

35 MACT 291/20 SANGEETA DEVI Vs. SANJAY KUMAR

29.10.2022.

Present: Proxy Counsel for petitioner.
Sh. R. K. Tripathi, Counsel for insurance company.

No advance copy of affidavit supplied so far by petitioner side to the respondent side. Same is non compliance of the previous order dated 23.07.2022. As such it is ordered that if such copy of evidence not supplied one week before the next date, then petitioner shall not be entitled for interest on award from today till such order is complied.

A list of witnesses filed. Same is taken on record. Copy of the same be supplied to respondent side during the course of the day against acknowledgement.

Petitioner is at liberty to take steps within 4 weeks to summons such witness.

Put up for 22.04.2023.

(Naveen Kumar Kashyap)
PO-MACT (South-East)
Saket Court/ New Delhi
29.10.2022

80 MACT 36/22 SURSATI DEVI (DAR) Vs. ANIL GUPTA
(108/19, G.K.)

29.10.2022.

Present: Sh. Zainul Abedeen, Counsel for petitioner.
Driver and owner in person with Counsel.

At request of Ld. Counsel for petitioner himself, last
opportunity is given in terms of previous order.

Put up for **09.08.2023**.

(Naveen Kumar Kashyap)
PO-MACT (South-East)
Saket Court/ New Delhi
29.10.2022

83 MACT 393/22 ABDUL MALIK (DAR) Vs. MUBEEN SAIFI
(224/18, JN)

29.10.2022.

Present: None.

Put up for further proceedings/ appropriate order on
09.12.2022.

(Naveen Kumar Kashyap)
PO-MACT (South-East)
Saket Court/ New Delhi
29.10.2022

90 MACT 739/22 RAJU (DAR) Vs. UNTRACE (381/21, P.P. PUR)

Present: IO of the case.

It is stated by the IO that in the present case, offending vehicle HR 55AD 5181 (car) is involved but after committing the accident, the driver of the same ran away. It is further stated that such driver could not be apprehended so far. It is further stated that a complaint for theft already made by the true owner Ola Freed Technologies Pvt Ltd. It is further stated that at the time of accident, no insurance of the vehicle was found.

Under these circumstances, put up for further appropriate order / consideration including whether still such registered Owner is liable for the compensation in the present case.

Put up for 16.02.2023.

(Naveen Kumar Kashyap)
PO-MACT (South-East)
Saket Court/ New Delhi
29.10.2022

91 MACT 740/22 SUNDARI (DAR) Vs. UNTRACE (381/21, P. P.
PUR)

29.10.2022.

Present: IO of the case.

Put up with connected matter on 16.02.2023.

(Naveen Kumar Kashyap)
PO-MACT (South-East)
Saket Court/ New Delhi
29.10.2022

95 MACT 829/22 PUSHPA DEVI (DAR) Vs. UNTRACE (169/22 KK)
29.10.2022.

Present: Petitioner/ wife of deceased in person.

Petitioner/ wife of deceased has appeared and stated that she is satisfied with the investigation carried out by the IO and she has no objection if the report filed by the IO is accepted as untraced.

Statement of wife of deceased to this effect is recorded separately.

As there is no disclosure regarding number of offending vehicle nor any probability of it being located and complainant/ injured also could not note down the particulars of the vehicle, therefore, untraced report is accepted with liberty to the Investigating Officer to initiate investigation as and when any detail about the offending vehicle is traced out.

In view of the statement made, the case is disposed off as untraced.

At this stage, it may be noted that Sec. **357A (4) Cr.PC** states:

Where the offender is not traced or identified, but the victim is identified, and where no trial takes place, the victim or his dependents may make an application to the State or the District Legal Services Authority for award of compensation.

As such copy of this order be given dasti to the claimant to enable him/her to approach DLSA for relief, if permissible.

File be consigned to Record Room.

(Naveen Kumar Kashyap)
PO-MACT (South-East)
Saket Court/ New Delhi
29.10.2022

96 MACT 834/22 SHAKUNTALA (DAR) Vs. UNTRACE(508/21PPP)

29.10.2022.

