

(A.B.A No.4021/2026)

*(Santosh Shivaji Salgar Vs. The State of Maharashtra Throu.
Kharadi Police Station)*

A) CASE DETAILS

(a)	FIR Number and Date	243/2026 05/08/2026
(b)	Police Station, District and State	Kharadi Police Station
(c)	Sections invoked	U/s. 3(5), 316(4), 318(2), 344 of the BNS.
(d)	Maximum punishment prescribed	As per statutory period

B) CUSTODY AND PROCEDURAL COMPLIANCE.

(i)	Date of arrest	-
(ii)	Total period of custody undergone	-

C) STATUS OF TRIAL

a)	Stage of proceedings (Investigation/charge-sheet/cognizance/ framing of charges / trial.	Investigation
b)	Total number of witnesses cited in the Charge -sheet.	Nil
c)	Number of prosecution witnesses examined	Nil

D) CRIMINAL ANTECEDENTS.

1)	FIR No. and Police Station	-
2)	Sections	-
3)	Status(pending/acquitted/convicted)	

E) PREVIOUS BAIL APPLICATIONS.

A)	Court	-
B)	Case No.	-
C)	Outcome of the cases.	-

F) COERCIVE PROCESSES.

a)	Whether any Non-bailable warrant was issued.	Nil
b)	Whether declared a proclaimed offender	Nil

ORDER BELOW EXH. 1

The present application is filed under section 482 of BNSS for the offences under section 3(5), 316(4), 318(2), 344 of the BNS.

2] It was submitted by the applicant that the informant filed false complaint against the present applicant. He is falsely implicated in the present matter. Section 344 is not applicable. The offence is punishable below 7 years. The applicant is the accountant in the company. He was working as per the directions of the superior officers. The applicant is not connected with the alleged offences. He is a law abiding citizen. He is ready to abide by the conditions. No further recovery is expected from the present applicant. Hence, application be allowed.

3] The Investigating officer filed say through learned

APP and opposed the application. It was submitted that the present applicant and the other employees are accused. The huge amount is involved in the offence. The applicant transfer the amount in the account of his relatives. The custodial interrogation is required. Hence, the application be rejected.

4] Heard learned advocate for applicant and learned APP. After going through the contents of the FIR, it seems that several allegations are made against the present applicant. The perusal of the FIR prima-facie shows that there is involvement of the present applicant and therefore his custodial interrogation would be required for investigation purpose. Considering the same, if the bail is granted to the applicant, he might prolong the investigation and might tamper with the prosecution witnesses. Considering the nature of offence, the custodial interrogation of the applicant would be required for investigation purposes. Hence, it would not be appropriate to grant anticipatory bail, I therefore, proceed to pass the following order.

ORDER

The ABA No. 4021/2026 is rejected.

Date:13/08/2026

(R. K. Kshirsagar)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Pune.

Cover Sheet as per the direction of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India Writ Petition No. 1082 of 2020 Suhas Vs. Union of India. It is informed to the accused that free legal aid facility for pursuing higher remedy i.e. filing an appropriate proceeding before the Hon'ble Bombay High Court Mumbai to challenge the order passed in the present case. The contact address and phone number of the Legal Aid Services Authority is as under -

Address :

District Legal Services Authority, Pune
District Court Premises, New Building First Floor,
Shivajinagar, Pune – 411005.

Contact :

Phone No. 020-025534881, Mob. 8591903612

Email- pune-dlsa.mh@bhc.gov.in/dlsapune2@gmail.com

NALSA Helpline Number 15100

Apply Online - <http://www.nalsa.gov.in/lams>

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of this P. D. F. file Order are the same word for word as per the original Order.

Name of the Court:- Smt. R.K.Kshirsagar
District Judge-22 &
Additional Sessions Judge, Pune.
Name of the Steno:- D. H. Patil (Grade I)
Date of Order:- 13.08.2026
Order signed by
presiding officer on:- 13.08.2026
Order uploaded on:- 13.08.2026