

S. T. 01(08)19.  
S. C. 08(05)19.

Order No.01.  
Dt.08.08.19.

Both the accused persons on court bail are present by filing hazira.

The record is taken up for consideration of charge.

Ld. P. P. in charge Sri Ashim Kumar opens the case.

Hd. the Ld. P.P. in-charge who submits that there is sufficient materials to constitute charge against the accused persons u/sec.376D/506(ii) of the IPC.

Having heard the contentions of both the sides and the materials on record as well as case diary, I am of the view that there is sufficient incriminating materials against the accused persons for committing an offence u/sec.376D/506(ii) of the IPC for which the accused persons can be tried.

Accordingly, charge is framed against the accused persons u/sec.376D/506(ii) of the IPC in a separate sheet which is kept with the record. The contents of the charge is read over and explained to the accused persons in Bengali to which the accused persons pleaded not guilty by saying “**Ami Nirdosh**” individually and claimed to be tried.

Fix 01.10.19 for evidence of CSW 1.

03.10.19 for evidence of CSW 2.

Prosecution to take steps.

Issue summons accordingly.

Accused persons are as before.

D/C by me,

ASJ 2<sup>nd</sup> FTC, Sealdah.

ASJ 2<sup>nd</sup> FTC, Sealdah.

S. T. 04(07)19.  
S. C. 31(07)18.

Order No.01.  
Dt.19.07.19.

Sole accused person on Court bail is present.

The record is taken up for consideration of charge.

Ld. P. P. in charge Sri Kishore Banerjee opens the case.

Heard both sides.

Having heard the contentions of both the sides and the materials on record as well as case diary, I am of the view that there is sufficient incriminating materials against the accused person for committing an offence u/sec.279/304–II of the IPC for which the accused person can be tried.

Accordingly, charge is framed against the accused person u/sec.279/304–II of the IPC in a separate sheet which is kept with the record. The contents of the charge is read over and explained to the accused person in Bengali to which the accused person pleads not guilty by saying “**Ami Nirdosh**” and claims to be tried.

Fix 20.09.19 and 21.09.19 for evidence.

Prosecution to take steps.

Issue summons accordingly.

D/C by me,

ASJ 2<sup>nd</sup> FTC, Sealdah.

ASJ 2<sup>nd</sup> FTC, Sealdah.

S. T. 02(06)19.  
S. C. 11(04)19.

Order No.01.  
Dt.17.06.19.

All the 07 accused persons are produced from J/C.

Accused number 06 Fantu Sardar files a petition praying for bail.

It appears that Ld. Advocate, Sri Suman Sarkar has been appointed by DLSA, South 24-Parganas to conduct of this case on behalf of the other accused persons in custody.

The record is taken up for consideration of charge.

Ld. P. P. in charge Sri Vivek Sharma opens the case.

Hd. the Ld. P.P. in-charge who submits that there is sufficient materials to constitute charge against the accused persons u/sec.399/402 of the IPC.

Having heard the contentions of both the sides and the materials on record as well as case diary, I am of the view that there is sufficient incriminating materials against the accused persons for committing an offence u/sec.399/402 of the IPC for which the accused persons can be tried.

Accordingly, charge is framed against the accused persons u/sec.399/402 of the IPC in a separate sheet which is kept with the record. The contents of the charge is read over and explained to the accused persons in Bengali to which the accused persons pleaded not guilty by saying “**Ami Nirdosh**” individually and claimed to be tried.

The bail petition filed by accused number 6 is taken up for hearing. It is submitted that the accused persons will face trial and they have fixed place of abode and as such there is no chance of abscondance. Accordingly, the accused persons pray for bail.

Strong objection is taken by the Ld. PP in-charge.

On consideration of the materials on record it appears that there is a serious allegation against the accused person. If released on bail, there is chance of abscondance and it would be difficult to again apprehend him. Thus, there will be delay in disposal of this case. Considering the above facts and circumstances, the prayer for bail stands rejected.

Fix 05.08.19 for evidence of CSW 1.

06.08.19 for evidence of CSW 2, 3 and 4.

07.08.19 for evidence of CSW 5, 6 and 7.