Present: Counsel for petitioner with mother of deceased.
IO of the case.

Certain observation made by this Tribunal in previous order.

Petitioner/ mother of deceased has appeared and stated that she is satisfied with the investigation carried out by the IO and she has no objection if the report filed by the IO is accepted as untraced.

Statement of mother of deceased to this effect is recorded separately.

As there is no disclosure regarding number of offending vehicle nor any probability of it being located and complainant/ injured also could not note down the particulars of the vehicle, therefore, untraced report is accepted with liberty to the Investigating Officer to initiate investigation as and when any detail about the offending vehicle is traced out.

In view of the statement made, the case is disposed off as untraced.

At this stage, it may be noted that Sec. **357A (4) Cr.PC** states:

Where the offender is not traced or identified, but the victim is identified, and where no trial takes place, the victim or his dependents may make an application to the State or the District Legal Services Authority for award of compensation.

As such copy of this order be given dasti to the claimant to enable him/her to approach DLSA for relief, if permissible.

File be consigned to Record Room.

(Naveen Kumar Kashyap)
PO-MACT (South-East)
Saket Court/ New Delhi
29.10.2022

97 MACT 346/19 RAKESH MAHAJAN (DAR) Vs. SURAJ
BHAN (54/19, LODHI COLONY)

29.10.2022.

Present: Proxy Counsel for petitioner.
Ms. Anita Patra, proxy counsel for R-1 &2.
Sh. B. K. Sharma, counsel for Insurance Company.

Disability report not received.

Let reminder be issued to the concerned hospital to
send the disability report for next date.

Matter is listed for PE.

Petitioner not present. In terms of previous order,
advance copy of evidence by way of affidavit not supplied by the
petitioner to the respondent side. Even today, no advance copy
given nor petitioner present to lead his evidence. Heard. Under
these circumstances, last and final opportunity given to petitioner to
lead PE in terms of previous order subject to the condition that
**petitioner would not be entitled to interest from today till
petitioner evidence is conducted.**

Put up for **09.08.2023.**

(Naveen Kumar Kashyap)
PO-MACT (South-East)
Saket Court/ New Delhi
29.10.2022

106 MACT 305/21 MAHTAB JAHAN Vs. KULDEEP

29.10.2022.

Present: Sh. Iqrar Ali Khan, Counsel for petitioner.
Sh. Brijesh Bagga, Counsel for insurance company.

At request, last opportunity given for RE, if any/ final
arguments on 08.12.2022.

(Naveen Kumar Kashyap)
PO-MACT (South-East)
Saket Court/ New Delhi
29.10.2022

Ex NO.351/22

United India Ins. Co. Ltd. Vs. Ishaq Ahmad & Anr.

29.10.2022.

Present: Sh. B. K. Sharma, counsel for Insurance Company.

Heard. Record perused.

On filing of PF, let fresh Recovery Certificate be issued against the JD for recovery of award amount.

1. The JD is directed to file an affidavit in terms of order 21 Rule 41 (2) CPC stating his particulars of assets in the Form as given in appendix E, Form -16A before the SDM concerned. Further, such JD is also directed to state the online payment Apps used by him as well as his usual mobile number used during last one year till date. He is further directed to state payment made by him through mobile App/ internet banking, if any since filing of present execution proceedings.

2. A copy of this order be sent along with this recovery certificate for ready reference for SDM concerned.

3. It may be noted that a recovery certificate is already issued by this Tribunal to the SDM concerned. Further, at this stage, it is pertinent to note Sec. 174 of MV Act and as such same is reproduced below for ready reference:

Section 174 in The Motor Vehicles Act, 1988

Recovery of money from insurer as arrear of land revenue.—Where any amount is due from any person under an award, the Claims Tribunal may, on an application made to it by the person entitled to the amount, issue a certificate for the amount to the Collector **and the Collector shall proceed to recover the same in the same manner as an**

arrear of land revenue.

