

Special case No. 10 of 2025

Order No. 07 dated 06.02.2025

Accused **Rupam Basu Chowdhury and Bappa @ Rudra Naskar** is produced through VC from JC.

On behalf of the accused **Bappa @ Rudra Naskar** Ld. Lawyer appears by filing fresh vakalatnama.

On behalf of defacto Complainant Ld. Lawyer appears from DLSA by filing appointment letter.

The IO submits denotation prayer in closed envelope.

The IO submitted charge sheet vide CS No. 04 of 2025 dated 05.02.2025 u/s 70(2) of BNS and section 4/17 of POCSO Act against the accused.

Perused the CD and the documents.

Cognizance is **taken**.

It appears from the CS that the IO had submitted the Form A and the list of the document which are not relied upon by the IO as per provisions of Rule 91A of Calcutta High Court Criminal (Subordinate Courts) Rules 1985.

IO also prays for submission of supplementary charge sheet later.

As the accused persons are not produced physically before this Court, The Court Inspector is directed to take step for service of copies u/s 230 of BNSS to the accused person immediately.

Let a copy of this order sheet along with the copies of the relevant documents for supply to the accused persons be sent to the Court Inspector for taking immediate action.

(Anirban Das)/WB00691
Additional District & Sessions Judge,
1st Court, Sealdah

Special case No. 10 of 2025

Later dated 06.02.2025

Bail petition filed for the said accused persons.

Heard Ld. Advocate for the accused and Ld. Special PP.

The defacto complainant is turned up through the Ld. Advocate appointed by him.

Perused the materials on record and the CD.

In this case CS has already been submitted.

Specific allegation came out against the accused persons.

I think that there is no scope to release the accused persons on bail at this stage before examination of the vital witnesses of this case.

Accordingly, I am not at all inclined to release the accused persons on bail and the prayer stands rejected.

To **25.02.2025** for physical production of the accused persons and consideration of charge.

The IO is present before this court and submits that though as per order of this court he had produced the seized mobile phone for forensic examination to the CFSL, Kolkata but the same was not accepted by the CFSL.

The IO could not clarify the grounds on which the CFSL did not acceptg the seized mobile phone for forensic examination.

Under the circumstances, the Director, CFSL, Kolkata is hereby requested to intimate this court the grounds of not accepting the seized mobile phone for its examination.

Let a copy of this order sheet be sent to the Director, CFSL, Kolkata through the IO.

The report of the Director must reach to this court by 25.02.2025.

D/C by me

ASJ, 1st Court, Sealdah

(Anirban Das)/WB0691
Addl. Sessions Judge, 1st Court,
Sealdah, 24-Pgs.(S).