Prosecution to take steps.

Issue summons accordingly.

Accused persons are remanded to J/C till 05.08.19.

To date for production.

D/C by me,

ASJ 2<sup>nd</sup> FTC, Sealdah.

ASJ 2<sup>nd</sup> FTC, Sealdah.

S. T. 04(03)19.  
S. C. 20(12)18.

Order No.01.  
Dt.06.03.19.

All the 04 accused persons on court bail are present by filing hazira.

The record is taken up for consideration of charge.

Ld. P. P. in charge Sri Hiren Banerjee opens the case.

Hd. the Ld. P.P. in-charge who submits that there is sufficient materials to constitute charge against the accused persons u/sec.326/307/34 of the IPC.

Having heard the contentions of both the sides and the materials on record as well as case diary, I am of the view that there is sufficient incriminating materials against the accused persons for committing an offence u/sec.326/307/34 of the IPC for which the accused persons can be tried.

Accordingly, charge is framed against the accused persons u/sec.326/307/34 of the IPC in a separate sheet which is kept with the record. The contents of the charge is read over and explained to the accused persons in Bengali to which the accused persons pleaded not guilty by saying “**Ami Nirdosh**” individually and claimed to be tried.

Fix 10.06.19 for evidence of CSW 1.

11.06.19 for evidence of CSW 2.

12.06.19 for evidence of CSW 3.

Prosecution to take steps.

Issue summons accordingly.

Accused persons are as before.

D/C by me,

ASJ 2<sup>nd</sup> FTC, Sealdah.

ASJ 2<sup>nd</sup> FTC, Sealdah.

S. T. 03(03)19.  
S. C. 06(12)16.

Order No.01.  
Dt.06.03.19.

All the 04 accused persons on court bail are present by filing hazira.

The record is taken up for consideration of charge.

Ld. P. P. in charge Sri Barun Dutta opens the case.

Hd. the Ld. P.P. in-charge who submits that there is sufficient materials to constitute charge against the accused persons u/sec.326/307/34 of the IPC.

Having heard the contentions of both the sides and the materials on record as well as case diary, I am of the view that there is sufficient incriminating materials against the accused persons for committing an offence u/sec.326/307/34 of the IPC for which the accused persons can be tried.

Accordingly, charge is framed against the accused persons u/sec.326/307/34 of the IPC in a separate sheet which is kept with the record. The contents of the charge is read over and explained to the accused persons in Bengali to which the accused persons pleaded not guilty by saying “**Ami Nirdosh**” individually and claimed to be tried.

Fix 21.05.19 for evidence of CSW 1.

22.05.19 for evidence of CSW 2, 3 and 4.

Prosecution to take steps.

Issue summons accordingly.

Accused persons are as before.

D/C by me,

ASJ 2<sup>nd</sup> FTC, Sealdah.

ASJ 2<sup>nd</sup> FTC, Sealdah.

S. T. 01(03)19.  
S. C. 24(12)18.

Order No.01.  
Dt.01.03.19.

All the 05 accused persons are produced from J/C.

Accused number 01 Md. Farid files a petition praying for bail.

It appears that Ld. Advocate, Sri Suman Sarkar has been appointed by DLSA, South 24-Parganas to conduct of this case on behalf of the other accused persons in custody.

The record is taken up for consideration of charge.

Ld. P. P. in charge Sri Netai Chandra Kar opens the case.

Hd. the Ld. P.P. in-charge who submits that there is sufficient materials to constitute charge against the accused persons u/sec.399/402 of the IPC.

Having heard the contentions of both the sides and the materials on record as well as case diary, I am of the view that there is sufficient incriminating materials against the accused persons for committing an offence u/sec.399/402 of the IPC for which the accused persons can be tried.

Accordingly, charge is framed against the accused persons u/sec.399/402 of the IPC in a separate sheet which is kept with the record. The contents of the charge is read over and explained to the accused persons in Bengali to which the accused persons pleaded not guilty by saying “**Ami Nirdosh**” individually and claimed to be tried.