4. Thus on a bare reading of such section, it is clear that when a certificate is issued to the collector, like in the present case to the SDM concerned / Collector, then such Collector shall proceed to recover the award amount in question **in the same manner as and arrear of land revenue.**

5. In view of such bare provision of law and directions contained in Sec. 174 MV Act, it is needless to observe that concerned SDM is duty bound to proceed further with the proceedings for recovering such award amount as arrear of land revenue.

6. As such for this purpose and in this manner it is not this Tribunal but such SDM concerned who has to proceed further for recovery of award amount. Further when such Act of recovery, as per provision of 174 MV Act is already delegated / transferred to Collector of the SDM concerned, this Tribunal has to only ensure compliance of the award. Rest of the proceedings are to be held before the concerned SDM as per law relating to recovery of arrears of land revenue.

8. In this regard, it may further be noted that as per MACT rules 2009 as applicable to Delhi, Rule no.31 states as follows:

***“Enforcement of award of the Claims Tribunal:
Subject to the provision of section 174 of the MV Act, the Claims Tribunal shall, for the purpose of enforcement of its award, have all the powers of a Civil Court in the execution of a decree under the***

Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (5 of 1908), as if the award where a decree for the payment of money passed by such court in a civil suit.”

9. Thus even on reading of such Rule 31, it is clear that subject to provision of Sec. 174 MV Act, Tribunal shall have power of a civil court in the execution of a decree under the provision of CPC as applicable for the payment of money.

10. It may further be noted that as far as the present case is concerned no power under Rule 31 is exercised and instead, as noted above, matter was referred to the SDM concerned u/s 174 MV Act itself.

11. As such, SDM concerned to file only report regarding compliance of execution of this award through him in terms of Sec. 174 MV Act.

12. Put up for 01.02.2023 for Status report by SDM regarding compliance.

13. A copy of this order be given dasti at request.

(Naveen Kumar Kashyap)
PO-MACT (South-East)
Saket Court/ New Delhi
29.10.2022

Ex NO.350/22

United India Ins. Co. Ltd. Vs. Mohd. Shajad & Anr.

29.10.2022.

Present: Sh. B. K. Sharma, counsel for Insurance Company.

Heard. Record perused.

On filing of PF, let fresh Recovery Certificate be issued against the JD for recovery of award amount.

1. The JD is directed to file an affidavit in terms of order 21 Rule 41 (2) CPC stating his particulars of assets in the Form as given in appendix E, Form -16A before the SDM concerned. Further, such JD is also directed to state the online payment Apps used by him as well as his usual mobile number used during last one year till date. He is further directed to state payment made by him through mobile App/ internet banking, if any since filing of present execution proceedings.

2. A copy of this order be sent along with this recovery certificate for ready reference for SDM concerned.

3. It may be noted that a recovery certificate is already issued by this Tribunal to the SDM concerned. Further, at this stage, it is pertinent to note Sec. 174 of MV Act and as such same is reproduced below for ready reference:

Section 174 in The Motor Vehicles Act, 1988

Recovery of money from insurer as arrear of land revenue.—Where any amount is due from any person under an award, the Claims Tribunal may, on an application made to it by the person entitled to the amount, issue a certificate for the amount to the Collector **and the Collector shall proceed to recover the same in the same manner as an**

arrear of land revenue.

4. Thus on a bare reading of such section, it is clear that when a certificate is issued to the collector, like in the present case to the SDM concerned / Collector, then such Collector shall proceed to recover the award amount in question **in the same manner as and arrear of land revenue.**

5. In view of such bare provision of law and directions contained in Sec. 174 MV Act, it is needless to observe that concerned SDM is duty bound to proceed further with the proceedings for recovering such award amount as arrear of land revenue.

6. As such for this purpose and in this manner it is not this Tribunal but such SDM concerned who has to proceed further for recovery of award amount. Further when such Act of recovery, as per provision of 174 MV Act is already delegated / transferred to Collector of the SDM concerned, this Tribunal has to only ensure compliance of the award. Rest of the proceedings are to be held before the concerned SDM as per law relating to recovery of arrears of land revenue.

