen the record and on being perused the bail petition which is in elaborate manner it is to hold that the case is at the stage of evidence and the offence is grave in nature and by weighing the gravity of the offence if the bail is allowed at this juncture there is a chance for influencing witness thereby prosecution trial may be hampered resulting in the bail petition of the accused Priyanka Rajak stands rejected and the bail petition is disposed off.

To 26.07.21 for production and evidence.

Issue summons accordingly.

D/C by me,

ASJ, FTC-I, Sealdah.

ASJ, FTC-I, Sealdah.  
JO Code: WB00713.