The bail petition filed by accused number 1 is taken up for hearing. It is submitted that the accused persons will face trial and they have fixed place of abode and as such there is no chance of abscondance. Accordingly, the accused persons pray for bail.

Strong objection is taken by the Ld. PP in-charge.

On consideration of the materials on record it appears that there is a serious allegation against the accused person. If released on bail, there is chance of abscondance and it would be difficult to again apprehend him. Thus, there will be delay in disposal of this case. Considering the above facts and circumstances, the prayer for bail stands rejected.

Fix 16.05.19 for evidence of CSW 1.

17.05.19 for evidence of CSW 2, 3 and 4.

18.05.19 for evidence of CSW 5, 6 and 7.

20.05.19 for evidence of CSW 8 and 9.

Prosecution to take steps.

Issue summons accordingly.

Accused persons are remanded to J/C till 16.05.19.

To date for production.

D/C by me,

ASJ 2<sup>nd</sup> FTC, Sealdah.

ASJ 2<sup>nd</sup> FTC, Sealdah.

S.T. 05(02)19.  
S.C. 29(11)18.

Order No.01.  
Dt.16.02.19.

Sole accused person on Court bail is present.

The record is taken up for consideration of charge.

Ld. P. P. in charge Sk. Abdul Latif opens the case.

Heard both sides.

Having heard the contentions of both the sides and the materials on record as well as case diary, I am of the view that there is sufficient incriminating materials against the accused person for committing an offence u/sec.326/307 of the IPC for which the accused person can be tried.

Accordingly, charge is framed against the accused person u/sec.326/307 of the IPC in a separate sheet which is kept with the record. The contents of the charge is read over and explained to the accused person in Bengali to which the accused person pleads not guilty by saying “**Ami Nirdosh**” and claims to be tried.

Fix 29.04.19, 30.04.19 and 02.05.19 for evidence.

Prosecution to take steps.

Issue summons accordingly.

D/C by me,

ASJ 2<sup>nd</sup> FTC, Sealdah.

ASJ 2<sup>nd</sup> FTC, Sealdah.

S.T. 02(01)19.  
S.C. 17(12)18.

Order No.01.  
Dt.19.01.19.

All the 03 accused persons are produced from J/C.

Accused number 03 namely, Abdul Mannan files fresh Vakalatnama along with bail petition. The other two accused persons are also represented by their Ld. Counsel but no bail petition is filed on their behalf.

The record is taken up for consideration of charge.

Ld. P. P. in charge Sri Tapan Roy opens the case.

Hd. the Ld. P.P. in-charge who submits that there is sufficient materials to constitute charge against the accused persons u/sec.394/397 of the IPC.

Having heard the contentions of both the sides and the materials on record as well as case diary, I am of the view that there is sufficient incriminating materials against the accused persons for committing an offence u/sec.394/397 of the IPC for which the accused persons can be tried.

Accordingly, charge is framed against the accused persons u/sec.394/397 of the IPC in a separate sheet which is kept with the record. The contents of the charge is read over and explained to the accused persons in Bengali to which the accused persons pleaded not guilty by saying “**Ami Nirdosh**” individually and claimed to be tried.

The bail petition filed by accused number 3 is taken up for hearing. It is submitted that the accused persons will face trial and he has fixed place of abode and as such there is no chance of abscondance. Accordingly, the accused persons pray for bail.

Strong objection is taken by the Ld. PP in-charge.

On consideration of the materials on record, it appears that there is a serious allegation against the accused person. If released on bail, there is chance of abscondance. Thus, there will be delay in disposal of this case. Considering the above facts and circumstances, the prayer for bail stands rejected.

Fix 27.03.19, 28.03.19 and 29.03.19 for evidence.

Prosecution to take steps.

Issue summons accordingly.

Accused persons are remanded to J/C till 27.03.19.

To date for production.

D/C by me,

ASJ 2<sup>nd</sup> FTC, Sealdah.

ASJ 2<sup>nd</sup> FTC, Sealdah.

S.T. 07(04)18.  
S.C. 17(02)18.

Order No.06.  
Dt.18.12.18.

All the 07 accused persons on Court bail are present by filing hazira.