8. In this regard, it may further be noted that as per MACT rules 2009 as applicable to Delhi, Rule no.31 states as follows:

***“Enforcement of award of the Claims Tribunal:
Subject to the provision of section 174 of the MV Act, the Claims Tribunal shall, for the purpose of enforcement of its award, have all the powers of a Civil Court in the execution of a decree under the***

Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (5 of 1908), as if the award where a decree for the payment of money passed by such court in a civil suit.”

9. Thus even on reading of such Rule 31, it is clear that subject to provision of Sec. 174 MV Act, Tribunal shall have power of a civil court in the execution of a decree under the provision of CPC as applicable for the payment of money.

10. It may further be noted that as far as the present case is concerned no power under Rule 31 is exercised and instead, as noted above, matter was referred to the SDM concerned u/s 174 MV Act itself.

11. As such, SDM concerned to file only report regarding compliance of execution of this award through him in terms of Sec. 174 MV Act.

12. Put up for 01.02.2023 for Status report by SDM regarding compliance.

13. A copy of this order be given dasti at request.

(Naveen Kumar Kashyap)
PO-MACT (South-East)
Saket Court/ New Delhi
29.10.2022

Ex. no. 22/16

United India Ins. Co. Ltd. Vs. K. Sundran

29.10.2022.

Present: Sh. K. P. Toms, Counsel for petitioner.

Heard on th application filed by applicant / original owner of the vehicle in question.

Issue notice to the this application for withdrawal of amount deposited by such original owner in this tribunal to the insurance company on filing of PF.

Further dasti service also allowed.

As such a copy of this order be given dasti to the applicant.

Put up for further appropriate order / proceedings on
18.11.2022.

(Naveen Kumar Kashyap)
PO-MACT (South-East)
Saket Court/ New Delhi
29.10.2022

MACT no.554/19
Pooja Jha Vs. Ram Avtar

29.10.2022.

Present: None.

Award Amount has been deposited by Insurance Company with the bank, as reported by Nazir of this court as per his noting.

Order of apportionment is already on record.

No further action is required.

File be consigned to the Record Room.

(Naveen Kumar Kashyap)
PO-MACT (South-East)
Saket Court/ New Delhi
29.10.2022

MACT no.143/19
Vishal Tayal Vs. Vinod

29.10.2022.

Present: None.

Award Amount has been deposited by Insurance Company with the bank, as reported by Nazir of this court as per his noting.

Order of apportionment is already on record.

No further action is required.

File be consigned to the Record Room.

(Naveen Kumar Kashyap)
PO-MACT (South-East)
Saket Court/ New Delhi
29.10.2022

MACT no.588/19
Sita Vs. Dharmender

29.10.2022.

Present: None.

Award Amount has been deposited by Insurance Company with the bank, as reported by Nazir of this court as per his noting.

Order of apportionment is already on record.

No further action is required.

File be consigned to the Record Room.

(Naveen Kumar Kashyap)
PO-MACT (South-East)
Saket Court/ New Delhi
29.10.2022

MACT no.236/21
Bhagwati Vs. Sharafat

29.10.2022.

Present: None.

Award Amount has been deposited by Insurance Company with the bank, as reported by Nazir of this court as per his noting.

Order of apportionment is already on record.

No further action is required.

File be consigned to the Record Room.

(Naveen Kumar Kashyap)
PO-MACT (South-East)
Saket Court/ New Delhi
29.10.2022

MACT no.235/21
Shanti Vs. Sharafat

29.10.2022.

Present: None.

Award Amount has been deposited by Insurance Company with the bank, as reported by Nazir of this court as per his noting.

Order of apportionment is already on record.

No further action is required.

File be consigned to the Record Room.

(Naveen Kumar Kashyap)
PO-MACT (South-East)
Saket Court/ New Delhi
29.10.2022

MACT no.608/21
Deepak Vs. Amar Singh

29.10.2022.

