

Special Case no. 45 of 2022

CNR-WBSP01

Order No. 13 dated 06.12.2022

Accused Tapas Bera is produced from J/C.

An application for bail is filed on behalf of the accused named above. A bail application dated 06.12.2022 is moved from the end of the accused namely Tapas Bera.

Heard Ld. PP in-charge and the Ld. Advocate for the accused in respect of the bail applications.

Ld. Advocate for the accused person submit that the accused is in custody since a long period of time and the charge sheet has already been submitted before this Court. It is also submitted from the end of the accused that the said accused have not played any effective role in the alleged fraudulent transactions which have resulted in siphoning of huge quantum of money from the general public.

Ld. PP in-charge strongly opposes the prayer for bail of the accused and submits that the materials which have surfaced in the CD will indicate the proactive involvement of the said accused persons in the commission of the alleged offence.

After hearing the submissions of both sides and on considering the magnitude and gravity of the nature of allegations levelld against the accused, I think that at the present juncture the benefit of bail cannot be accorded or rather bestowed upon the accused persons herein. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the accused namely Tapas Bera stands **rejected**.

Ld. Advocate for the accused points out that the name of the accused persons namely Subhrangshu @ Babusona Kamar and Tinku Golder had been inserted in the order no. 12 dated 01.12.2022 by way of an inadvertent mistake as the bail prayer was made only from the accused namely Tapas Bera.

On perusal of the record, I find that defect has crept in the order dated 01.12.2022 in the matter by inserting the name of the accused Subhrangshu @ Babusona Kamar and Tinku Golder and the same are **omitted** from the said order through this instant order.

An application for modification order dated 28.11.2022 has been filed from the end of the accused namely Subhrangshu @ Babusona Kamar and Tinku Golder. The said application is allowed. The condition of the said order is **modified** by directing that the accused as above name shall not leave the jurisdiction of Kakdwip sub division without the permission and or leave of the Ld. Court.

Accused be remanded to J/C.

To **06.02.2023** for production of the accused and hearing.

Let a signed copy of this order be handed over to the IO of this case.

D/C by me.

S/d PP Chakravorty

Designated Judge

U/W.B.P.I.D.F.E. Act.

S/d PP Chakravorty

Designated Judge

U/W.B.P.I.D.F.E. Act.

Special Case no. 18 of 2022

CNR-WBSP01-006175-2022

Later dated 24.08.2022

The record is put up before me by a petition. A bail petition is filed from the end of the Ld. Advocate for the accused namely Soumita Manna @ Tumpa. Let it be kept with the record.

To date for hearing of the bail petition dated 24.08.2022.

Designated Judge
U/W.B.P.I.D.F.E. Act.

Order No. 16 dated 25.08.2022

The record is put up before me by petition. The bail petition dated 24.08.2022, filed from the end of the Ld. Advocate for the accused namely Soumita Manna @ Tumpa is moved today.

Heard Ld. PP in-charge and the Ld. Advocate for the accused in respect of the bail applications.

Ld. Advocate for the accused person who has preferred application for bail at the onset submits that charge sheet has already been submitted in the instant case and no further necessity remains to keep the accused in detention for the sake of investigation. Further more from the end of the said accused it is also ventilated that the said accused has been enlarged on bail in another case from the Hon'ble Court and in both the cases the status of the accused in relation to the alleged offence is similar in nature. In the backdrop of the submissions made above the Ld. Advocate for the accused has prayed for enlargement of the accused on bail under any terms and conditions this court deems fit and proper.

Ld. PP in-charge strongly opposes the prayer for bail of the accused / petitioner by submitting that the gravity of the allegations levelled against the accused do not entitle her to be enlarge on bail even though charge sheet has been submitted in the instant case.

After hearing the submissions of both sides and on taking into consideration, the materials in the case record, I feel that the gravity of the allegations as levelled against the accused of siphoning out huge quantum of money from the public at large do not entitle her to be enlarged on bail at the present stage even though charge sheet has been filed in the instant case. Accordingly, the bail application of the accused as named above are **rejected**.

To date i.e. **29.10.2022** for production of the accused.

Let a signed copy of this order be handed over to the IO of this case.

D/C by me.

S/d PP Chakravorty

Designated Judge

U/W.B.P.I.D.F.E. Act.

S/d PP Chakravorty

Designated Judge

U/W.B.P.I.D.F.E. Act.