The record is taken up for consideration of additional charge against accused Md. Saddam Hossain.

Ld. P. P. in charge describes the further case of the prosecution against the said accused.

Ld. P.P. in-charge submits that there is sufficient materials to constitute charge against the above noted accused person u/sec.25(1-B)(a)/27 of the Arms Act.

Heard both sides.

Having heard the contentions of both the sides and the materials on record as well as case diary, I am of the view that there is sufficient incriminating materials against the accused person named above for committing an offence u/sec. 25(1-B)(a)/27 of the Arms Act for which the accused person can be tried.

Accordingly, charge is framed against the accused person u/sec. 25(1-B)(a)/27 of the Arms Act in a separate sheet which is kept with the record. The contents of the charge is read over and explained to the accused person in Bengali to which the accused person pleads not guilty by saying “**Ami Nirdosh**” and claimed to be tried.

Fix 13.02.19, 14.02.19 and 15.02.19 for evidence.

Prosecution to take steps.

Issue summons accordingly.

D/C by me,

ASJ 2<sup>nd</sup> FTC, Sealdah.

ASJ 2<sup>nd</sup> FTC, Sealdah.

S.T. 04(08)18.  
S.C. 13(06)18.

Order No.01.  
Dt.27.08.18.

All the 03 accused persons on Court bail are present.

The record is taken up for consideration of charge.

Ld. P. P. in charge Sri Barun Dutta opens the case.

Heard both sides.

Having heard the contentions of both the sides and the materials on record as well as case diary, I am of the view that there is sufficient incriminating materials against the accused person namely, Md. Touhid @ Pappu for committing an offence u/sec.307 IPC read with sec.25(1B)(a)/27 of the Arms Act and against the other accused persons for committing an offence punishable u/sec.307 IPC for which the accused persons can be tried.

Accordingly, charge is framed against the accused persons in a separate sheet which is kept with the record. The contents of the charge is read over and explained to the accused persons in Bengali to which the accused persons pleaded not guilty by saying “**Ami Nirdosh**” individually and claimed to be tried.

Fix 10.12.2018 and 11.12.18 for evidence.

Prosecution to take steps.

Issue summons accordingly.

D/C by me,

ASJ 2<sup>nd</sup> FTC, Sealdah.

ASJ 2<sup>nd</sup> FTC, Sealdah.

S.T. 03(08)18.  
S.C. 16(04)18.

Order No.01.  
Dt.24.08.18.

Sole accused person on Court bail is present.

The record is taken up for consideration of charge.

Ld. P. P. in charge Sri Uttam Chakraborty opens the case.

Heard both sides.

Having heard the contentions of both the sides and the materials on record as well as case diary, I am of the view that there is sufficient incriminating materials against the accused person for committing an offence u/sec.376/313 of the IPC for which the accused person can be tried.

Accordingly, charge is framed against the accused person u/sec. 376/313 of the IPC in a separate sheet which is kept with the record. The contents of the charge is read over and explained to the accused person in Bengali to which the accused person pleads not guilty by saying “**Ami Nirdosh**” and claimed to be tried.

Fix 03.12.18, 04.12.18 and 05.12.18 for evidence.

Prosecution to take steps.

Issue summons accordingly.

D/C by me,

ASJ 2<sup>nd</sup> FTC, Sealdah.

ASJ 2<sup>nd</sup> FTC, Sealdah.

S.T. 02(08)18.  
S.C. 10(04)18.

Order No.01.  
Dt.14.08.18.

Sole accused person on Court bail is present.

The record is taken up for consideration of charge.

Ld. P. P. in charge Sri Hiren Banerjee opens the case.

Heard both sides.

Having heard the contentions of both the sides and the materials on record as well as case diary, I am of the view that there is sufficient incriminating materials against the accused persons for committing an offence u/sec.307 of the IPC for which the accused person can be tried.

Accordingly, charge is framed against the accused person u/sec. 307 of the IPC in a separate sheet which is kept with the record. The contents of the charge is read over and explained to the accused person in Bengali to which the accused person pleads not guilty by saying “**Ami Nirdosh**” and claimed to be tried.