Present: None.

Award Amount has been deposited by Insurance Company with the bank, as reported by Nazir of this court as per his noting.

Order of apportionment is already on record.

No further action is required.

File be consigned to the Record Room.

(Naveen Kumar Kashyap)
PO-MACT (South-East)
Saket Court/ New Delhi
29.10.2022

MACT no.616/21
Deepak Vs. Amar Singh

29.10.2022.

Present: None.

Award Amount has been deposited by Insurance Company with the bank, as reported by Nazir of this court as per his noting.

Order of apportionment is already on record.

No further action is required.

File be consigned to the Record Room.

(Naveen Kumar Kashyap)
PO-MACT (South-East)
Saket Court/ New Delhi
29.10.2022

MACT no.27/22
Sunita Devi Vs. Mukeem

29.10.2022.

Present: None.

Award Amount has been deposited by Insurance Company with the bank, as reported by Nazir of this court as per his noting.

Order of apportionment is already on record.

No further action is required.

File be consigned to the Record Room.

(Naveen Kumar Kashyap)
PO-MACT (South-East)
Saket Court/ New Delhi
29.10.2022

MACT no.554/19
Pooja Jha Vs. Ram Avtar

29.10.2022.

Present: None.

Award Amount has been deposited by Insurance Company with the bank, as reported by Nazir of this court as per his noting.

Order of apportionment is already on record.

No further action is required.

File be consigned to the Record Room.

(Naveen Kumar Kashyap)
PO-MACT (South-East)
Saket Court/ New Delhi
29.10.2022

MACT no.808/22
FIR no.465/20
PS Kalindi Kunj
Krishna Devi Vs. Untrace

29.10.2022.

Fresh untrace report filed. It be checked and registered separately.

Present: Petitioner in person.
 IO in person.

Petitioner has appeared and stated that she is satisfied with the investigation carried out by the IO and she has no objection if the report filed by the IO is accepted as untraced.

Statement of petitioner to this effect is recorded separately.

As there is no disclosure regarding number of offending vehicle nor any probability of it being located and complainant/ injured also could not note down the particulars of the vehicle, therefore, untraced report is accepted with liberty to the Investigating Officer to initiate investigation as and when any detail about the offending vehicle is traced out.

In view of the statement made, the case is disposed off as untraced.

At this stage, it may be noted that Sec. **357A (4) Cr.PC** states:

Where the offender is not traced or identified, but the victim is identified, and where no trial takes place, the victim or his dependents may make an application to the State or the District Legal Services Authority for award of compensation.

As such copy of this order be given dasti to the claimant to enable him/her to approach DLSA for relief, if permissible.

File be consigned to Record Room.

(Naveen Kumar Kashyap)
PO-MACT (South-East)
Saket Court/ New Delhi
29.10.2022

order 1.

MACT no.457/21, 479/21, 660/19, 728/19, 749/19, 1050/19, 18/20, 77/20, 97/20, 112/20, 129/20 & 174/20

29.10.2022.

At 04.00 PM.

Present: None.

Vide separate detailed common order pronounced in open court, the issue relating to territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal at South East District in Delhi is entertaining and dealing with present claim petition is disposed off. It is further noted that the case titled **Meena & Ors. Vs. Chandani Ahuja & Ors. bearing no. 457/2021** is taken as leading case in such petitions which are about 90 in number.

Put up for further appropriate proceeding and order in terms of previous order, having regard to the stage of the case for which it is otherwise pending for 21.02.2023.

(Naveen Kumar Kashyap)
PO-MACT (South-East)
Saket Court/ New Delhi
29.10.2022

order 2.

MACT No. 461/21, 463/21, 15/22, 289/22, 342/22, 456/22, 457/22,
277/19

29.10.2022.

At 04.00 PM.

Present: None.

Vide separate detailed common order pronounced in open court, the issue relating to territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal at South East District in Delhi is entertaining and dealing with present claim petition is disposed off. It is further noted that the case titled **Meena & Ors. Vs. Chandani Ahuja & Ors. bearing no. 457/2021** is taken as leading case in such petitions which are about 90 in number.