Fix 27.11.18, 28.11.18 and 29.11.18 for evidence.

Prosecution to take steps.

Issue summons accordingly.

D/C by me,

ASJ 2<sup>nd</sup> FTC, Sealdah.

ASJ 2<sup>nd</sup> FTC, Sealdah.

S.T. 06(07)18.  
S.C. 15(04)18.

Order No.01.  
Dt.19.07.18.

Sole accused person on Court bail is present.

The record is taken up for consideration of charge.

Ld. P. P. in charge Sri Hiren Banerjee opens the case.

Heard both sides.

Having heard the contentions of both the sides and the materials on record as well as case diary and in view of the last order, I am of the view that there is sufficient incriminating materials against the accused persons for committing an offence u/sec.307 of the IPC for which the accused person can be tried.

Accordingly, charge is framed against the accused person u/sec. 307 of the IPC in a separate sheet which is kept with the record. The contents of the charge is read over and explained to the accused person in Bengali to which the accused person pleads not guilty by saying “**Ami Nirdosh**” and claimed to be tried.

Fix 04.10.18, 05.10.18 and 06.10.18 for evidence.

Prosecution to take steps.

Issue summons accordingly.

D/C by me,

ASJ 2<sup>nd</sup> FTC, Sealdah.

ASJ 2<sup>nd</sup> FTC, Sealdah.

S.T. 03(07)18.  
S.C. 16(02)18.

Order No.01.  
Dt.13.07.18.

All the 06 accused persons on Court bail are present.

The record is taken up for consideration of charge.

Ld. P. P. in charge Sri Uttam Ghosh opens the case.

Heard both sides.

Having heard the contentions of both the sides and the materials on record as well as case diary and in view of the last order, I am of the view that there is sufficient incriminating materials against the accused persons for committing an offence u/sec. 498A/304B/34 and in the alternative u/sec.302/34 of the IPC for which the accused persons can be tried.

Accordingly, charge is framed against the accused persons u/sec. 498A/304B/34 and in the alternative u/sec.302/34 of the IPC in a separate sheet which is kept with the record. The contents of the charge is read over and explained to the accused persons in Bengali to which the accused persons pleaded not guilty by saying “**Ami Nirdosh**” individually and claimed to be tried.

Fix 28.09.18, 29.09.18 and 01.10.18 for evidence.

Prosecution to take steps.

Issue summons accordingly.

D/C by me,

ASJ 2<sup>nd</sup> FTC, Sealdah.

ASJ 2<sup>nd</sup> FTC, Sealdah.

S.T. 04(07)18.  
S.C. 13(04)18.

Order No.01.  
Dt.17.07.18.

All the 03 accused persons on Court bail are present.

The record is taken up for consideration of charge.

Ld. P. P. in charge Sri Uttam Chakraborty opens the case.

Hd. the Ld. P.P. in-charge who submits that there is sufficient materials to constitute charge against the accused persons u/sec.304 – I/34 of the IPC.

Having heard the contentions of both the sides and the materials on record as well as case diary, I am of the view that there is sufficient incriminating materials against the accused persons for committing an offence u/sec. 304 – I/34 of the IPC for which the accused persons can be tried.

Accordingly, charge is framed against the accused persons u/sec. 304 – I/34 of the IPC in a separate sheet which is kept with the record. The contents of the charge is read over and explained to the accused persons in Bengali to which the accused persons pleaded not guilty by saying “**Ami Nirdosh**” individually and claimed to be tried.

Fix 03.10.18 and 04.10.18 for evidence.

Prosecution to take steps.

Issue summons accordingly.

D/C by me,

ASJ 2<sup>nd</sup> FTC, Sealdah.

ASJ 2<sup>nd</sup> FTC, Sealdah.

S.T. 02(07)18.  
S.C. 16(03)18.

Order No.01.  
Dt.05.07.18.

Out of 10 accused persons, 06 on court bail are present and rests 04 are produced from J/C.

The record is taken up for consideration of charge.

Ld. P. P. in charge Mr. Tapan Roy opens the case.

Hd. the Ld. P.P. in-charge who submits that there is sufficient materials to constitute charge against all the accused persons u/sec.307/120B/34 of the IPC and against accd. Md. Bapi u/sec.25(1-B)(a) of Arms Act and against accd. Md. Kalo and Samim Ahmed u/sec. 25(1-B)(a)/27 of the Arms Act.

Having heard the contentions of both the sides and the materials on record as well as case diary, I am of the view that there is sufficient incriminating materials against all the accused persons for committing an offence u/sec.307/120B/34 of the IPC and against accd. Md. Bapi for committing an offence u/sec.25(1-B)(a) of Arms Act and against accd. Md. Kalo and Samim Ahmed for committing an offence u/sec. 25(1-B)(a)/27 of the Arms Act for which the accused persons can be tried.

Accordingly, charge is framed against all the accused persons u/sec.307/120B/34 of the IPC and against accd. Md. Bapi u/sec.25(1-B)(a) of Arms Act and against accd. Md. Kalo and Samim Ahmed u/sec. 25(1-B)(a)/27 of the Arms Act in a separate sheet which is kept with the record. The contents of the charge is read over and explained to the accused persons in Bengali to which the accused persons pleaded not guilty by saying “**Ami Nirdosh**” individually and claimed to be tried.

Fix 29.08.18, 30.08.18 and 31.08.18 for evidence.

Prosecution to take steps.

Issue summons accordingly.

Accused persons in custody are remanded to J/C till 29.08.18.

To date for production and appearance.

D/C by me,

ASJ 2<sup>nd</sup> FTC, Sealdah.

ASJ 2<sup>nd</sup> FTC, Sealdah.

S.T. 03(06)18.

Order No.01.  
Dt.12.06.18.

All the 07 accused persons are produced from J/C.

Accused number 01 Jahid Gazi files a petition praying for bail.

It appears that Ld. Advocate, Sri Subir Majumdar has been appointed by DLSA, South 24-Parganas to conduct of this case on behalf of the other accused persons in custody.

The record is taken up for consideration of charge.

Ld. P. P. in charge Sri Netai Chandra Kar opens the case.

Hd. the Ld. P.P. in-charge who submits that there is sufficient materials to constitute charge against the accused persons u/sec.399/402 of the IPC.

Having heard the contentions of both the sides and the materials on record as well as case diary, I am of the view that there is sufficient incriminating materials against the accused persons for committing an offence u/sec.399/402 of the IPC for which the accused persons can be tried.

Accordingly, charge is framed against the accused persons u/sec.399/402 of the IPC in a separate sheet which is kept with the record. The contents of the charge is read over and explained to the accused persons in Bengali to which the accused persons pleaded not guilty by saying “**Ami Nirdosh**” individually and claimed to be tried.

The bail petition filed by accused number 1 is taken up for hearing. It is submitted that the accused persons will face trial and they have fixed place of abode and as such there is no chance of abscondance. Accordingly, the accused persons pray for bail.

Strong objection is taken by the Ld. PP in-charge.

On consideration of the materials on record it appears that there is a serious allegation against the accused person. Recovery has also been made from him. If released on bail, there is chance of abscondance and it would be difficult to again apprehend him. Thus, there will be delay in disposal of this case. Considering the above facts and circumstances, the prayer for bail stands rejected.

Fix 23.08.18, 24.08.18, 27.08.18 and 28.08.18 for evidence.

Prosecution to take steps.

Issue summons accordingly.

Accused persons are remanded to J/C till 23.08.18.

To date for production.

D/C by me,

ASJ 2<sup>nd</sup> FTC, Sealdah.

ASJ 2<sup>nd</sup> FTC, Sealdah.

S.T. 02(06)18.  
S.C. 04(04)18.

Order No.01.  
Dt.07.06.18.

All the 05 accused persons are produced from J/C.

It appears that Ld. Advocate, Sri Subir Majumdar has been appointed by DLSA, South 24-Parganas to conduct of this case on behalf of the other accused persons in custody.

The record is taken up for consideration of charge.

Ld. P. P. in charge opens the case.