Put up for further appropriate proceeding and order in terms of previous order, having regard to the stage of the case for which it is otherwise pending for 20.02.2023.

(Naveen Kumar Kashyap)
PO-MACT (South-East)
Saket Court/ New Delhi
29.10.2022

order 3.

MACT no.180/20, 201/20, 202/20, 208/20, 216/20, 217/20, 227/20,
266/20, 267/20, 268/20

29.10.2022.

At 04.00 PM.

Present: None.

Vide separate detailed common order pronounced in open court, the issue relating to territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal at South East District in Delhi is entertaining and dealing with present claim petition is disposed off. It is further noted that the case titled **Meena & Ors. Vs. Chandani Ahuja & Ors. bearing no. 457/2021** is taken as leading case in such petitions which are about 90 in number.

Put up for further appropriate proceeding and order in terms of previous order, having regard to the stage of the case for which it is otherwise pending for 22.02.2023.

(Naveen Kumar Kashyap)
PO-MACT (South-East)
Saket Court/ New Delhi
29.10.2022

order 4.

MACT no.291/20, 328/20, 455/20, 481/20, 23/21, 61/21, 69/21, 112/21, 136/21, 238/21, 239/21

29.10.2022.

At 04.00 PM.

Present: None.

Vide separate detailed common order pronounced in open court, the issue relating to territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal at South East District in Delhi is entertaining and dealing with present claim petition is disposed off. It is further noted that the case titled **Meena & Ors. Vs. Chandani Ahuja & Ors. bearing no. 457/2021** is taken as leading case in such petitions which are about 90 in number.

Put up for further appropriate proceeding and order in terms of previous order, having regard to the stage of the case for which it is otherwise pending for 23.02.2023.

(Naveen Kumar Kashyap)
PO-MACT (South-East)
Saket Court/ New Delhi
29.10.2022

order 5.

MACT no. 248/21, 287/21, 341/21, 349/21, 459/21, 471/21, 494/21,
495/21

29.10.2022.

At 04.00 PM.

Present: None.

Vide separate detailed common order pronounced in open court, the issue relating to territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal at South East District in Delhi is entertaining and dealing with present claim petition is disposed off. It is further noted that the case titled **Meena & Ors. Vs. Chandani Ahuja & Ors. bearing no. 457/2021** is taken as leading case in such petitions which are about 90 in number.

Put up for further appropriate proceeding and order in terms of previous order, having regard to the stage of the case for which it is otherwise pending for 24.02.2023.

(Naveen Kumar Kashyap)
PO-MACT (South-East)
Saket Court/ New Delhi
29.10.2022

order 6.

MACT no. 259/21

Shikha Vs. Girand

29.10.2022.

At 04.00 PM.

Present: None.

Vide separate detailed common order pronounced in open court, the issue relating to territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal at South East District in Delhi is entertaining and dealing with present claim petition is disposed off. It is further noted that the case titled **Meena & Ors. Vs. Chandani Ahuja & Ors. bearing no. 457/2021** is taken as leading case in such petitions which are about 90 in number.

Put up for further appropriate proceeding and order in terms of previous order, having regard to the stage of the case for which it is otherwise pending for 21.02.2023.

(Naveen Kumar Kashyap)
PO-MACT (South-East)
Saket Court/ New Delhi
29.10.2022

order 7.

MACT no.496/21, 512/21, 553/21, 589/21, 621/21, 622/21, 637/21,
653/21

29.10.2022.

At 04.00 PM.

Present: None.

Vide separate detailed common order pronounced in open court, the issue relating to territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal at South East District in Delhi is entertaining and dealing with present claim petition is disposed off. It is further noted that the case titled **Meena & Ors. Vs. Chandani Ahuja & Ors. bearing no. 457/2021** is taken as leading case in such petitions which are about 90 in number.

Put up for further appropriate proceeding and order in terms of previous order, having regard to the stage of the case for which it is otherwise pending for 27.02.2023.

(Naveen Kumar Kashyap)
PO-MACT (South-East)
Saket Court/ New Delhi
29.10.2022

order 8.

MACT no.730/21, 732/21, 736/21, 763/21, 779/21, 816/21, 836/21, 875/21, 876/21, 897/21, 923/21, 7/22

29.10.2022.

At 04.00 PM.

Present: None.

Vide separate detailed common order pronounced in open court, the issue relating to territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal at South East District in Delhi is entertaining and dealing with present claim petition is disposed off. It is further noted that the case titled **Meena & Ors. Vs. Chandani Ahuja & Ors. bearing no. 457/2021** is taken as leading case in such petitions which are about 90 in number.

Put up for further appropriate proceeding and order in terms of previous order, having regard to the stage of the case for which it is otherwise pending for 28.02.2023.

(Naveen Kumar Kashyap)
PO-MACT (South-East)
Saket Court/ New Delhi
29.10.2022

order 9.

MACT no.311/22, 440/22, 455/21, 510/22, 666/22, 748/22, 772/22,
382/19, 383/19, 282/22

29.10.2022.

At 04.00 PM.

Present: None.

Vide separate detailed common order pronounced in open court, the issue relating to territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal at South East District in Delhi is entertaining and dealing with present claim petition is disposed off. It is further noted that the case titled **Meena & Ors. Vs. Chandani Ahuja & Ors. bearing no. 457/2021** is taken as leading case in such petitions which are about 90 in number.

Put up for further appropriate proceeding and order in terms of previous order, having regard to the stage of the case for which it is otherwise pending for **01.03.2023**.

(Naveen Kumar Kashyap)
PO-MACT (South-East)
Saket Court/ New Delhi
29.10.2022

order 10.

MACT no.240/20, 198/20, 383/20, 382/20, 226/21, 21/21, 61/21,
84/20

29.10.2022.

At 04.00 PM.

Present: None.

Vide separate detailed common order pronounced in open court, the issue relating to territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal at South East District in Delhi is entertaining and dealing with present claim petition is disposed off. It is further noted that the case titled **Meena & Ors. Vs. Chandani Ahuja & Ors. bearing no. 457/2021** is taken as leading case in such petitions which are about 90 in number.

Put up for further appropriate proceeding and order in terms of previous order, having regard to the stage of the case for which it is otherwise pending for **02.03.2023**.

(Naveen Kumar Kashyap)
PO-MACT (South-East)
Saket Court/ New Delhi
29.10.2022

order 11.

MACT no.398/19, 519/19

29.10.2022.

At 04.00 PM.

Present: None.

Vide separate detailed common order pronounced in open court, the issue relating to territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal at South East District in Delhi is entertaining and dealing with present claim petition is disposed off. It is further noted that the case titled **Meena & Ors. Vs. Chandani Ahuja & Ors. bearing no. 457/2021** is taken as leading case in such petitions which are about 90 in number.

Put up for further appropriate proceeding and order in terms of previous order, having regard to the stage of the case for which it is otherwise pending for **14.03.2023**.

(Naveen Kumar Kashyap)
PO-MACT (South-East)
Saket Court/ New Delhi
29.10.2022

order 12.

MACT no.199/20, 403/20, 444/20

29.10.2022.

At 04.00 PM.

Present: None.

Vide separate detailed common order pronounced in open court, the issue relating to territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal at South East District in Delhi is entertaining and dealing with present claim petition is disposed off. It is further noted that the case titled **Meena & Ors. Vs. Chandani Ahuja & Ors. bearing no. 457/2021** is taken as leading case in such petitions which are about 90 in number.

Put up for further appropriate proceeding and order in terms of previous order, having regard to the stage of the case for which it is otherwise pending for **15.03.2023**.

(Naveen Kumar Kashyap)
PO-MACT (South-East)
Saket Court/ New Delhi
29.10.2022