Hd. the Ld. P.P. in-charge Mr. Abdul Latif who submits that there is sufficient materials to constitute charge against the accused persons u/sec.399/402 of the IPC.

Having heard the contentions of both the sides and the materials on record as well as case diary, I am of the view that there is sufficient incriminating materials against the accused persons for committing an offence u/sec.399/402 of the IPC for which the accused persons can be tried.

Accordingly, charge is framed against the accused persons u/sec.399/402 of the IPC in a separate sheet which is kept with the record. The contents of the charge is read over and explained to the accused persons in Bengali to which the accused persons pleaded not guilty by saying “**Ami Nirdosh**” individually and claimed to be tried.

Fix 13.08.18, 14.08.18, 16.08.18 and 17.08.18 for evidence.

Prosecution to take steps.

Issue summons accordingly.

Accused persons are remanded to J/C till 13.08.18.

To date for production.

D/C by me,

ASJ 2<sup>nd</sup> FTC, Sealdah.

ASJ 2<sup>nd</sup> FTC, Sealdah.

S.T. 05(04)18.  
S.C. 02(01)18.

Order No.01.  
Dt.21.04.18.

Out of 11 accused persons, 10 accused persons namely, 1) Sabir Ali Mondal @ Sonu, 2) Mousumi Mondal, 3) Sk. Sanjay, 4) Sk. Sadakat @ Rajini, 5) Neha Khatoon @ Bittu @ Minu, 6. Sahini Khatoon @ Pinki, 7) Sabbir Khan, 8) Sanjay Singh @ Chotu, 9) Sohrab Ali and 10) Md. Sabbir Khan are produced from J/C.

Accused no.11 Shamsher Khan @ Guddu on C/B is present by filing hazira.

Accused no.3 to 7 and 9 and 10 are represented by their respective Ld. Advocates. Accused no.1, 2 and 8 are represented by Ld. Advocate Sri Suman Sarkar who has been appointed to conduct the case on their behalf by DLSA, South 24-Parganas.

The record is taken up for consideration of charge.

Ld. P. P. in charge Sri Uttam Chakraborty opens the case.

Hd. the Ld. P.P. in-charge who submits that there is sufficient materials to constitute charge against the accused person no.1 to 10 u/sec.364A/386/120B of the IPC. He further submits that there is also materials to frame further charge against the accused person no.10 u/sec.25(1-B)(a) of the Arms Act, 1959. He further submits that there is also materials to frame charge u/sec.473 IPC against the accused no.11.

Having heard the contentions of both the sides and the materials on record as well as case diary, I am of the view that there is sufficient incriminating materials against the accused person no.1 to 9 for committing an offence u/sec.364A/386/120B of the IPC and against accused person no.10 for committing an offence u/sec. 364A/386/120B of the IPC r/w sec.25(1-B)(a) of the Arms Act, 1959 and against accused person no.11 for committing an offence u/sec.473 of the IPC for which the accused persons can be tried.

Accordingly, charge is framed against the accused persons no.1 to 9 for committing an offence u/sec. 364A/386/120B of the IPC and against accused person no.10 for committing an offence u/sec.364A/386/120B of the IPC r/w sec.25(1-B)(a) of the Arms Act, 1959 and against accused person no.11 for committing an offence u/sec.473 of the IPC in a separate sheets which are kept with the record. The contents of the charge is read over and explained to the accused persons in Bengali to which the accused persons pleaded not guilty by saying “**Ami Nirdosh**” individually and claimed to be tried.

The bail petition filed by accused number 3 to 7 and 9 and 10 is taken up for hearing. It is submitted that the accused persons will face trial and they have fixed place of abode and as such there is no chance of abscondance. Accordingly, the accused persons pray for bail.

Strong objection is taken by the Ld. PP in-charge.

On consideration of the materials on record it appears that accused no.3, Sk. Sanjay was deeply involve in the alleged conspiracy of the alleged offence and number plate of the offending vehicle was seized from his possession. Moreover, the said accused resides outside the jurisdiction of this court and in such a situation there is serious chance that the

accused may not be easily apprehended in case of abscondance. Accused no.4, Sk. Sadakat @ Rajini was also deeply involved in the alleged offence and he has also been identified in

S.T. 05(04)18.  
S.C. 02(01)18.

the TIP. This accused person resides outside the jurisdiction of this court and in such a situation there is serious chance that the accused may not be easily apprehended in case of abscondance. The record also reveals clear involvement of accused no.5 Neha Khatoon @ Bittu @ Minu in the alleged offence. The record also reveals deep involvement of accd. no.7, Sabbir Khan in this case. It is found that cash money was recovered from the possession of this accused. Moreover, the accused person resides outside the jurisdiction of this court and in such a situation there is serious chance that the accused may not be easily apprehended in case of abscondance. The record further reveals that accused no.9, Sohrab Ali and accused no.10 Md. Sabbir Khan was deeply involved in the alleged offence and they had stolen the offending vehicle for the purpose of using the same in the alleged offence. In view of such materials on record in respect of the above named accused persons, I am not inclined to release them on bail at this stage considering the nature and the gravity of the offence alleged against them and the bail prayer stands rejected.

However, on perusal of the materials on record it appears to me that the main allegation against the accused no.6, Sahini Khatoon @ Pinki is that she has been a part of the criminal conspiracy that was hatched up in this case and there was no specific part played by her in the alleged offence. Moreover, the accused is a female person. Considering the materials on record against this accused person, I am inclined to release her on interim bail of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of Rs.5,000/- each of which one must be local having landed property within the jurisdiction of Topsia P.S subject to the satisfaction of Ld. ACJM, Sealdah with condition to appear before this court personally on each and every date of hearing of this case without fail i.d. to J.C.

The accused persons are remanded to J/C till 20.06.2018.

Fix 20.06.18 and 21.06.18 for evidence.

Issue summons accordingly.

Let a copy of this order be sent to the Ld. ACJM, Sealdah for information and necessary action.

D/C by me,

ASJ 2<sup>nd</sup> FTC, Sealdah.

ASJ 2<sup>nd</sup> FTC, Sealdah.

S.T. 05(03)18.  
S.C. 09(02)18.

Order No.01.  
Dt.26.03.18.

All the 06 accused persons are produced from J/C.

Accused number 05 Md. Nasim and accused number 06 Sukur Ali Mondal files fresh Vakalatnama along with a petition for bail.

It appears that Ld. Advocate, Sri Subir Majumdar has been appointed by DLSA, South 24-Parganas to conduct of this case on behalf of the other accused persons in custody.

The record is taken up for consideration of charge.

Ld. P. P. in charge opens the case.

Hd. the Ld. P.P. in-charge who submits that there is sufficient materials to constitute charge against the accused persons u/sec.399/402 of the IPC.

Having heard the contentions of both the sides and the materials on record as well as case diary, I am of the view that there is sufficient incriminating materials against the accused persons for committing an offence u/sec.399/402 of the IPC for which the accused persons can be tried.

Accordingly, charge is framed against the accused persons u/sec.399/402 of the IPC in a separate sheet which is kept with the record. The contents of the charge is read over and explained to the accused persons in Bengali to which the accused persons pleaded not guilty by saying “**Ami Nirdosh**” individually and claimed to be tried.

The bail petition filed by accused number 5 and 6 is taken up for hearing. It is submitted that the accused persons will face trial and they have fixed place of abode and as such there is no chance of abscondance. Accordingly, the accused persons pray for bail.

Strong objection is taken by the Ld. PP in-charge.

On consideration of the materials on record it appears that there is a serious allegation against the accused persons. Recovery has also been made from their presence. The accused persons are not resident within the jurisdiction of this court. As such, if released on bail, there is chance of abscondance and it would be difficult to again apprehend them. Thus, there will be delay in disposal of this case. Considering the above facts and circumstances, the prayer for bail stands rejected.

Fix 08.05.18 and 10.05.18 for evidence.

Prosecution to take steps.

Issue summons accordingly.

Accused persons are remanded to J/C till 08.05.18.

To date for production.

D/C by me,

ASJ 2<sup>nd</sup> FTC, Sealdah.  
ASJ 2<sup>nd</sup> FTC, Sealdah.